The
SOVEREIGN INDEPENDENT

4th Edition - June 2011 | www.sovereignindependent.com | editor@sovereignindependent.com | Price: €100/£1.00 where sold

4 Page Special! Pages 13-16

Depopulation Through Forced Vaccination: *The Zero Carbon Solution!*

"The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about 9 billion. Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent."

Billy '*The Kid Killer*' Gates

By Rachel Windeer



On April 26th, the Irish independent published an article titled 'Concern for Children's Health as Parents ignoring vaccines'. Edel Kennedy, you should be ashamed of yourself! This is nothing less than an attempt by government, through their puppets in the media, to remove the rights of parents to determine the life choices of their offspring and removes any doubt that we now live in a dictatorship.

This is an OUTRAGEOUS insult to every parent in the country who has the common sense to research the efficacy of vaccines themselves by simply looking at the historical record rather than trust politicians whose lies in the media, including those perpetrated by the Dept. of Health under Mary Harney regarding the farce of 'swine flu' and the dangerous myth of HPV vaccination, have led the country into the abyss of utter poverty and sold the country into the communist grasp of European bureaucrats whose sole aim is the destruction of every member nation's sovereignty leaving them clutching at the bailout begging bowl of the IMF.

Vaccines are a fraud; pure and simple! The historical record PROVES this for anyone with a little patience and the courage to investigate the FACTS for themselves, and it does take courage, especially for those in the medical profession whose careers will be at risk if they dare expose this danger to our children. It also shatters their illusions that they're part of an organisation which is far from beneficial to those they believe they're protecting from illness.

However, their hypocratic oath should compel them to investigate such wild claims put out by pharmaceutical giants and their drug dealer sales representatives instead of taking the word of an industry well known as being utterly corrupt and ruthless in its business practices.

The Irish haemophiliac scandal, where patients were knowingly infected with HIV through contaminated blood products should be enough to convince anyone of Big Pharma's murderous intent.

This brings us to the real reasons for vaccinations. I'm not even going to prove to readers that they don't work. I'll simply urge you to look up the historical record. One simple example is the demise of measles.

The measles death rate had declined by 98% from 1915-1958 prior to any vaccination being introduced. In 1988 and 1989, 69% and 89% for measles cases in American school-aged children had been vaccinated! In 1995 56% of ALL measles cases in America were vaccinated.

These figures come from medical journals.

Those 3 simple examples PROVE that unvaccinated children are less likely to contract measles and that the vaccine

Continued on page 10 >>

Image Courtesy of www.deesillustration.com

10 Facts That Prove The Bin Laden Fable Is a Contrived

PARENTS, TAXPAYERS AND ALL CONCERNED CITIZENS SHOULD BEWARE THE HIDDEN TRAPS IN THE *CHILDREN'S REFERENDUM*

The Government has promised to put a Referendum on Children's Rights to the people later this year to amend the Constitution in order to give the State increased power over our children. VOTE NO!!

By Kathy Sinnot - ***Page 3***

***** PLEASE RECYCLE THIS PAPER BY PASSING IT ON TO SOMEONE ELSE TO READ *****

Scotland's Shame - The Hollie Greig Scandal

By Robert Green

Although it is now over two years since I became involved in the Hollie Greig story and it is eleven years since Hollie first brought her dramatic revelations to the attention of the authorities in Aberdeen, I have good reason to believe progress is being made on many fronts, both in Scotland and England.

In Scotland, it has been well established that the state has already accepted that Hollie was the victim of systematic sexual abuse from the age of six, by granting her £13,500 from the Criminal Injury Compensation Authority for her suffering. The principal document that influenced this award was the professional analysis written by the eminent psychologist, Dr. Eva Harding, who not only specifically identified and confirmed two of the abusers as being responsible, but also added in her conclusion that "Hollie had probably also been abused by others who had access to her". Hollie has repeatedly named all of those individuals and seven other victims whose ordeals she witnessed. Apart from a cursory interview with the initial abuser in 2000 and the second confirmed abuser two years later, absolutely no effort has been made by Grampian Police to investigate the crimes in any meaningful way.

Not only was Dr. Eva Harding's report available to the police, but also that of another highly respected psychologist, Dr. Jack Boyle, who also concluded that there was no doubt that Hollie had been sexually violated.

In 2006, a Police Complaints Commission Inquiry was set up to investigate the Grampian Police's conduct in investigating this matter, along with related alleged crimes concerning the assault and kidnapping of Anne Greig, Hollie's mother, on 5th September 2000, just a few days after Hollie had named her high-profile abusers, as well as the death of key witness Robert David Greig on 17th November 1997 in the most suspicious of circumstances. No worthwhile attempts were ever made to investigate these alleged crimes and it is perfectly obvious that the various authorities already had an agenda to ensure the true facts should never come to light.

After the inquiry was completed, sadly though predictably one that left more questions than answers, it transpired that both the documents supporting Hollie by Dr. Harding and Dr. Boyle were withheld from the inquiry. Although it was not known to the Greigs at the time, two other vital documents that were in the police's possession were also crucially concealed. These were part of Hollie's medical records, which were not released to Anne until October 2009. Both were written by the medical officer for Hollie's special school, Dr. Paul Carter.

The first, dated 1990, described Hollie, then aged 10, as suffering from a sexually transmitted disease. The second , dated 1992, described staff concern at Hollie's behaviour, which was regarded as a clear indication that a child of her condition had experience of sexual activity. These vital documents were never acted on by the school's head, one of the named abusers, nor the GP. Anne was never told and when she did take Hollie to the same GP over her concerns about symptoms in the lower part of her body, the GP told her it was "just to do with Hollie's general medical condition". **None of these three medical experts have ever been interviewed by the police.**

All of the authorities, including the Chief Constable of Grampian Police, Colin MacKerracher and leading politicians in Scotland, as well as some in England, have been made aware of this dreadful scandal for some time. It is to the credit of one English MP, Mr David Ruffley, for showing the moral courage so many of his colleagues lack by directly challenging Scottish Ministers over their treatment of the issues, which he rightly describes as "appalling".

I have recently made a formal criminal complaint to the police about Mrs. Elish Angiolini, the disgraced Lord Advocate who has been forced to resign on 5th May. We have compelling documentary evidence to prove that she publicly lied about her role in the case in 2000, where she clearly used her influence to pervert the course of justice. The same can be said of her role in the second investigation in late 2009. In the case of the latter, she has even embezzled public funds for her own personal use in threatening legal action against all major organs of the media, effectively gagging them from publishing the letters proving her involvement in 2000. Those funds were effectively stolen from the very people who had a right to know of the true facts about Mrs. Angiolini's misconduct.

It is impossible to describe everything that is going on, including the continued efforts to silence and intimidate me and my clients both in Scotland and in England. In Shropshire, where Hollie and Anne now live, Shropshire Council Social Services ordered a raid, accompanied by theft and vandalism on the ladies' home on 3rd June 2010. As a result of pressure by many interested parties, the Freedom of Information Commissioner has confirmed after a thorough investigation, that not only had the council broken the law, but also that two senior managers, Tim Collard and Stephen Chandler, had repeatedly lied when questioned about the raid.

There is much, much more and let us hope that not only for the sake of the victims of historic abuse, but also those children and the disabled in Aberdeen who remain at the mercy of the paedophile ring, that firm action is taken without further delay. Senior Scottish Ministers Kenny MacAskill and Alex Salmond have been made fully aware of the background to this case since the summer of 2009, when I also sent them the documents exposing Elish Angiolini's lies and culpability.

The Hollie

If you have been the victim of paedophile abuse by people holding positions of trust, we want to hear from you. Visit: www.holliedemandsjustice.org

PARENTS, TAXPAYERS AND ALL CONCERNED CITIZENS SHOULD BEWARE THE HIDDEN TRAPS IN THE CHILDREN'S REFERENDUM.

The Government has promised to put a Referendum on Children's Rights to the people later this year to amend the Constitution in order to give the State increased power over our children. To this end, it is proposed that the existing Article 42.5 be deleted and a new Article 42 (A) be inserted after the existing Article 42. It is also proposed that a new provision be inserted into Article 45 of the Constitution. Mothers Alliance Ireland has looked at the proposal and we give hereunder our opinion. (all emphasis ours)

PROPOSED WORDING FOR AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION. (all emphasis ours)

Article 42.5 of the Constitution **is deleted.**

A new article 42 (A) inserted after existing Article 42

42(A).1

The State acknowledges and affirms the natural and imprescriptible rights of all children and guarantees, as far as is practicable, by its laws to protect and vindicate those rights.

42(A).2.1

In exceptional cases, where the parents of any child regardless of their marital status for physical or moral reasons fail in their responsibility towards such child, the State as guardian of the common good shall, by appropriate means as provided by law, endeavour, with due regard for the natural and imprescriptible rights of the child, to supply the place of the parents.

42(A).2.2

Provision may be made by law for the adoption of a child where the parents have failed for such a period of time as may be prescribed by law in their responsibility towards the child, and where the best interests of the child so require.

42(A).3

Provision may be made by law for the voluntary placement for adoption and the adoption of any child.

42(A).4

Provision may be made by law for securing, as far as is practicable, the welfare and best interests of the child in the resolution of all proceedings concerning the adoption, guardianship or custody of, or access to, any child, and that in any such proceedings concerning a child who is capable of forming his or her own views, the voice of the child shall be heard and given due weight having regard to the child's age and maturity.

The following provisions to be inserted into Article 45.- Directive Principles of Social Policy
The State acknowledges that children, by reason of their physical and mental immaturity, need special safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection, and pledges itself to safeguard with special care the rights and interests of children.

While the new Article 42.5 is fraught with dangers for every child in the State, inserting children's rights into Article 45 is probably the most devilish ploy of all. If this amendment is passed, every other Article in the Constitution, including Articles 41 (Family) and 42 (Education), could become redundant, because the introduction to Article 45 states:

"The principles of social policy set forth in this Article are intended for the general guidance of the Oireachtas. The application of those principles in the making of laws shall be the care of the Oireachtas exclusively, and shall not be cognisable by any Court under any of the provisions of this Constitution."

If this Referendum is passed, then safeguarding the rights and interests of children will, under the amended Article 45 of our Constitution, be the right of the Oireachtas [government] **exclusively**. The rights of parents will no longer be recognised. This is as dangerous as it is bizarre because the only real protection many children have is the authority of their parents to seek redress for them, sometimes in the Courts, on the very constitutional grounds our politicians now want to eliminate.

No doubt our politicians will claim that the purpose of this new amended Article 45 is to satisfy Ireland's obligation under **Article 2 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC). The Irish Government ratified the CRC in 1992, without any consultation with the people of Ireland.** Article 2 of the CRC reads as follows:

Article 2 (1) States Parties [Ireland] shall respect and ensure the rights set forth in the present Convention to each child within their jurisdiction without discrimination of any kind, **irrespective** of the **child's** or his or her **parent's or legal guardian's** race, colour, sex, language, **religion**, political or other **opinion**, national, ethnic or social origin, property, disability, birth or other status.

(2) States Parties [Ireland] **shall take all appropriate measures** to ensure that ***the child is protected against*** all forms of discrimination or punishment on the basis of the status, activities, expressed ***opinions, or beliefs of the child's parents, legal guardians, or family members.***

Article 43 of the same Convention makes it clear that States that ratify this Convention **do not answer to parents**. Rather they are accountable to and must comply with the demands of an unelected, unaccountable UN Committee, the members of which are drawn from all over the world and whose culture and values will more than likely be foreign to Irish parents.

If this referendum is passed, it is the State and state bodies like the HSE, ISPCC, the Children's Rights Alliance (CRA) and social workers, **not parents,** that will decide what is in the best interests of every child in the State, irrespective of the marital status of the parents, and the UN Committee will adjudicate on what the State decides.

The State and state bodies have a rather murky record in safeguarding the nation's children in the past. Where, for instance was the ISPCC throughout the darkest period in Irish history when State-sponsored and facilitated abuse of children was taking place? Almost 40% of the children consigned to the Industrial Schools were there as a direct result of the ISPCC. It was the ISPCC that was primarily responsible for putting them there as revealed by *that extraordinary gentleman Michael O'Brien, victim of horrific institutional abuse, on the RTE Questions and Answers programme in May 2009,when he told a shocked nation* ***that "Eight of us from the one family, [were] dragged by the ISPCC cruelty man, put into two cars, brought to the Court in Clonmel, left standing there without food or anything".*** It appears that the ISPCC washed their hands of all further responsibility for the O'Brien children after that, as they apparently did with all the rest.

That, of course, they will tell us, was historical Ireland. But the State's murky record in the area of child protection hasn't changed much. According to the HSE themselves **22 children died under state watch in the early 2010** and a further **8 children had been involved in serious incidences including attempted suicides and drug overdoses**. That was just last year, friends, and is probably the tip of the iceberg. The total number of **deaths in state care in the ten years up to May 2010 exceeds 200** though initially the HSE claimed that the number was 20. Emergency legislation had to be enacted in June last to force that body to release all the files on these children.

Also let us not forget the countless numbers of children in state sponsored institutions who were used as guinea-pigs in vaccine experiments, without their own or their parents' consent. To quote Roger Eldridge of the National Men's Council of Ireland: ***"As things stand, no one but a fool would vote to give the State more power over children's lives."*** But that is exactly what this referendum, if passed, will do.

Spokespersons for the ISPCC, the CRA and Fergus Finlay of Barnardos, have been aggressively campaigning for this Children's Referendum. These organizations have a vested interest in getting the amendment through, because it will give them and other state bodies increased power over Irish children. It will no doubt also mean increased State (taxpayer) funding for these bodies. According to figures supplied by Barnardos, (described by them as "for charitable activities") to the Companies Registration Office, the amount of taxpayer funds paid to this organization in 2007 was €12.5m. The same report shows that two members of staff earned an average of €155,000. That was back in 2007 - four years ago. How much more of hard-pressed taxpayers' money has been paid to Barnardos since then? And how many millions of euros have gone to the ISPCC and to the CRA? The taxpayers of Ireland have a right to be given answers to these questions, **BEFORE** they vote in the Children's Referendum.

These people are prepared to take away a child's right to be protected by their parents and transfer this right to themselves and other institutions, and people need to ask if they are genuinely concerned for what is in the best interests of the child or could it possibly be that they see our children as little more than a means to make money. Those in authority know, or should know, that statistics have repeatedly proven that the best interests of the child are served by being raised by his and her parents in their Family. If the ISPCC, Barnardos, the CRA, politicians and others really cared about the best interests of our children, they would surely be better employed using their funds to tackle the ongoing failures of the state to support the Family than promoting an amendment that will destroy it.

This Referendum, if passed, will in fact seriously undermine the Real Rights of the Child, and his or her right to be protected in his or her own home by his or her own mother and father. Parents, whose right to protect their children has been usurped by the State, won't challenge the State either because they will be afraid of losing their children or because it would be futile to do so. This Referendum, if passed, will certainly not serve the best interests of children.

Supreme Court Judge Adrian Hardiman made it clear that the Constitution of Ireland fully respects the inalienable rights of the child. Not one iota of the Constitution needs to be changed to better protect children – neither Article 42.5 nor Article 45. Under Article 42.5 as it currently exists, the law already permits professionals extraordinary powers of intervention where there is a reason to believe that children are at risk. Article 42.5 states: ***"In exceptional cases, where parents have for physical or moral reasons, failed in their duty towards their children, the State, as guardian of the common good, by appropriate means, shall endeavour to supply the place of the parents, but always with due regard for the natural and imprescriptible rights of the child."***

As already stated above, it isn't the Constitution that has let children down, but the failure to respect it by the very same professionals – politicians, state bodies, children's rights bodies and social workers - who are now looking for more powers to exercise what power they already have.

Our politicians and all those with a vested interest in getting this referendum passed are using a powerful form of emotional blackmail to get the electorate to endorse the amendment. They – and the media it must also be said – are holding out as justification for the amendment, the horrific details of the abuse of their children by a tiny minority of parents, particularly the infamous Roscommon Case. But Article 42.5 of the Constitution, as it stands, without any amendment, could and should have been used to safeguard those children. **Yet that is the one Article that they now propose to have deleted.** They will of course use the excuse that the State's ability to intervene where children are at risk in the married family, is curtailed by the Constitution. They claim that the threshold for intervention, i.e. "in exceptional cases" is too high. This is pure poppycock and an insult to the intelligence of the electorate. In the Roscommon Case, it was the HSE that behaved appallingly and who had repeatedly failed these children. They were well aware of what was going on long before they took those children away from their parents. It has been suggested by many concerned citizens that the only reason the HSE left those poor children to suffer since 1990 was because they wanted to use the Roscommon Case as emotional blackmail, as part of a big propaganda exercise to get the Children's Referendum passed.

Of course, the bottom line about real rights is that money has to be spent to uphold them. Elastic caveats, like "as far as is practicable" in the proposed new Article 42 (A)1, should therefore set alarm bells ringing, especially for parents of children with disabilities and those with special needs. "As far as is practicable" is not a guarantee of a right but its exact opposite. Effectively what is implied here is that these rights will be guaranteed only as long as there is sufficient funding available to uphold them. Even in times of plenty, this phrase was used in many areas of social and health policy to avoid spending money. If this referendum is passed, it will be those children with special needs – and their numbers are growing – who will be the first casualties in any further economic downturn, as indeed is happening in this present recession in health and education. The highly paid top brass in the HSE and other State funded children's rights' groups will, no doubt, hold on to their well-paid taxpayer funded jobs.

This proposed amendment is clearly not in the best interests of one single Irish child, and if people truly want what's best for our children, now and into the future, they will give it a resounding NO on referendum day.

By Kathy Sinnott

Ireland has as much oil as Norway

■ Caroline Simons

That's what a Scandinavian expert said privately shortly before our second referendum on the Lisbon Treaty. He went so far as to suggest that the treaty was 'all about oil and gas' and that Ireland should vote 'No' if it wanted to keep control of its natural resources. He said we could be one of the richest countries in the EU.

I held a press conference spelling out the implications of the Lisbon Treaty for our autonomy in this area on 30 September 2009. No newspaper covered it. They were not interested to check it out, probably because the referendum was imminent, and because the media at that point was united in the view that the Lisbon Treaty had to be passed and therefore printed very little in opposition to it. In this, as in the banking sphere, we will find that 'it is not dissent that is dangerous, but unchallenged consensus'.

I have blogged previously (30 September 2009, 12 January 2011 and 27 January 2011) about our oil, gas and minerals.
Economics and technology now make it feasible for the oil companies to begin extraction on a scale never seen in our waters.

Tony O'Reilly's Providence Resources (Providence) is one of the leaders in the field. It has frontier licences in the Porcupine running to 2020. It reported in November 2010 that new data suggested their field at Spanish Point contains as much as 200 million barrels of oil and gas. It has a licensing option to August 2011 over the Dalkey Island Prospect. In October 2010 it obtained a licence over Rathin Island.

Providence has announced that it has secured a semi-submersible rig and will shortly begin drilling in the Celtic Sea's Barryroe field. This is part of a $500 million project that will sink 10 wells to be drilled from now through 2013. A report in today's Irish Examiner says that the Barryroe field is believed to hold at least 60 million barrels of oil and that it has been successfully tested at flow rates of between 1300 and 1600 barrels of oil per day from three appraisal wells. In fact, this data is 40 years old! Exxon drilled two of these wells and Marathon drilled a third in the 1970s. Indicative flow rates of about 1400 to 1600 barrels a day were found then. It is to be expected that these flow rates will be greatly increased forty years on. An independent report, produced by RPS, estimated that approximately 60 million barrels of crude is recoverable, worth about $800 million.

Providence is also to do a re-drill at Hooks Head. Hooks Head was also looked at in the 1970s. Sampling suggests that this will yield about a third of Barryroe.

Commentators suggest that these 2 drills could add $400-$500 million to Providence's market capital. It is reported that Shell has been signed as a potential marketing partner for Barryroe and Hooks Head, so we can expect to hear a lot more about this.

In 2012 Providence will concentrate on the West coast. Its licence at Dunquin could produce its biggest bonanza. ExxonMobil is a 40% shareholder and the operator of the licence, ENI owns 40%, SOSINA 4%, which leaves Providence with 16%. Industry intelligence suggests that recoverable estimates are near 1.8 billion barrels of oil equivalent. That apparently only ascribes volume to 20% of the known area. If that well delivers, it will open up the whole Atlantic margin. Providence also intends to drill an appraisal well in the Dragon Field, midway between Ireland and Wales, in Autumn 2012.

Lansdowne Oil and Gas, a partner of Providence in Barryroe , is also focusing on fields in the Celtic Sea. It hopes to extract 118 million barrels of oil or gas from the Rosscarbery, Middleton and Amergin licence areas.

People in Donegal noted the establishment of the Effective Offshore Ltd training centre last year. This provides training in the offshore oil and gas industry. People in Rossaveal note the very considerable upgrading of its port facilities (with the support of the EU) and proposals for a new road. Local wisdom is that these initiatives are to facilitate acitvities in the Irish oil and gas industry.

There is certainly going to be a lot of exploration/extraction activity in our waters over the next few years. Will the people on the island of Ireland benefit from this windfall as the people of Norway did? Not if the government continues on its present trajectory. It is time to call in outside help urgently. Norway offered to help us develop an oil and gas industry decades ago, but our government was too shortsighted to accept. I went to Norway in 2009 to establish links with

JONATHAN SWIFT'S LEGACY (Gulliver's Travels) Killing the Yahoos – All of us

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
web: www.larouchepub.com

On that account, conserve the provocative notion of the fact, that today's insight into the special affinity of Ireland's folk to what was to emerge as the United States, owes much to my late friend, the historian H. Graham Lowry, whose 1988 'How The Nation Was Won' proffered a deeper insight into the affairs of both of our respective nations presently.

Graham's achievement as a scholar has pinpointed the direct connection of the great Gottfried Leibniz to what would emerge as the United States of America. Graham's discovery located the precise connection in Gottfried Leibniz's intervention, in the opening decade of the Eighteenth Century, into the resistance to the crushing of both Ireland and that Massachusetts Bay Colony led by the Winthrops and Mathers. He brought our attention to the remarkable role of Jonathan Swift in leading a continuing resistance to the heirs of that William of Orange who was the actual founder of the British Empire as an attempted replica of the Roman empires before it.

The present trans-Atlantic community is in a state of an apparently current submission to such traditionally British imperial appendages, as lately emphasized by Queen Elizabeth II, and also of the United States under rabidly anglophile U.S. Presidents such as George W. Bush, Jr., and, even worse, President Barack Obama. Despite all that, there exists a certain, inherently efficient immortality of those among our presently departed opponents, as among us the living who share our determination to free us from that imperialist evil flowing through the New Venetian Party's William of Orange. So in all just warfare against the power of evil, a sense of the immortality which is specific to the human soul persists as the stubborn force whose very stubbornness presages the stirring of the spirit for the prospect of ultimate victory.

Betwixt the troubles we had already shared among us, there is both the onrushing threat of the greatest economic collapse in modern history, and additional great troubles introduced by a menacing turn in our Solar system's currently increased vulnerability to a mix of bad weather, including such troubles as seismic eruptions on the scale of "8" and above, and an addition of very nasty weather to match.

To add to those troubles we have already known all too well, a new peril exists throughout our planet. We are capable, if we have the will of resisting the effects of this change in the weather, the ability to save lives, if we will, and the potential, if we are determined to do so, to bring some effective degree of control over the presently menacing global developments as well. In such matters as those, I am an old soldier for whom a new front in an old war is, in principle, familiar territory.

Bless us all in these most worthwhile endeavours. Consider the evidence which Graham Lowry's work had added to greatly improve our insights into those foundations on which our policy-shaping for today and tomorrow properly depends in large degree.

- - A Matter of Economic Principle - -

For the greater part of what we know of the history of the trans-Atlantic regions (and beyond), European civilization since the awful folly of the Peloponnesian War, has been ruled from the founding of the Roman Empire in negotiations on the Isle of Capri, by an imperial system of monetarism, which began with the Roman Empire, was restarted under Byzantium, was restarted under the Venetian monetarists' control over the abomination of usury and mass murder which was the system of the Crusaders, and, after an interlude leading into, and coming out of the great ecumenical Council of Florence, we have experienced the ruinous pattern of religious warfare which dominated the interval from 1492 until the 1648 Peace of Westphalia. We are thus confronted with the breakdown of European economy and culture which began with that assassination of President John F. Kennedy which permitted that great folly of an Indo-China war, a war which the British Empire had designed and exploited for the purpose of ruining the power of the United States to contain the evil inherent in the British empire of that time. The destruction of the last remnant of economic sanity in the 1999 repeal of what President Franklin Roosevelt had introduced in 1933 as the Glass-Steagall law, has opened the gates of virtual Hell into which the nations of Europe, and the trans-Atlantic region, have been plunged, presently, into the breakdown-crisis now centred in that great reign of madness called "The Euro."

The principal currency blocs of the planet are, thus, locked in an advanced expression of something like what was imposed on Germany in 1923. It now appears that those events of 1923 are now being re-enacted on a Transatlantic, or even broader scale. Europe and the trans-Atlantic region more widely, are presently located on the calendar for a probable end-game of the world economy no later than the autumn of this present year. Thus, we have now reached near to the point of a general, hyper-inflationary breakdown of Transatlantic civilization, unless the suicidal folly of the present "Euro" system is aborted, and that, now, very soon, for the benefit of a system of respectively sovereign European states.

The objective which we must keep in mind in working to defeat the terminal moment of a collapse of the "Euro" system, is the reform which transforms the Transatlantic, and wider, economic system, a transformation away from the monetarist tradition established by the succession of Roman-like empires, to a fixed-exchange-rate credit system. The potential for success of such a reform as that, it lies along a pathway of science-driven progress leading into, and beyond the present brink of a thermo-nuclear age in the selection of the systems of sources of power needed to enable us to meet the requirements of present and future mankind.

That pathway of progress requires two seemingly ironically juxtaposed, governing intentions. We must foster that Classical tradition of the artistic imagination of the people of each national culture, its cultural sovereignty, which is the root of all science-driven progress in true practice of freedom, while increasing the rigorous



deployment of physical-scientific progress needed to meet the physical challenge of ensuring the impassioned scientific progress needed to enable us to meet the needs of a growing population of mankind. The design for the evolution of the progress in the charm of a Classical artistic world-look on the creative imagination, combined with the rigors of physical-science-driven effects for the benefit of mankind, is the essentially ironical nature of the mission set properly before us.

We must approach such subject-matters of policy-shaping direction as given by differing shadings of human cultures to a common ultimate purpose. After all, mankind has existed on this planet within a presently estimated term of between two and three million years, a most modest and relatively most recent lapse of time in the vastness of even that galaxy which our Solar system presently inhabits. Against the backdrop of such realities as those, we are a tenderly young species, as if one born only yesterday. We are mortal in our bodies, but with portents of eternity in our proper mission. For that mission assigned to us, we must be generously modest, but also very much bold. We are mortal, but through that power for creativity among us, our existence within the breadth and depth of physical-space-time in this universe, is the expression of an immortal opportunity which our brief moment of mortal existence has presented to us as the eternal meaning

2011 - The Fake 'Bin Laden'

10 Facts That Prove The Bin Laden Fable Is a Contrived Hoax

By Paul Joseph Watson - PrisonPlanet.com

2001 - The Real Bin Laden

Every indication clearly points to the recent raid being a manufactured ploy to return Americans to a state of post-9/11 intellectual castration. Since President Obama announced the death of Osama Bin Laden, there has been literally a deluge of evidence that clearly indicates the whole episode has been manufactured for political gain and to return Americans to a state of post-9/11 intellectual castration so that they can be easily manipulated in the run up to the 2012 election. Here are ten facts that prove the Bin Laden fable is a contrived hoax....

1) Before the alleged raid, every intelligence analyst, geopolitical commentator or head of state worth their salt was on record as stating that Osama Bin Laden was already dead, and that he probably died many years ago, from veteran CIA officer Robert Baer, to former Pakistani Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto, to former FBI head of counterterrorism Dale Watson. In addition, back in 2002 Alex Jones was told directly by two separate high level sources that Bin Laden was already dead and that his death would be announced at the most politically opportune moment. Top US government insider Dr. Steve R. Pieczenik, a man who held numerous different influential positions under five different Presidents, serving as the Deputy Assistant Secretary of State under Nixon, Ford and Carter, told the Alex Jones Show a week after the raid that Bin Laden died of marfan syndrome shortly after he was visited by CIA physicians at the American Hospital in Dubai in July 2001.

2) The official narrative of how the raid unfolded completely collapsed within days of its announcement. First there had been a 40 minute shootout, then there was no shootout and just one man was armed, first Bin Laden was armed then he was not, first Bin Laden used his wife as a human shield and then he did not. First the compound was described as a "$1 million dollar mansion" then it turned out to be a rubbish-strewn dilapidated compound that was worth less than a quarter of that. Almost every single aspect of the official narrative has changed since Obama first described the raid as the White House struggles to keep its story straight.

3) The alleged body of Bin Laden was hastily dumped in the sea to prevent any proper procedure of identification. The White House claimed this was in accordance with normal Islamic burial rituals, however numerous Muslim scholars all over the globe disputed this claim, pointing out that Muslims can only be buried at sea if they die at sea. While the White House claimed that Bin Laden's death on May 1st was proven by DNA and facial recognition evidence, such proof was never released for public scrutiny and the Obama administration refused to release photos of Bin Laden's dead body, suggesting a cover-up.

4) Despite the fact that the White House released "situation room" photos which purported to show Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, Joe Biden and the rest of Obama's security staff watching the raid which killed Bin Laden live, it was later admitted by CIA director Leon Panetta that Obama could not have seen the raid because the live feed was cut off before the Navy SEALS entered the compound. The photos were described by many as having "historical significance," forming a "captivating" record of Obama's greatest success and being the "defining moment" of his Presidency. One image showed Hillary Clinton with her hand over her mouth as if witnessing an anxious or crucial moment in the raid. Media reports at the time claimed that the photos represented the moment when *"The leader of the free world saw the terror chief shot in the left eye."* However, the photos were staged as a PR stunt for public consumption, nobody in the photos ever saw Bin Laden killed live, nor did they see the Navy SEALS even enter the compound.

5) As even mainstream journalists began to cast suspicion on the official narrative behind the raid, the media reported that Al-Qaeda itself had confirmed every detail of Obama's address the the nation. However, the conduit for such a claim was in fact an organization called SITE, which is a notorious Pentagon propaganda front run by the daughter of an Israeli spy that has been caught on numerous occasions releasing fake cartoonish "Al-Qaeda" videos at the most politically expedient times for both the Bush and Obama administrations. The SITE organization is nothing more than a contractor for the U.S. government, receiving some $500,000 a year annually from Uncle Sam, and yet the corporate media instantly swallowed and regurgitated the claim that "Al-Qaeda" had confirmed the official story after SITE directed them to an anonymous posting on an Islamic website.

This right-handed man has been identified as 'Akhbar Han' and not Bin Laden

6) Almost every single neighbor that lived near the alleged Bin Laden compound in Abbottabad that was interviewed by news reporters said with absolute certainty that they had never seen Bin Laden and that they knew of no evidence whatsoever to suggest he lived there. Since the town is a staging ground for the Pakistani military, which has a training facility situated virtually a stone's throw away from the alleged Bin Laden compound, residents were required to show ID when they moved into the area. Pakistani troops and anti-terror police in the town refused to confirm that Bin Laden had lived in the house. Barack Obama himself admitted to 60 Minutes that the White House was only 55/45 sure that Osama lived there before the raid and this uncertainty prompted concerns that the US Navy SEALS sent in could have targeted a "prince from Dubai" or some other individual that was not Bin Laden.

7) The videos released by the White House this which purport to show Osama Bin Laden making Al-Qaeda tapes in October-November 2010 are almost identical to footage first released by Pentagon front group SITE nearly four years ago. Remember, a May 2010 Washington Post story reported how **the CIA had admitted to making fake Bin Laden videos.** Despite the White House's insistence that the footage of Bin Laden is recent, he looks younger and healthier than tapes released almost a decade ago, having apparently dyed his beard black. A separate video that purports to show Bin Laden in his compound flicking through satellite TV channels depicts a much older looking man with a gray beard. Analysts have pointed out that the man has different shaped ears to real Osama pictures from back in 2001. A doctor has also pointed out the fact that the man in the tapes released Saturday has no problem moving his left arm, whereas video from 2001 clearly illustrates how Bin Laden was unable to move his left upper extremity because of a permanent injury probably related to damage to the peripheral nerves. Why the cameraman would film the back of Bin Laden's head as he watches television is also dubious. Residents in the town of Abbottabad claim the man in the "television" video is not Osama, with one individual claiming that the man labeled by the White House as being Bin Laden is actually his neighbor, a man named Akhbar Han.

8) Despite the fact that numerous neo-cons came out on the days after the alleged raid to erroneously assert that torturing terror suspects at Guantanamo Bay led to the discovery of Bin Laden, Osama himself, the supposed world's most wanted terrorist and a treasure trove of terror information, despite the fact that he was unarmed, was not taken in for questioning, he was instantly shot in the head according to the official narrative.

9) The US government has been caught on several occasions within the past decade staging military operations for the purposes of generating contrived, pro-war sentiment amongst the American public. Both the "rescue" of Jessica Lynch and the death of Pat Tillman were complete fables, scripted and staged at complete odds with the truth and unleashed on Americans as part of a psychological warfare offensive to elicit support for the war on terror, almost identical to what we're seeing now with the Bin Laden sideshow. Given the fact that the US government has been caught red-handed scripting tales of pure fiction in order to justify the war on terror, notably in the cases of Jessica Lynch and Pat Tillman, why on earth should we believe them now?

10) Despite the fact that Obama announced a week after the raid on live television that the world was now "safer" because Bin Laden was dead, his administration, with the aid of the fearmongering mass media, instantly seized upon the situation to terrify Americans into being afraid of imminent "reprisal" terror attacks inside the United States, later claiming that Bin Laden had formulated an *"aspirational rather than operational"* plan to derail US trains that travel over 500mph, although no trains in the US can actually travel at such speeds. This led "terror experts" to salivate over how TSA agents were now needed in shopping malls to stick their hands down Americans' pants, while New York Senator Chuckie Schumer called for the no fly list to be expanded to trains and subways. Obama hurried to ground zero for a photo op as he desperately tried to use the Bin Laden hoax to whip up phony patriotism as a means of boosting his flagging poll numbers. Others, like Democrat Bill Richardson, exploited the situation to try and push through policies that had no connection to Bin Laden or terrorism at all, like cap and trade. The haste with which the whole Bin Laden fable was exploited for political points scoring and as a psychological ploy to return Americans to a post-9/11 state of intellectual castration was painfully transparent, clearly suggesting that the entire farce was planned well in advance to achieve precisely those goals in the run up to 2012.

The Mainstream Media: A Weapon of Mass Deconstruction

By Sally Exoudhu

The media is the man in the middle between the New World Order and the rest of us, the slaves; the general population. The mass media is a weapon of war to destroy critical thinking and ultimately society and everything in it that is moral, decent and traditional. The mass media belongs to the New World Order and all its staff are paid by the Almighty New World Order Bank.

It's toe-the-line or be out of a job. There's no room for critical thinking, individuality, or spontaneity.

Cognitive dissonance:

The enemy, which happily pays for this media weaponry is kept in a state of constant Orwellian doublethink, bombarded by crisis after crisis, fear mongering and media terrorism on the one hand, and constant mind-masturbatory drivel on the other, pandering to its most animalistic instincts. Victims of mass media weaponry are left in a state of utter devastation and powerlessness. The mass media does all the thinking for them. Victims cannot even see the sky overhead in daily chemtrail air raids. If a news anchor hasn't mentioned it, it can't be true. It's not only the gutter press. The so-called academic Right/Left media puppets are even more dangerous, being too duped to understand that they are building their own concentration camps to exterminate themselves and their own children.

Predictive programming:

This is brainwashing which familiarises and prepares the media-victim for forthcoming life-situations which otherwise would be disgustingly unacceptable. Science fiction is full of these implants, as are television soaps, comedies, dramas, documentaries, advertisements, "news" and music. Predictive programming is funded top-down by the New World Order. It works best when the victim's brain is in a relaxed and receptive state ready to soak up data. Television has achieved this intended goal. Victims are also kept exhausted on a financial/career treadmill, so the brainwashing is most effective.

Deconstruction:

The mass media invades, attacks, and destroys society as we know it. The take down happens in stages. The first stage is demoralisation. The culture is debauched and weakened by mass-media promotion of the grossest of sexual perversions, promiscuity, drugging, marriage shattering, family-dysfunction, child snatching and the rest. This leads to destabilisation ending in chaos. When a culture enters into chaos, there are two possible outcomes, civil war or invasion by a foreign power. The whole murderous scenario was scripted and acted out from the outset for the purpose of invasion, resource stripping and domination.

Reconstruction:

Of course, the media is also reconstructing society to suit the Brave New World Order, some themes to watch out for are, depopulation, eugenics, transhumanism, "bad" genes, posthumanism, genetic manipulation and climate tampering.

> ***"Propaganda must be total. The propagandist must utilise all of the technical means at his disposal - the press, radio, TV, movies, posters, meetings, door-to-door canvasassing. Modern propaganda must utilise ALL of these media." Jacques Ellul - 'Propaganda - The Formation of Men's Attitudes' 1965***
>
> ***"The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organised habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country."***
>
> *Edward Bernays - 'Propaganda' 1928*

Collapsing the New World Order:

The system is like a pyramid of apples, outside a fruit store. If a few apples at the bottom could use individuality, imagination, spontaneity or free will and decide to leave, the pile would collapse. We have these abilities as individuals. We must use them. The mass media's job is to prevent us knowing this. It doesn't matter who's at the top of the pile.

WE HOLD THE POWER!

Democracy Is An Illusion: Foreign Bankers Hold Our Purse Strings

By Henry Makow - www.henrymakow.com

A "Far Side" cartoon describes our innocence about democracy.:

A slave rowing a Viking ship puts up his hand and calls to the whip master: *"Yoo-hoo! Oh, yoo-hoo... I think I'm getting a blister."*

Like this man, most people cling to the belief that our leaders represent our interests.

"Yoo, hoo, Mr. Bush, you lied about Iraq having weapons of mass destruction."

At an elite gathering, Bush peered under his lectern and quipped: ***"Where are those weapons of mass destruction?"***

A tiny cabal of international bankers chooses our "leaders". This clique, which subtly controls every significant facet of our society is gradually establishing an global Orwellian police state. Much of the upper and middle class has been duped to think they are building a better world.

PROVE IT, YOU SAY?

"The Naked Capitalist" by W.C. Skousen (available at www.abe.com & www.bookfinder.com) is yet another smoking gun. It is based on the revelations contained in Professor Carroll Quigley's **"Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time."** (1966)

Quigley, a Professor of History at the Foreign Service School at Georgetown University was a trusted insider who had access to their private archives. He felt the plot, which he supported, was too important to be kept hidden. However, shortly after publication, his book was taken off the market.

Cleon Skousen was an FBI agent for 16 years and the Police Chief of Salt Lake City for four years. His **"The Naked Capitalist"** distills the most shocking evidence from Quigley's daunting 1300-page book.

At just 122 pages, "The Naked Capitalist" (1970) is a concise, lucid and absolutely convincing account of the international banker conspiracy. Historians who have largely ignored this material have betrayed the public trust.

OUR PREDICAMENT

Quigley confirms that a network of banking dynasties has, in Skousen's words, *"acquired a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race."* According to Quigley, they include *"Baring, Lazard, Erlanger, Warburg, Schroder, Selingman, the Speyers, Mirabaud, Malet, and above all Rothschild and Morgan."* (Citations are from "Tragedy and Hope", 51-52)

Quigley confirms that, starting with the Bank of England in 1694, these dynasties organized themselves in a system of central banks that charge their respective nations billions of dollars in interest for the privilege of using currency backed by the nations' own credit. In other words, they have carried off a swindle of monstrous proportions.

Quigley quotes William Gladstone who as Chancellor of the Exchequer said in 1852: ***"The government itself was not to be a substantive power in matters of Finance, but was to leave the Money Power supreme and unquestioned." (325)***

Put another way, a private credit monopoly controls the purse strings of all the world's governments.

Able to create money out of nothing, they naturally grabbed as much of the world's real wealth as they could. Quigley writes about the formation of their American cartels:

"The period 1884-1933 was the period of financial capitalism in which investment bankers moving into commercial banking and insurance on the one side, and into railroading and heavy industry on the other were able to mobilize enormous wealth and wield enormous economic, political and social power." (71)

Indeed their representatives, the **"Eastern Establishment"** i.e. the Morgans and now the Rockefellers run the United States. (72) The principle mechanism is the Council on Foreign Relations.

According to Quigley, the ultimate goal is ***"nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled ...by the central banks...acting in concert."*** (324)

Quigley confirms that the bankers have usurped mankind's collective instincts by financing the Socialist and Communist movements. Bankers love big government because the ultimate monopoly is the State. Through it, they can take over their competition and control debt, resources, market demand and labor.

Prof. Carroll Quigley

Speaking of the Communist takeover of the US government in the 1930's and 1940's, Quigley writes, ***"it must be understood that the power that these energetic left-wingers exercised was never their own power of Communist power but was ultimately the power of the international financial coterie."*** (954)

In other words, millions of idealists committed to human brotherhood and equality were (and are) duped into advancing a totalitarian scheme to concentrate wealth and power in the hands of the super rich. More savvy Leftists, Communists, Feminists and Globalists continue to prosper while unctuously pretending to serve humanity.

The Money Power controls the debate and encourages gridlock by backing all political shades and marginalizing anyone who shines the spotlight on them. (Ever wonder why Noam Chomsky never mentions international bankers and the credit system?) The media is controlled through direct ownership and advertising.

Quigley writes:

"To Morgan all political parties were simply organizations to be used, and the firm always was careful to keep a foot in all camps. Morgan himself, Dwight Morrow and other partners were allied with the Republicans; Russell C. Lewffingwell was allied with the Democrats; Grayson Murphy was allied with the extreme Right; and Thomas W. Lamont was allied with the Left." (945)

The Lamont family was "sponsors and financial angels to almost a score of extreme Left organizations including the Communist Party itself." (945)

CONCLUSION

A small cabal of people who are not even citizens hold the financial purse strings of every nation.

This goes a long way to explaining "globalization" and the push to one-world government. It explains the assault on race, religion, nation and family. The bankers want a homogeneous world that offers no basis of resistance.

It explains why in a time of supposed security danger, the southern border of the US is practically porous. The bankers want to undermine America's European character, which it perceives as a threat.

It explains the war on Iraq, and the fact that there is no opposition in the mainstream parties or press. This war desecrates a cradle of civilization and assails Islam. It is also an opportunity to create more debt and enrich the bankers and their corporate allies.

It explains 9-11, the Patriot Repression Act and the phony **"War on Terror."**

It explains the depraved mass media and stupefying education system. I could go on but you get the picture. We are krill at the mercy of a gigantic whale. At the very least, let's not waste energy thinking we live in a free and open society. Our democracy is a ruse.

AUSTERITY MEANS POVERTY AND THEY WANT US TO KEEP IT PERMANENTLY!

Paul Adams

Will the aftershock of the recent Japanese tsunami and earthquake prove to be the final nail in the coffin of the world economy? As the consequences of widespread devastation in Japan become apparent commentators are reporting shortages of parts and components for manufacturers. Car plants in North America and the UK have been closed temporarily or otherwise due to a shortage of vital components. Because of the fragile nature of the interconnected global supply chain any shocks to the distribution system can cause major problems for manufacturers. There are also reports that many major Japanese corporations may also be affected by these shortages. The concern now is that second quarter earnings reports may make for dire reading. If this is the case, the stock market will plummet. Japan's GDP debt ratio is estimated (2010) to stand at 225.8% but may go 228%. Japan will also need to borrow significant amounts of money to carry out reconstruction adding to its debt. As if this was not enough, Europe remains in a mess financially. Portugal has sought a bailout while Spain is seen as 'too big to bail'. The only response to debt is to create more debt.

As countries seek further assistance by way of loans, there should be at least some confidence about the ability to pay; that is economic growth. However, more debt places more burdens on the people through "austerity" measures in order to repay debt. How can any nation or people pay ever-increasing amounts of debt while their ability to pay continues to worsen? The situation in Japan just shows the risks of the growing interconnectedness of the global economy. A "shock" of any description in one part of the global economy can cause disastrous shock waves around the world. Production, supply and finance are now global in nature. Consequently, the individual nation state's ability, to conduct its own affairs, has been weakened. This is the process called **Globalization.**

Whether it is Japan that finally brings the economic system down remains to be seen. There are of course many other factors which need to be considered. China was reported last year to have overtaken Japan as the world's second biggest economy. China has become the powerhouse, manufacturing consumer goods for the world. This was pre-planned under the 'Lima Declaration'. This treaty was signed in 1975 at a convention of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation, in Lima, Peru. As a result, US debt has been escalating with much of this debt held by China. The irony of China's success is based on ever-increasing amounts of debt owed to western countries. In an article by Nancy Marshall Genzer, Marketplace morning report, March 1st 2011, China's, US debt has been revised to 1.160 trillion, more than previously thought.

Obviously the logic is that these patterns of consumption cannot continue. The debt is simply un-repayable. US workers are still paid considerably more than Chinese workers and their jobs may continue to move abroad under the current economic arrangements. These patterns of consumption and debt are clearly unsustainable. If the debt crisis is not resolved soon we may enter a time of 'austerity' in both the US and the western world in general. As more is taken from the people, by way of taxation, to prop up this money system, as the real value of salaries is being reduced, pensions and investments will not give the returns that were expected. 'Austerity' may not necessarily come from a shortage of commodities, although this is also possible, but from a shortage of money which may finally put us back on some form of rationing where the purchasing power of money is drastically reduced.

As with the European Union, if Spain requires financial assistance the question is; who is in a position to give that assistance? The truth of the matter is that many countries including the UK have a massive debt problem, attempting to finance their deficits while imposing massive spending cuts as the economy continues to shrink. The point is, that without serious change to the way money works, a breakdown of the entire system may be inevitable.

> ***"Give me control of a nations money supply, and I care not who makes its laws."***
>
> **Mayer Amschel Rothschild.**

The problem and possible solution.

The nature of the global empire is an international based money system. Money is not used simply as a convenient means of exchange for goods and services but to dominate and control both the natural and cognitive resources on the planet. In the United States, under the Roosevelt administration, legislation was brought in to deal with wild speculation and the problem of spiralling debt. The name of this piece of legislation was Glass/Steagall. After many attempts this legislation was finally repealed under the Clinton administration. The original legislation sought to distinguish between commercial and investment banks and what was deemed legitimate and worthless paper that came from speculation.

Under the American Constitution their system is based on a credit system not a monetary system (LaRouche). Glass/Steagall is once again back before the US congress, to vote on its re-instatement. If this bill was re enacted it would give, not only the US, but possibly the world the opportunity to cancel much of this debt and issue credit for reconstruction of the global economy. Unfortunately there is likely to be fierce resistance to this as the current monetary system would be bankrupted in the process. The system of usurious international loans would be brought to an end, and humanity might be saved from descending into hell.

Today although the magnitude of the problem is far greater, the solution remains the same. The re-enactment of Glass Steagall would give us opportunity to change the way money is created and used.

The people start to awaken.

As the situation deteriorates, people begin to lose confidence in the system. They may not be fully aware of the process taking place but they understand something is wrong. We have seen the results of this across the world, here in Britain, the US, Europe and North Africa, and this process is probably not at an end yet. People everywhere seem under attack from multiple forces. This awakening is something re-emerging in humankind. It has always been there and is usually aroused in humanity under certain conditions. This has recurred time and again in the past. At such times in human history there are dangers as well as opportunities.

This is now happening as people realise and understand how money has been used to entrap and enslave them. If anything of real value is to be created for humanity money must become an enabler not a destroyer. Some call this creative destruction. It has more to do with destruction than creating anything of real value. Glass/Steagall could change that environment. The question is will that decision be taken or not? I think it was Patrick Henry who once said, ***"Give me liberty or give me death"***. Let us

Food for thought, but we'll need your fingerprints

In another bizarre example of the so called 'nanny state' or as it should be known, 'the totalitarian state' schools in Britain are pushing to ban parents from providing their children's lunches under the guise of healthy eating.

This is despite the fact that parents are responsible for what goes into their children's bodies. This includes food, drink, medicines and the odd marble they lodge up their nostrils.

Since when did someone with a college course in teaching suddenly become a nutritionist? Why won't parents simply laugh at the notion of a school deciding what their children eat and simply ignore this further attempt to erode the rights of parents simply because some bureaucrat or headmaster thinks it's a good idea? What is wrong with you?

They are YOUR children. They are YOUR responsibility.

If you allow the government to dictate what your children eat, what's next? Will you allow the headmaster to dictate what colour of underwear your 10 year old daughter can wear? Will you allow him to check?

How about fingerprints to get the school dinners they want to force down their throats? They're already doing that! According to master propagandists, the BBC, over 100 schools in 2010 were using fingerprints for food, library books and cashless payments. No doubt the food will contain copious amounts of genetically modified cancer causing 'frankenfoods' to ensure sterilisation as well.

Will you let your children be indoctrinated into the 'church of climatology' and be brainwashed into believing CO2 is a toxic gas and that when they breath out they are polluters?

Do you even know what YOUR children are being taught in school? Don't you think you should find out?

Are you aware that the EU wants to legalise paedophilia and that this is being brought into the curriculum in other EU countries? Is this what you want?

Remember the colour of little Jenny's underwear?

It's time parents took full responsibility for their children's well-being and I would suggest that you all start saying no to ALL of this totalitarian despotism before it ultimately destroys your children and turns them into 'hitler youth' style robots!

If your children's school starts to teach paedophilia I would hope that every parent of every child would take their children from the state school system never to return them into the clutches of evil.



The cartoon-ization of a "last great global conflict" is due to a natural tendency of human beings to cope with terrifying ideas, often by intellectually trivializing them, and thereby making them easily digestible, much like the proverbial public speaking tactic of imagining the audience with their clothes off

The problem with this development in our society is that it causes us to become cynical to the point of idiocy when confronted with very real threats. By convincing ourselves that such an event is an impossibility we leave ourselves unguarded and without a conceptual point of reference, because we have not thought about the scenario in a practical levelheaded manner. This is akin to a man who has never even considered the likelihood of being mugged on the street, versus a man who has trained in self defense for just such a situation.
When the event occurs, the two men will have totally different psychological reactions; the first man utterly surprised and out of his element with little to no constructive response, and the latter man far less mentally phased and thus more likely to survive.

With this fact in mind, we will endeavor to explore recent world events, along with international agreements and tensions, and how they could be used by Global Elites to trigger a war reaching around the planet.

Most Wars Happen To The Benefit Of Globalists

Elites often attempt to paint a pretty picture, a glossy flower filled love-fest, when it comes to the creation of World Government. The truth however has been and always will be that the road to globalization is paved with the death of innocents and civilizations. Every movement towards the formation of centralized global government has been preceded by unthinkable destruction. This may seem futile and horribly regressive to us, but to Globalists, war is a highly effective and useful tool.

Conflict on a massive scale creates an atmosphere of tension and terror, giving the average man, even men who are nowhere near danger, a sort of perpetual tunnel vision. World War has the ability to trigger the "fight or flight" psychological response and sustain it in an entire society over long periods of time. Maintaining such a mental state in a human being can cause severe exhaustion and emotional imbalance.
Imagine the process of interrogation and torture used on a prisoner in places such as Guantanamo Bay, then, apply that to an entire nation of people. War breaks down our psychological defenses as a society, and makes us vulnerable to suggestion.

By creating war, Globalists change not only the political landscape of nations, but also the emotional and rational checks and balances of every individual who has not prepared himself to handle the pressures of fear. In this way, people can be made to forget how things were before, and accept a new world, a world designed around the corrupt appetites of elite minorities, if only to make the fear stop.

I often hear arguments that war is simply a product of temporary mass insanity. That it is often a "blunder," an "oversight." Make no mistake, governments and the power brokers behind them WANT war. Indeed, they commonly design wars that never would have happened without their help. Here are only a few of the many examples:

The Spanish American War:

The Spanish American war was one of the first to be a wholly media driven event, created out of thin air and forced on the American public. Elites in Washington, including Theodore Roosevelt, wanted to move the U.S. into an expansionist policy and the realm of empire building. Most American citizens wanted nothing to do with expansionism. Our country had been built in opposition to empires after all. Enter William Randolph Hearst, newspaper mogul and elitist. Hearst papers across the country went on a tabloid spree, reporting on battles between the Spanish government and Cuban guerrilla fighters that were not actually happening, along with exaggerated dramatizations of Spanish government mistreatment of civilians. Of course, the Spanish were certainly not treating the Cuban people well, but the fact that Hearst made stories up in order to paint a grave picture with which to manipulate Americans at home is what is important here.

Upon his arrival in Cuba, Hearst correspondent Fredrick Remington cabled to Hearst: *"Everything is quiet. There is no trouble. There will be no war. I wish to return."* Hearst reportedly replied: *"Please remain. You furnish the pictures and I'll furnish the war."*

Hearst's propaganda though was not quite enough to make the people want to forcefully overtake another country or adopt expansionism. So, on February 15, 1898, an explosion was set on the USS Maine off the coast of Havana, Cuba. 260 out of 355 sailors lost their lives, though strangely, only two ranked as officers were killed. Hearst papers went into overdrive claiming the Spanish had sunk her with a mine or torpedo, and the pretext for war in Cuba was established. Ever since, the U.S. has held an ever more prominent policy of expansionism and empire building.

Interestingly, recent studies, including those of National Geographic, show that the debris from the Maine explosion pointed outward, indicating an explosion from INSIDE the ship, not outside. The government still maintains that this must have been "accidental".

World War I:

The beginning of WW I is often blamed on a **"mindlessly mechanical series of events,"** but this is simply nonsense. The embroilment of America in the affairs of Europe was carefully orchestrated and far from accidental.

Norman Dodd, former director of the Committee to Investigate Tax Exempt Foundations of the U.S. House of Representatives, testified that the Committee was invited to study the minutes of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace as part of the Committee's investigation. The Committee stated: *"The trustees of the Foundation brought up a single question. If it is desirable to alter the life of an entire people, is there any means more efficient than war.... They discussed this question... for a year and came up with an answer: There are no known means more efficient than war, assuming the objective is altering the life of an entire people. That leads them to a question: How do we involve the United States in a war. This is in 1909."*

Once again, Americans had no interest in expansionism or fighting wars along side Monarchies that we with good reason despised. The key to how we were fooled once again into going against our better instincts lay in the sinking of yet another ship; the Lusitania.

The Lusitania was attacked by a German U-boat and sunk on May 7, 1915, killing 1198 passengers and was later used as a pretext for drawing the U.S. into WWI; this is the commonly held view taught in every high school history class. The problem is that it is only half the story. What it does not mention is the fact that the British goaded the Germans into the attack.

In that era, there still existed **"rules of war,"** one of which was the expectation that German U-boats should surface before destroying any merchant vessel and allow the passengers to flee the ship. The Germans adhered to this standard until the British began arming merchant ships and ordering them to fly the colors of neutral countries. They were then to sink any U-boat that surfaced to deliver a warning. The good faith of the understanding was ruined, and the Germans decided it was safer to sink the ships without warning and be done with it.

The British also began smuggling arms and explosives using regular merchant ships as cover, making them participants in the war, and therefore targets. The Lusitania was no exception.

When the Lusitania was hit by a German torpedo, the initial explosion was certainly destructive, but not as destructive as the massive secondary explosion passengers witnessed as they were fleeing the scene, which ripped the ship apart.
For decades the U.S. and British governments denied that the Lusitania was carrying arms, until divers exploring the wreckage discovered cases of nearly 4 million rounds of ammo! Meaning according to the articles of war, the Lusitania was in fact classified as a combatant, not a non-threatening ocean liner.

The most shocking element to this engineered disaster however was the fact the U.S. and British governments were well aware that the ship would be attacked, and ALLOWED it to occur.

The German Embassy took out ads in 50 U.S. newspapers warning that the Lusitania could be made a target. The U.S. State Department in turn contacted each of the newspapers and in a threatening fashion suggested that they refrain from printing the ad. A small portion of the newspapers ignored the State Department and printed anyway, but most of the passengers of the Lusitania never saw it.

Finally, and most importantly, is a fascinating discussion from the book **"The Intimate Papers of Colonel House,"** between House, an advisor (some would say puppeteer) to Woodrow Wilson, and Sir Edward Grey, the Foreign Secretary of England before the attack on the Lusitania occurred. The coldness of the exchange is haunting:

Grey: ***"What will America do if the Germans sink an ocean liner with American passengers on board?"***

House: ***"I believe that a flame of indignation would sweep the United States and that by itself would be sufficient to carry us into the war."***

World War II:

World War II was perhaps the first war in which Globalists created an enemy completely from scratch. That's right, the Nazis were organized and funded by Elites from across the world, including those here in America.

Hitler himself was considered a joke among Germans when he first began his tirades for an "Aryan Empire,"

Contd on page 9...

Will Globalists Trigger Yet Another World War?

...Continued from page 8

and was shrugged off by the mainstream as a fanatic. But Germany was also in the middle of the worst economic collapse in recent memory, and when Hitler gained support from the Thule Society, a Freemason-like secret society in Europe, and also began receiving investment from Wall Street interests, including the Rockefeller family, the German people started taking notice.
Hitler's new aristocratic friends could bring to Germany what the people desperately wanted: jobs and cold hard cash.

The collusion between the Rockefellers and the Nazis is well documented, and was first exposed by the discovery of the Von Knieriem Documents during the Nuremberg Trials. The documents outline how the Rockefellers, through their company Standard Oil, supplied investment, as well as secret fuel technology, without which Nazi warplanes would have been inoperable:

The Rockefellers also started the first eugenics population control program here in the U.S. in 1909, forcefully sterilizing over 60,000 **"genetically inferior"** Americans long before Hitler put the idea into practice in Germany:

The Rockefeller Foundation helped found the German eugenics program and even funded the program that Josef Mengele worked in before he went to Auschwitz.
And how about the exposure of George W. Bush's grandfather, Prescott Bush, as a Nazi collaborator and launderer of Nazi funds:

This is only a small portion of the evidence which proves that the Nazi's were an elitist creation, and World War II deliberately engineered.

Iraq / Afghanistan War:

I won't go into the long and sordid background of the 9/11 attacks and how they were used to foment a never-ending war in the Middle East. To do so would take an entirely separate article. What I will say is, the "official story" of that event has been shown on numerous occasions by thousands of researchers, many of whom are architects and engineers, to be riddled with holes and completely unsatisfactory by any measure of logic. The collapses themselves have been left scientifically unexplained by NIST, the government agency tasked with constructing "answers" for the many oddities surrounding the structural failure of WTC 1, 2 and 7. NIST continues to refuse to release the source data for their computer models they claim prove that the towers fell naturally due to burning jet fuel. Without this source data, none of their conclusions hold any validity. They are simply opinions backed by nothing.

Dr. Steven Jones along with eight other scientists around the world have published a peer review paper in the Open Chemical Physics Journal proving beyond a doubt that military grade nanothermite (demolitions) is present in large quantities in the rubble of the WTC:

This means that demolitions were placed in the buildings most likely by someone with easy access and were used to aid in their collapse. The suspects for such an operation I leave for you to decide, though I find it highly improbable that **"Muslim Extremists"** were involved.

After each of these wars was concocted, governments and Globalists around the planet pushed for even greater centralization of authority and consolidation of power.

After the Spanish American War, Americans were herded towards accepting the idea of U.S. expansionism. After World War I, we were convinced to hurtle ourselves into European affairs and squander money and resources on unnecessary conflicts. We also witnessed the formation of the League of Nations, a pre-UN global body meant as a beginning foundation for world government. After World War II, Europe was nearly wiped off the map, its people downtrodden and psychologically ripe for centralization. **Without WWII, the European Union would have never been possible.** The U.S. also joined the United Nations, the body which is now being pushed along with the IMF for oversight of global financial regulation, as well as unified trade law and **"harmonization."** After the Iraq / Afghan war began, a new threat was fabricated in the guise of **"terrorism,"** a faceless enemy with no real nation or border, no identifiable army, and an elusive and sloppily defined ideology. Anyone can be labeled a terrorist, even an American citizen, thus, a war on terrorism can be sustained indefinitely.

This sets the stage for the next possible global conflict which could be used as the final motivator to pressure the masses into an oppressive **New World Order.**

World War III: A Realistic Assessment

On Christmas Day 2009 a young Nigerian man by the name of Umar Abdulmutallah was lead into an airport in Amsterdam by what witnesses describe as a ***"well dressed Indian man"*** who lied about Umar's status as a Sudanese refugee. Although Umar was already on a terrorist watch list, he was not flagged, and was allowed to board the plane without a passport and without difficulty:
Also according to witnesses, another man on the plane reportedly (and rather oddly) filmed the would-be terrorist during the entire flight. As the plane approached landing in Detroit, Umar proceeded to ignite an explosive substance hidden in his underpants. Only days after, Al-Qaeda agents allegedly based in Yemen took responsibility. Why anyone would take responsibility for a botched underwear bomb attack I leave to your imagination.

And how has the U.S. Government been proceeding in Yemen? Air strikes killing 63 civilians including 28 children:

But lets set aside the obvious false flag attack used to move into Yemen and ask the larger question: why?

Why Yemen? There are a few solid explanations, including the rather suspicious financial dealings of Umar Abdulmutallab's father, who has been involved heavily with Yemen Government debt:

But this seemingly small affair in this very small country I believe is part of a much larger design.

Yemen Rebels Labeled "Al Qaeda:"

There are most likely little to no "Al Qaeda" fighters in Yemen, but the Yemeni government has been fighting Houthi rebels in its mountain regions for years, and it seems, not doing very well. In response, Saudi Arabia insinuated itself into the fight, perhaps fearing what a revolution might do to their interests in the region. Only days before the Christmas false flag, U.S. officials were leaking their "concerns" over possible Yemeni rebel "connections" to Al Qaeda in the press:

What we are seeing is yet another instance in which our government labels any insurgency group not as rebels with their own particular cause, but as "Al Qaeda," a global James Bond-ian terrorist conspiracy. Its simple really, if someone stands in the way of your interests, label them as Al Qaeda and a decent sized portion of the American public will look the other way while you bomb their children.

The Sinking of the Lusitania - Brought the Americans into World War 1



Rockefeller's Standard Oil Company - Helped fuel the Nazis during WWII

Russia Expanding In the Middle East:

Now we get to the heart of the matter. Many of us have already forgotten the brief war between Russia and Georgia, started when U.S. backed Georgia invaded South Ossetia unprovoked, randomly shooting and bombing civilians, causing Russia to intercede. Not long after this event, Russia began announcing openly plans to expand its navy into the Middle East, including the construction of Navy Bases in Yemen, and Libya, as well as the modernization of a navy base the Russians already control on the coast of Syria:

Yemen's dealings with Russia also include major arms sales:

Yemen recently announced plans to work closely with Iran in defending its coast from pirates

What does the U.S. presence in Yemen mean? It means a lot of ruffled feathers (or at least the appearance of such) and the increase of Middle Eastern tension to a whole new level, conceivably putting us in a position of clashing with Russian interests.

Enter Israel.

The Israeli Government has said openly and often that it deems Iran a target if they continue to build nuclear power facilities, even going so far as to draw up plans to use low-yield nuclear weapons against them:

This leads us to what may end up being the most important news of last year besides the "Great Recession"; a defense pact signed between Iran and Syria:

So, what elements are we dealing with here?

We have a nuclear armed Israel itching to attack Iran. We have Iran engaged in a defense pact with Syria against Israel. We have Syria with Russian navy bases and weapons on its soil, and we have the U.S. rampaging through the Middle East encroaching on the borders of Pakistan and Yemen, essentially pissing off everyone. What we have is a Globalist made recipe for disaster, using the same ingredients they have used for the last several major wars.

The Trigger:

An Israeli attack on Iran could draw in the U.S., especially if Russia were to intervene through Syria. The likelihood of a large scale false flag attack is also very high over the next couple years, designed to frighten the American people into support for police state conditions at home, as well as greater complicity in an expanded war overseas. The war would not even necessarily have to become a nuclear event as is commonly expected (the elites would rather keep the planet in good condition for themselves), or even develop far beyond the Middle East. Its effects would still be felt everywhere.

The Advantages Of World War (For Globalists):

Russia and other BRIC nations are moving to shore up wealth, buying gold and diversifying their currency holdings away from Treasuries and U.S. Dollars. This indicates the probability that they will soon drop the dollar as the world reserve currency if not entirely. Russia has in several instances stated its desire for a **"world currency"** and even world government, just as political elements of the U.S. and Europe have in the past. I believe what we see unfolding is a scenario much like that of WWII, except this time, the U.S. sits in the position of the Weimar Republic, its currency ready to hyperinflate, it treasury on the edge of insolvency, its debt holders becoming its enemies, and its government looking for any excuse to dilute personal liberties for the "greater good".

Russia and other eastern nations appear to be forming an opposing economic (and perhaps political) block, yet the ultimate goal of the elites there is the same as it is for elites here. If war were to occur, it would once again be puppet governments set loose upon each other in an illusory conflict in which the real targets are the masses themselves.

The goal is to force the people to take sides, to divide them against each other and make them forget the true enemy; the elites who cultivated the problem in the first place. Under the threat of world conflict or rampant terrorism, the average American could be made to feel "disruptive," or even "traitorous" for speaking out against the war, while those who point out the dangers of the police state could simply be labeled terrorists themselves. Such an atmosphere could also distract our attentions away from the eminent economic breakdown. It is difficult to imagine this happening here, but think of how many Germans laughed at Hitler in his early days, as opposed to how many eventually followed him when they were faced with absolute desperation.

In the midst of all this of course would stand the EU and the UN. I believe whether a war is triggered or the economy is simply left to disintegrate, it will be the UN and European interests that "dash to the rescue" with a new stabilized currency and unified government when all seems lost. Just as in the past, Globalists want to erase history, rewrite it, and play the part of the hero. With an extra century or more of constant propaganda, who would know to argue otherwise?

The Solution

What we have just covered is a possible state of affairs based on the circumstances of the moment. It is by no means our fate. What this examination is meant to convey is the gravity of the task before us, and the reality that it is we who must halt the machine in its tracks. We cannot leave it to future generations; to our children or theirs. It must stop right here. This is our fight, and if we do not succeed then there may not be a second chance for humanity. By continuing to educate our neighbors on the threats confronting us, and by preparing for the worst, mentally as well as physically, no future is set in stone.

I sometimes hear words like "impossible," "pointless," "futile," in regards to our situation, and the obstacles which we face. "Impossibility" is an empty term driven by doubt, not by concrete reality. There is no "impossibility," only destiny, and destiny is something we make, not follow. As far as I have seen men fall, I have never in the whole of my life believed there was anything we could not do. As long as we hold by what is true, there is no such thing as the insurmountable.

Regardless of where we are headed, be it moderately troubling times, or the worst of all possible worlds, our

Philanthropy?
Beware Billionaires Bearing Gifts!

International media carried reports in 2010 of the Bill Gates-Warren Buffett philanthropic scheme called 'The Giving Pledge'. On 4 August the Giving Pledge revealed the names of 40 individuals and families who pledged to give away at least half of their fortunes during their lifetimes or at death. Buffett and Gates have thrown down the gauntlet to 70 to 80 of the Forbes 400. They plan to approach the remainder and to take this challenge beyond the US. They held a dinner in Beijing in October 2010 to persuade Chinese billionaires to participate in this venture.

News of the initial gathering of this group (curiously called 'The First Supper') appeared in a report by New York publisher, Niall O'Dowd, on 18 May 2009. Participants included the Davids Rockefeller Snr and Jr, George Soros, Ted Turner, Michael Bloomberg, Chuck Feeney and Oprah Winfrey. A media blackout ensured that two subsequent dinners were unreported and shy billionaires were able to speak openly about their fortunes and ideas for philanthropy. Fortune magazine published a Gates-Buffett informed article on 16 June 2010.

The Giving Pledge promises a massive increase in the money going to philanthropy. Forbes magazine estimated the 2009 net worth of the Forbes 400 at $1.2 trillion. Fifty percent of the net worth of those 400 would be $600 billion. That is the minimum amount Buffett and Gates are targeting.

Philanthropists who made the pledge posted a letter saying why they did it. The common denominator was a belief that the lives of the very rich can be empty if their wealth does nothing to advance the greater good.

Warren Buffett makes it clear that although the amounts being pledged are large, we are not talking about the widow's mite. In pledging to divest himself of 99% of his own fortune, Buffett says that he and his family will give up nothing they need or want. He says that his pledge will "leave my lifestyle untouched and that of my children as well."

The Giving Pledge has not been universally welcomed. US taxpayers have been compelled to commit much more than $600 billion to bailouts and stimulus plans to protect an economy which has caused them much hardship and which have not worked, but which have profited the billionaires. Many comments posted on Fortune's website are critical and angry. Some suggest that the billionaires were giving, fearing Obama would take wealth from them. One poster said that the billionaires' lifetimes of successful greed could not be offset by controlling who and what cause would get assistance. Suggestions were made that the philanthropic billionaires should act independently so that there would be diversity in the causes that would benefit.

There is considerable merit in this last suggestion. One very particular obsession of Messrs Gates, Buffett and Turner is population control. The Sunday Times reported on 24 May 2010 that the 'First Supper' was a secret meeting to consider how the billionaires' wealth could be used to "slow the growth of the world's population and speed up improvements in health and education." The paper reported that the philanthropists discussed joining forces to overcome political and religious obstacles to change. Taking their cue from Gates, the first supper decided to focus on overpopulation, and " a consensus emerged that they would back a strategy in which population growth would be tackled as a potentially disastrous environmental, social and industrial threat."

Bill and Melinda Gates have given millions of dollars to abortion providers like International Planned Parenthood (of which Gates has said his father is a former head). Bill Gates is on record as supporting population reduction programmes. The Gates Institute for Population and Reproductive Health provides abortion fellowships at Johns Hopkins University.In an extraordinary statement at the TED conference in February 2010, Gates suggests that vaccines have a role in population reduction. He is a huge supporter of vaccination programmes.

The Population and Family Health Sciences Department at Bloomberg School of Public Health in Baltimore MD also provides abortion fellowships.

Ted Turner is on the record calling for a worldwide one child policy similar to the one in China that practises forced abortion and coercive sterilization. Turner has given over $1 billion for UN programmes.

Warren Buffett has never spoken publicly about his views on abortion. The Wall Street Journal reported that in the 1990s, the Buffett Foundation helped to finance the research and development of the pills that induce abortion. His foundation also helped finance a lawsuit to overturn the ban on partial-birth abortion in Nebraska. The New York Times revealed in August 2010 that the Kenneth J. Ryan Residency Training Programme, which gives two or three years of seed money for abortion training for OB-GYN residents at medical schools on 58 campuses in the US and Canada, and the Family Planning Fellowship, are both entirely funded by Buffett's foundation. In 2006 Buffett gave the foundation about $3 billion. Tax returns indicate that total giving by the foundation increased from $202 million in 2007 to $347 million in 2008. In 2008 the foundation gave Planned Parenthood and its US affiliates about $45 million. Planned Parenthood International got approximately $8 million. The foundation paid out around $50 million to universities with either the Ryan or the Family Planning Fellowship. Tax records show that most of the spending goes on abortion and contraception matters. Buffett also gave in excess of $30 billion's worth of stock to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation in 2006.

The Financial Times has described Bill Gates' stated vision of the function of the billionaires as one of decision-making in a new constitutional order. Does wealth gives the unelected Gates and his associates the right to decide who may live or die and the size or complexion of any population?

Beware billionaires bearing gifts. They distort democracy.

Here are refs so you can see above is accurate.
http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/world/us_and_americas/article6350303.ece Billionaire Club in bid to curb overpopulation. The Sunday Times.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WUJMR3BUm2s Bill Gates suggests vaccines and reproductive health may reduce populations...

http://www.pharmacy.vcu.edu/sub/research/docs/ellertson_fellowship.pdf List of abortion fellowships

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/07/18/magazine/18abortion-t.html nine page article from New York Times which describes (on page 4) Warren Buffett's funding of abortion related litigation and programmes in medical schools.

http://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/45993.php. Buffett Foundation "quiet force" in population control – gave $3billion to the Buffett Foundation and more than $30 billion to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Depopulation Through Forced Vaccination: The Zero Carbon Solution!

....Continued from page 1

was useless!
Look that up for yourself! All other vaccine claims that they've cured or eliminated disease follow a similar pattern. Better hygiene, clean water and good healthy food was the cause of the decline and any doctor worth his smelling salts should know that. If they don't then they are misinformed on a grand scale.
Therein lies the problem. Why have doctors been 'indoctrinated' to believe that vaccines are the sacred cow of medicine when the historical record clearly shows that they are virtually useless?

Hold onto your sanity because I have some bad news for you.

The real reason for vaccinations, apart from the massive profits to the manufacturers, is to ensure that you get sick throughout your life, again not only to enrich these same corporations that made you sick, but to ensure that many, if not all of you die before you ever receive your pension and when you are no longer any use to society. That's why they want you retiring later in life and are forcing you through economic terrorism to work longer regardless of your age or health. They want to work you to death.

You will be classed as a burden to society, a financial cost which society has to bear and therefore you will be stigmatised as a 'useless eater'; a consumer of resources needed for the rest of society. When Big Pharma can no longer profit from the illness they gave you, you will be left to die.

This is the depopulation agenda promoted under the United Nations Division of Population under the Department Economic and Social Affairs.
We only need look at Africa, the nation which the United Nations has said themselves, could feed the world. Why then are millions in Africa starving to death on that continent? It's meant to be that way folks!
All of us at one time or another have been led to believe in the efficacy of vaccines. I was one of them and had my child vaccinated nearly 30 years ago. I wouldn't even dream of it now!

I think it's safe to say that we're all aware of the real pandemic, as opposed to the fake pandemics of the past. It's called 'CANCER'. Prior to mass vaccination, cancers were extremely rare, as in almost non-existent. Today in the western, so called developed world, we face a crisis of cancer to the extent that, between one in five to one in two will suffer, many dying, from one form of cancer or another.

What's happened to cause this pandemic?

We only have to look back at the Jonas Salk polio vaccine that went around the world in the full knowledge that it contained the SV40 virus which had no other purpose than causing cancer yet it was pushed as a vaccine for polio when, as with measles, polio was well in decline prior to any vaccine due to the same factors.

Enter stage right at the TED 2010 conference, Mr. Bill Gates, head of Microsoft, the biggest computer firm on the planet. What has Bill gates to do with vaccines you're wondering?

See the quote on the front page. Those are his EXACT words

Are you beginning to get the picture?

The globalist elite care nothing for humanity; ordinary men, women and children must be eliminated in their delusional minds to ensure that their superior types go into the future with the best of breeding being the only criteria worthy of saving. You and I are no longer required and that is why we are seeing the loss of our economy, our wealth, our health, our happiness and ultimately, OUR

Challenging the Vaccine Dogma

■ Dr Sherri Tenpenny

A chilling, consistent pattern exists in stories told by parents. My child was normal, happy, and healthy. He was walking, learning to talk and playing with his siblings. He was on track developmentally, and everything was normal. At his one-year well baby check up, the doctor said it was time for his next round of shots. Unquestioning, the shots were given. Within weeks, he was autistic.

The reports vary slightly in content and timing, but the descriptions of thousands of children who suddenly regress into the isolated world of autism are eerily the same.

What Is Dogma?

Webster's defines dogma as *"a doctrine, a positive arrogant assertion of opinion."* Taking off from this definition, medical dogmas certainly abound. Many have survived for decades simply because an assertion was made and then never questioned. Over time, the allegation was assumed to be fact.

An early example of dogma in the vaccine industry occurred in 1913 when Dr Simon Flexnor held out that polio was a disease caused by a virus entering the body through the nose and mouth. He postulated that paralysis arose when the virus traveled directly from the sinuses to the brain and the spinal cord. Flexnor's assertions about the mode of paralysis were never reproduced and it is now known that polio is a gastrointestinal virus, not a respiratory virus.

Difficulties in developing a vaccine occurred because he propagated a dogma that the polio virus would only grow in neurological tissue, a culture media that was associated with life-threatening encephalitis in experimental animals. [1] No one attempted to use other types of tissue cultures to grow polioviruses. His solo paper remained unquestioned dogma for 25 years until Dr. John Enders found, serendipitously, that the virus would indeed grow in a variety of different tissues. When Enders' revolutionary discovery was published in Science, January 28, 1949, the entire virology community immediately accepted the new findings. The polio vaccine was produced within five years. A scientific claim passed off as dogma vanished when challenged by scientific fact.

Present day vaccine dogma is promoted by The Institute of Medicine (IOM), a group of ostensibly impartial physicians, scientists and researchers. After reviewing the industry-funded research papers concluding there is no connection between vaccines and autism, the IOM similarly concludes there is no connection between vaccines and autism. How could they come to any other conclusion?

The phrase, *"temporal association does not prove causality"* means that even though two events occur at the same time one event does not cause the other. The IOM supports the dogma purported by the American Academy of Pediatrics. Since autism occurs chronologically around the same time as the first year vaccinations, angry parents need something to blame.

The medical dogma supporting this position is the assertion that *"temporal association does not prove causality."* Simply put, the phase means that even though two events occur at nearly the same time, one event is not the cause of the other. The implication is that regression into autism would have happened anyway. The administration of several vaccines immediately before the appearance of autism had nothing to do with it, a dogma that promotes ***"the child is to blame, not the vaccine."*** Similarly intense investigations are searching for a genetic cause for autism spectrum disorders. [2] The identification of a corrupted gene will point an incriminating finger at **defective parents** as the "cause" of their child's autism.

The following statement was published in the Center for Disease Control's publication on infection diseases referred to as The Pink Book:
"There is no distinct syndrome from vaccine administration and therefore, many temporally associated adverse events probably represent background illness rather than illness caused by the vaccine. the [vaccine] may stimulate or precipitate inevitable symptoms of underlying CNS disorder, such as seizures, infantile spasms, epilepsy or SIDS. By chance alone, some of these cases will seem to be temporally related to [the vaccine]." [3]
With the rate of autism being one in every 150 children in the U.S and a new high of one in every 58 children in the U.K, an urgent investigation needs to be undertaken to establish if unvaccinated 12 to 18 month old children suddenly become autistic. There has been no answer to this question, in fact, no one has even looked.

Safety Assumptions

The classic example of unquestioned dogma is the long held notion that the sun rotated around the earth. In 1530, Copernicus challenged the assumption with evidence that the earth rotated on its axis once daily and traveled around the sun once yearly. A fantastic concept for the times, the new information was considered heresy. Later, when Galileo supported Copernicus' conclusions, he was imprisoned, subjected to a trial by Holy Inquisitioners, and forced to withdraw his evidence to save his own life.

Similarly parents are forced into vaccination decisions by modern day medical inquisitioners. Threats include expulsion from the medical practice and calls to children's protective services with accusations of medical neglect. Parents are told vaccines are safe and necessary for keeping children healthy. But are they really safe?

Vaccination is a medical treatment. Assumptions regarding the effectiveness of many medical treatments abound. A report published by The Government Accounting Office (GAO) concluded that *"only 10-20% of all procedures currently used in medical practice have been shown to be efficacious by controlled trials."* [4] Hence, 80-90% of usual and customary practices are assumed to be effective without proof. Vaccination falls into this category.

Contrary to repeated claims by the government and the pharmaceutical industry, vaccines have never been proven to be safe by the gold standard of medical research: The double-blind, placebo controlled investigation. In a placebo-controlled study, the safety of a medication is determined by comparing it to a neutral substance, such as a sugar pill. In vaccine safety trials, a new vaccine is not compared to an inert compound such as a shot of sterile water. Instead, the "placebo" is another vaccine. If the number of side effects caused by the experimental vaccine is found to be the same as the number of reactions caused by the placebo-vaccine, manufacturers declare the new vaccine to be *"as safe as placebo."*

Another trick used by investigators to promote the safety of vaccines is to discount any part of the study's data that suggests a problem. The following excerpt from a clinical trial demonstrates how a placebo-vaccine is used and the elimination of negative data. The study was designed to determine the safety of Comvax®, a vaccine combining the Haemophilus influenza vaccine (HiB) and the hepatitis B vaccine into one shot. During the study, 17 children (1.9%) had an event within 14 days of vaccination that met one of the defining criteria of a serious adverse experience. These experiences included seizure, asthma, diarrhea, apnea (stopped breathing) [and many others.] Virtually all of these adverse experiences were classified as serious because they involved a hospitalization. None was judged by the study investigators to be causally related [caused by] Comvax® or the [other two vaccines]. In addition, three deaths among participants in this study were attributed to sudden infant death syndrome that occurred more than 14 days after administration of a dose of vaccine (29, 31, and 38 days, respectively.) Again, none was judged by the investigators to be related to vaccination. [5]

The placebo in this study was the HiB vaccine and the hepatitis b vaccine given as two separate shots. Because the number of side effects from the single shot was similar to the number of side effects induced by the separate shots, Comvax® was declared to be *"as safe as placebo."* Investigators nullified the association between the vaccines and SIDS with a stroke of the pen. Comvax® was declared to be ***"well-tolerated."***

Defining effective

Researchers define an effective vaccine as one that creates antibodies after being deposited into the bloodstream, a response called **"positive seroconversion."** One vaccine is considered to be more effective than another from a researcher's perspective if the first vaccine induces a larger antibody response than the second. [6]

The medical community and the general public define an effective vaccine as a vaccine that protects a person from the infection they have been vaccinated against. For example, the chickenpox vaccine is considered to be effective by doctors if, in the case of an outbreak, those vaccinated do not contract chickenpox.

The definitions differ substantially and have considerably different ramifications, mostly because the presence of an antibody does not assure the person will be protected from infection. Many outbreaks have occurred in fully vaccinated populations. One example was an out break of measles that occurred in a group where more than 99 percent of the population had been vaccinated.[7] Many outbreaks of chickenpox and mumps have occurred when children were fully vaccinated. [8]

The package insert of the HiBTiter®, a vaccine to protect against an infection by the H. influenza b bacteria, clearly states *"the contribution [antibodies make] to clinical protection is unknown."* [9] Similar findings have been reported about the pertussis vaccine: *"The findings of efficacy studies have not demonstrated a direct correlation between antibody response and protection against pertussis disease."* [10] The esteemed medical journal, Vaccine, states clearly: *"It is known that, in many instances, antibody titers do not correlate with protection."* [11]

The dogma that vaccines are safe and effective has become a medical sacred cow, an icon regarded to be above criticism or attack. Challenges to vaccination have often been written off as conspiracy theories. Parents have learned through experience the difficulties of challenging their pediatrician's vaccine mandates. Nonetheless, many are resisting the medical profession's dogmas about vaccines and many are refusing vaccinations for their children.

A benchmark in a civilized society is the absence of infectious diseases, a doctrine that emerged during the pre-antibiotic era. Public health officials attribute low infection rates to mandatory vaccination policies rather than giving credit to improved personal hygiene and modern conveniences such as indoor plumbing. It is time for the truth about vaccines to be widely known. Vaccine safety has not been proven. Vaccines provide false security about protection. Vaccines can cause harm. It is time to dispense with the *"safe and effective"* dogma before one more person is harmed.

1 *Rogers, Naomi. Dirt and Disease: Polio before FDR (New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press 1996) p. 24*
2 *CDC: Epidemiology and Prevention, The Pink Book, 6th Edition, Chapter 6: Pertussis pg 80*
3 *"Genetic cause of autism." January 18, 2006*
4 *Assessing the Efficacy and Safety of Medical Technologies. Washington, D.C: Congress of the United States. Office of Technology Assessment. Publication No. 052003-00593-0. 1978. Government Printing Office. Washington D.C 20402*
5 *West, David, et al. "Safety and immunogenicity of bivalent H. influenza type b/hepatitis B vaccine in healthy infants." Ped Inf Dis J 1997 16 593-599*
6 *CDC MMWR. "Pertussis Vaccination: Use of Acellular Pertussis Vaccines Among Infants and Young Children." March 28, 1997 Vol. 46/No. RR-7 p.4*
7 *Gustafson, T. et al NEJM 1987 316:771-774*
8 *NMASeminars.com*
9 *HibTiter® vaccine package insert. Physician's Desk Reference. 2002 Vol. 56 pg. 1860*
10 *CDC MMWR. Pertussis Vaccination: Use of Acellular Pertussis Vaccines Among Infants and Young Children. March 28, 1997 Vol. 46/No. RR-7 p.4*
11 *Del Giudice G, Podda A, Rappuoli, R. What are the limits of adjuvanticity? Vaccine. 2001 Oct 15;20*

Visit Dr Tenpenny's website at:
www.drtenpenny.com

The Triumph of the Ill-willed Political and Utopian Psychopatholgy

■ Thomas Sheridan

In his paper, Intelligence as a Person-Situation Interaction, Dr. Robert Sternberg lists five fallacies of critical thinking. He states, "there is another dimension to person-situation interaction, the extent to which particular situations elicit 'stupid' thinking in intelligent people." All of his five fallacies range from a sense of godlike indestructibility to extreme hubris and occur mainly in the context of perceived or actual power and dominance over others. Dr. Sternberg is one of many scientists who have discovered that the enjoyment of power and control over other people actually changes brain chemistry and behaviour. Some people are more prone than others to these effects of power and positions of influence. Psychopaths know no other way to live other than to gain and hold power over others constantly - similar to an addict seeking a drug fix. They are likewise subject to the above fallacies all the time and for the rest of their lives.

Regardless of whether we want to accept it or not, we live with and work around psychopaths all our lives without ever realising this. Images of serial killers or cult leaders are the first thing to pop into our minds when we hear the term "psychopath". In this article, I am specifically referring to the everyday, socially-adept psychopathic individuals who live amongst us, for these make up the overwhelming majority of psychopaths in the world today.

According to the American Journal of Psychiatry between 1 and 22/25 people in Western society is a psychopath to some degree. The term psychopath has been in common use since the nineteenth century and was popularised by psychiatrist Hervey Cleckley in his 1941 best seller, 'The Mask of Sanity: An Attempt to Clarify Some Issues About the So-Called Psychopathic Personality'. These self-serving, cold-blooded and mostly psychologically vicious individuals whom we have all encountered since childhood are everywhere in all races, religions and social strata, displaying all the remorseless, guiltless and devious behavioural and physiological traits listed within the mainstream, scientific diagnostic criteria for evaluating psychopathic behaviour. However, politics, media and big business are where they can be found clustering and controlling others in their greatest numbers.

Many of the early studies into psychopathology were incredibly class-ridden and often racist, bandying about terms such as 'moral insanity' and 'moral imbecility' aimed at poor and immigrant groups while ignoring the far more destructive and far-reaching psychopathic mind-set of the wealthy ruling classes. This, I believe, was by design, and it still goes on today. The desire to fence off psychopathic behaviour amongst the poor and disadvantaged went very much in line with the Darwinian concept of 'survival of the fittest' which the social elite immediately embraced and promoted in order to 'scientifically' underpin their self-professed right to rule over the rest of us.

What academics of yesteryear referred to as 'moral insanity' and 'moral imbecility' among the poor can be easily exchanged for the terms 'colonial expansion' and 'manifest destiny' spouted by the same elite families who still control the purse strings of nearly all modern-day scientific and medical research. For close to one hundred years now the elite have attempted to cultivate a social consensus that the more socially-marginalised an individual is, the more likely they are to be psychopathic simply due to their 'poor pedigree'. Nothing could be further from the truth.

From the Medici family of Florence from the 12th century up to the late Renaissance, and in many other powerful clans both historical and contemporary, psychopathic behaviour is fostered within the children in order for the dynastic powerbase of the family to continue to hold and maintain influence over others. Lack of empathy and brutal domination over 'inferiors' is encouraged within their 'privileged' off-spring, humans who may otherwise have grown up to be normal, empathic people. However they are still not psychopaths; these people may eventually feel deep regret for what they did to others. On the other hand, a true psychopath regardless of social status, race or gender has absolutely no conscience, remorse, guilt or empathy, ever.

The Utopian Psychopath

Many super-wealthy, aristocratic and powerful psychopaths love nothing better than to use their status and influence to 'repair' shortcomings they perceive in others. Usually these same shortcomings and social problems were created by the previous generation of like-minded influential and elite psychopaths. Many of the elite of today are ordering the rest of us to reduce our carbon footprints while conducting interviews from their fuel-guzzling limos and private jets. They originate from the same aristocratic families who created the dependence upon oil in the first place. Naturally, they fail to see the irony in all this, and tellingly, they have used their bought-and-paid-for corporate media to blinker most of society from also recognising this irony.

From Jim Jones' 'People's Temple' in 'Jonestown', Guyana, to the 'First Five-Year Plan' of the USSR, to Mao's social-political programs, such as the 'Great Leap Forward' and the 'Cultural Revolution'; from the English 'Enclosure Act' of the 12th Century to the various 'Planned Communities' of today, the psychopathic hunger is to pen the humans in and make them slaves for their utopian grand megalomaniacal visions. If millions are displaced, impoverished or murdered to make this happen then so be it. If people's psychological wellbeing, emotional richness, creative awareness and individuality can be just turned off by a centrally-controlled computer, via an implanted microchip, then better still. No more messing about with mass graves and low-level

Ted Kaczynski - The 'Unabomber'

psychopaths doing the whipping and manning the machine gun towers.

This same mind-set can also be seen today among many college professors who have signed up to similar notions of a perfected, trimmed-down humanity. Many of their conferences and talking shops are highly funded, slick events which attract some of the biggest names in politics, media and science, all of whom enthusiastically pontificate about population reduction and implanting humans with computer chips, naturally not applicable to them, of course.

Their detachment from the sheer inhumanity and spellbinding megalomania of their often surreal proposals to 'save the world' are incredibly elitist and ruthlessly narcissistic and all done with a cheer and high-fives. The issue is not that the audiences of these events are all psychopaths, although some most certainly are, whilst others are brainwashed and research grant-drunk academic proto-psychopaths who have lost the run of themselves, but that many people who are highly educated these days appear to have only learned how to unconditionally defend authority figures and the status quo. It matters not if we are dealing with a population-culling psychopath with a PhD who is spewing platitude-filled word salad, the party line seems to be, he has a PhD and therefore he cannot be argued with. It matters not if he is a crazy megalomaniac, his opinion is akin to law. A highly-educated individual is not immune from being a psychopath or being an indoctrinated proto-psychopath employed to defend the edicts of 'infallible science' or more worryingly, social engineering.

The notorious UNABOMBER Ted Kaczynski was accepted into Harvard University at the age of 16, where he earned an undergraduate degree and later a PhD in mathematics from the University of Michigan.

He became an assistant professor at the University of California, Berkeley at age 25. Then he started making bombs and killing innocent people in order to save the planet. Now where this gets very interesting is his manifestos are almost identical to the current de-population fetish among intellectuals and college professors. Ted Kaczynski's population reduction plan was implemented in an immediate 'hands-on' manner, whereas the pontificating psychopathic academics prefer mass sterilisation and forced abortions rather than pipe bombs. However the same psychopathic underlying desire to slaughter humans so that they, the elite educated class, can take over the planet and live as gods among pure nature is what drives them. All we humans are ultimately, to these utopian psychopaths, is nothing more than cheap labour and a future source of fertilizer for their organic Edens.

Normal human beings do not have a desire to align the human condition within the social model of the bee or the worker ant. The defining system of management of every utopian concept, including the New Towns/Brutalistic high-rise public housing of the 1950s and 60s, were all deeply-rooted elite psychopathology and social engineering based on an assumption that most humans who are not of a certain pedigree are wild animals - out of control, and needing to be locked up in a zoo and watched. All 'planned communities' are an expression of someone else's pathology at some point along the conceptual chain. The utopian psychopath claims to care about nature and then wants to save the world from the impact of humans. What is most telling about this viewpoint is that humans are not considered natural or part of nature by the psychopathic control grid. Nature to them is plants, animals, scenery and other aristocratic psychopaths with a few select servants. In all their grand utopian vision the underlying subtext is always to remove the humans.

The Great Work of the Ages

In the pre-scientific age the need to diagnose and define the heartless behaviour of individuals and groups was just as strong then as it is now. The average person could not understand what they were being exposed to or what was the cause and the cure for this evil in their midst. These people lived up-close-and-personal with real life 'devils' to a far more visceral and intense degree than many of us now do. While working in Italy back in 2000 I took some time off to visit the stately homes and mansions of the Italian nobles, mainly to view their collections of paintings and tapestries housed within often morbid and sinister palaces. I began to notice that many of these homes contained large paintings on wooden panels squared off on three sides with a curved bevelled top. These paintings nearly always contained graphically violent scenes of battles and other horrors such as executions and murderous retribution of one type or another.

Eventually I asked a tour guide why these painting were this strange shape and he explained to me that they were designed to be headboards of matrimonial beds for newly-wed aristocratic couples, given as wedding presents from other nobles. So it appears that the nobles were conceiving their children underneath graphic depictions of the execution of prisoners captured at a battle in Umbria or scenes of an outbreak of plague among the peasantry of Lombardy. Is it any wonder that the ordinary Italians in the early Renaissance period who lived under the yoke of these psychopathic elites would rationalise their masters as being demons and devils? Later this concept would be brought into literature as the "happy and dauntless and sagacious" Prince Prospero in Edgar Allen Poe's 'The Mask of the Red Death' (1842).

The psychopath (or sociopath) has been represented throughout history, either as metaphor or allegory in the guise of the demon, the djinn, the succubus, the vampire, and the werewolf. Even in the twenty-first century this instinctual response is as strong as ever after someone has encountered the full absurdity and horror presented by the pathology. There is currently a wealthy and powerful family in the United Arab Emirates who to this day boast of being the bloodline of a demon-human hybrid due to their ancestors mating with female djinns. The UAE is one of the wealthiest countries in the world due to its vast oil reserves, yet in 2010 it was reported that nearly one fifth of the child population of that country is dying of starvation. Bearing all this in mind, I suspect these "crazy rationalisations" that psychopaths may well be the real life demons that walk amongst us will remain for some time to come. Some people on this planet are just not us. They are a predatory consciousness residing inside a human body. These are the psychopaths and once one becomes aware of the traits and pathology you begin to see them everywhere, usually on main evening TV news broadcasts.

***Thomas Sheridan** is an Artist, Writer and Musician living in County Sligo, Ireland. His first major book '**Puzzling People: The Labyrinth of the Psychopath - A guide to recognising and escaping from psychopathic control and domination**' has just been published by Velluminous Press (UK) and is*

9/11 INVESTIGATOR

Exposing the EXPLOSIVE WTC Evidence

ARCHITECTS & ENGINEERS for 9/11 TRUTH

1000 Architects & Engineers Investigate the Destruction of all 3 WTC Skyscrapers



Building 7 Implosion: The Smoking Gun of 9/11





To Order reprints of the 9/11 INVESTIGATOR

www.ae911truth.org

1,000 Architects & Engineers Challenge Official Report of WTC Destruction



Justice on the Horizon?

Twin Towers Evidence Blows Away Fire Collapse Theory





High Temperatures, Persistent Heat & 'Molten Steel' at WTC Site Challenge Official Story

Building 7 Implosion

Speed of Collapse

NIST Withheld Evidence

Thermate Incendiaries





WTC 7 in freefall for eight stories. The graph shows the velocity increasing each second which is acceleration.

Yet NIST's admission of the fact of

Symmetry of Collapse

Extremely Hot Spheres

Twin Towers Evidence Blows Away Fire Theory

"Initially it was just one flash. Then this flash just kept popping all the way around the building and that building had started to explode."

The World Trade Center Dust

The World Trade Center dust is remarkable not only for its having blanketed Lower Manhattan 4" to 12" thick in many places, but also for the secrets that it would reveal

Billions of Previously Molten Iron Spheres in WTC Dust Reveal Use of Thermitic Materials



Nullifying Newton:

Official Story Violates Laws of Physics

High School Physics Teacher Corrects NIST

WTC Building 7 in Free-fall

Physics teacher David Chandler forced NIST to admit WTC 7 experienced over two seconds of free-fall

physics to WTC 7 and the Twin Towers



[illegible] most of the WTC steel was rapidly shipped off to China for recycling

Evidence Destroyed is Justice Denied



400 truck loads of steel per day were removed from the WTC site



[illegible] was completely omitted from NIST report

Advanced Pyrotechnic or Explosive Material Discovered in WTC Dust



[illegible] electron microscope magnification [illegible]. The latter image shows consistently sized iron oxide particles approximately 100 nm across and 40 nm-thick wafers of aluminum embedded in [illegible] matrix

What is Thermite?

High Temperatures, Persistent Heat and 'Molten Steel' at WTC Site

continued from page 1

Sept 16, 2001 [illegible] temperatures at the surface of the WTC [illegible] at the surface after the collapses. These surface temperatures indicate much higher temperatures below the surface

FEMA's May 2002 report documents evidence of a severe high temperature corrosion attack on the steel

Building 7 Implosion





Twin Towers Evidence Blows Away Fire Theory

The World Trade Center Dust

Billions of Previously Molten Iron Spheres in WTC Dust Reveal Use of Thermitic Materials



Nullifying Newton:

Official Story Violates Laws of Physics

High School Physics Teacher Corrects NIST

WTC Building 7 in Free-fall

Ireland Isolated in Water Fluoridation

By David Massey,
The NHF Ireland

Ireland is the only country in Europe which has more than 10% of its population drinking artificially fluoridated water. Latest estimates indicate that 73% of the Irish population is drinking fluoridated water. Even though fluoridation has been mandatory in Ireland since 1963 not one single study has been commissioned or conducted in Ireland to examine the health effects of this practice on any tissue except the teeth. In short they have never made a systematic effort to measure the fluoride levels in the urine, plasma or bones of the Irish people. In other words, they are flying blind as far as relating suspected end points such as arthritis, bone fractures in children, bone cancer in young males, hip fractures in the elderly, gastro-intestinal problems, hypothyroidism, lowered IQ and possibly even Alzheimer's disease to increased fluoride exposure.

Instead Irish promoters rely again and again on second hand reviews conducted by other bodies in other pro-fluoridation countries. Relying on reviews by other countries' agencies might be acceptable on some issues but not with this practice, because these reviews are usually conducted by panels appointed by governments, like Ireland, which seem more intent on protecting this longstanding policy than protecting the health of their people.

Furthermore, since fluoride is a medicine administered to an entire population without any regard to other factors (which is of course totally counter to the most basic pharmacological principles and the principle of informed consent), the only way to satisfy safety requirements would be through conducting massive studies of very large numbers of people given the maximum dose that could be expected from all sources of fluoride. These studies have simply not been done. Instead, what we get again and again is a PR exercise in which proponents tell us like a broken gramophone record that fluoridation is "safe and effective."

Just over 11 years ago Dr Paul Connett received a phone call from the Irish Department of Health. He was asked whether, if they paid his way, would he fly over to Ireland and testify before the Fluoridation Forum. He was assured that this body genuinely wanted to hear both sides on the issue. Dr Paul agreed, but, by so doing, intensely annoyed citizens opposed to fluoridation who said the Forum was made up largely of government employees and experts who had spent their professional careers promoting water fluoridation.



Along with Dr. Hardy Limeback he did eventually testify before the panel in October 2000. Dr Paul stated at the outset that he had annoyed citizens by testifying who felt that the panel was just a rubber stamp for the government's pro-fluoridation policy, and then said that the way that they could convince him, and the Irish public, that they were going to take a genuine and comprehensive look at this issue, was to give a fully referenced scientific response to the 50 Reasons to Oppose Fluoridation which he presented to them in writing.

Everyone who spoke to Dr. Paul that day including panel members, the chairman and the M[illegible]ealth assured him that they had an open mind and would examine the issue objectively. At first it looked promising. The chairman formed a sub-committee to prepare a written response to his 50 Reasons and the minutes of their meetings over the next 10 months indicated that they started to do this and that this task was important to them.

Needless to say producing 50 reasons to oppose fluoridation is a huge overkill. Some people only need one reason, namely that the government has no right to force medication on its citizens. Be that as it may, he felt that the Forum's considered response to the 50 Reasons would help to raise the level of the debate. In the light of this exercise he was anticipating that he might have to abandon some reasons and modify others.

In retrospect however, the first danger signal was the time it took the committee to track down the references Dr Paul supplied with the 50 reasons. If those involved were experts in the field then most of these studies should have been at their fingertips.

Then, despite repeated promises, the Forum announced that they didn't have time to respond and published their 295 page report without answers to the 50 Reasons. Despite repeated requests from the media and TDs over the next two and a half years the Minister of Health still failed to provide answers.

Then in May 2004 the Green Party asked Dr Paul Connett to come over to Ireland and testify before the Joint Committee on Health & Children.

Dr Paul was very encouraged by the response to his appearance. If there was anyone on the panel in favor of fluoridation they certainly didn't make their presence known. Dr. Paul received no hostile questions. Far from it, at least two committee members present made it clear that they were strongly opposed to fluoridation. Another panel member explained how difficult it was making up her mind on an issue like this where they had two groups of experts telling them opposite things. To this he replied that there was a very simple way to determine which side had the credibility by inviting both sides to debate the issue in public. Dr Paul gave his assurance if this was to happen he would return to Ireland to defend his position. However those promoting fluoridation in Ireland, while exuding great confidence in this measure, have not been willing to debate their position with him, despite numerous invitations to do so.

After Dr. Paul's testimony to the Joint Committee another year went by and still no answers to the 50 reasons were forthcoming. Then out of the blue he heard that what purported to be answers to the 50 reasons had been posted on the Department of Health's web site, on May 5 2005. When he checked into this he found that no one had signed the 24 page "response", which consisted largely of an attack on the author's (they never mentioned him by name) "poor questions" rather than providing cogent answers to any of them. Instead of specific answers they gave reasons why they were not going to address about a third of the 50 reasons and then addressed the other two thirds generically.

Dr. Paul was most disappointed that they didn't have the courtesy to send their response to him in person, although he could understand their intense embarrassment that such a long delayed and pathetic response must have caused them. A short time later he was told that they had added some more specific responses to the web site, but again they made no effort to indicate which explanation or offering was aimed at which "reason" and again no attempt was made to inform him directly of their response.

Before Dr. Paul could go ahead and address their responses he had to make a good faith and time consuming effort to try and match their responses to his specific arguments. As he did this it became clear to him why they had done this in such a disorganized and arbitrary fashion. They were not seeking clarity. They did not want people to read the 50 reasons and be able to link their responses to each one, for the simple reason that almost all their responses were very weak. Worse still they had failed to address at least 20 of the 50 reasons at a

By making their response so chaotic Dr. Paul believes they intended to make it very awkward for any but the most persistent reader to know what the heck was going on. If they succeed in this obfuscation then many busy people will throw up their hands and defer to "authority" however undeserved that "authority" might be. This often works from a PR point of view but, of course, from a scientist's point of view and more importantly from the point of view of someone who wants to see good science serving public health policy this is extremely unsatisfactory and hence Dr. Paul's frustration.

After close scrutiny of their specific responses it becomes clear time and time again the anonymous respondents attempt to simply dismiss every study that has found an inkling of a problem. This they seldom do by actually analyzing the papers in question but rather by relying on others to do their analysis for them. Considering that Ireland is the only European country to have mandatory fluoridation, it is amazing that

a) They cannot keep track of the primary literature themselves and
b) That they cannot commission their own

There is now a concerted effort by many groups around Ireland to have fluoridation stopped and many of those are working closely with The National Health Federation Ireland. It's generally thought that it is now a race between Ireland, Canada and New Zealand as to which country will be the next to end fluoridation. The NHF have been testing Irish food products exported into mainland Europe where fluoride has been largely banned due to health and ethical concerns and found many products produced by large well known companies to contain high levels of fluoride leaving a huge question mark over these exports. With this in mind regarding the next country to end fluoridation I think the smart money is on Ireland.

A full copy of Dr. Paul's responses can be found at www.thenhfireland.com

Where exactly is the much vaunted new era equality in the Gerry McGeough case, since the GFA?

■ Helen McClafferty An Irish-American Activist

The general consensus that society in the north of Ireland "moved on" from the era of the Troubles has been seriously undermined by an on-going legal saga that has caused growing resentment among nationalists in Tyrone and which is now starting to gain attention elsewhere across Ireland and beyond.

At the center of the controversy is 52-year-old Gerry McGeough, a teacher and published author from the Brantry area of South Tyrone who is now the focus of a political and legal storm that has dragged in the Swedish government, the U.S. and German authorities and a host of interested parties from several other nations. Added to this is a growing litany of revelations that has opened a Pandora's Box of secret deals and leaked intelligence memos that are beginning to cause major angst within some political circles.

The saga began on March 8, 2007 the day after the Stormont Assembly elections when Gerry McGeough, who had stood as an Independent Republican candidate in the Fermanagh/South Tyrone constituency, was dramatically arrested outside the Count Centre in Omagh. McGeough was eventually charged with membership in the IRA in 1975 and with wounding a part-time member of the UDR in 1981.

Explaining the unusual manner of Mr McGeough's arrest, the PSNI claimed that they had been looking for him for years but had not been able to locate him until his appearance at the Omagh Count Centre. Mr McGeough's lawyers dismissed this as "ridiculous" pointing out that apart from the fact that he had been living openly in the North before the election, he was also one of the most high-profile candidates during the campaign, speaking regularly in public and making appearances on T.V. and live radio programs.

After three weeks in Maghaberry prison, Mr McGeough's lawyers finally secured bail for him following a considerable legal struggle during which the Prosecution tried to prevent his release by claiming that he was wanted in Germany and the United States for Irish republican related activities in the 1980s. When these accusations were found to be groundless, he was released on strict bail conditions most of which remained in place close to 4 years later.

Mr. McGeough, who lives with his Spanish-born wife and their four young children, spent almost 4 years making monthly court appearances during which the case was constantly put back. In July 2009 Mr McGeough suffered a massive heart-attack. That same month, the British government extended the use of the non-jury Diplock Court system for another two years. A notorious legacy of the Troubles, the Diplock Courts was supposed to have been finally phased out by 2007.

On March 8, 2010, exactly three years after his arrest, Mr. McGeough was put on trial before a Diplock Court in Belfast. The previous day, the Sunday Tribune newspaper revealed that a secret deal had been done between the British government and Sinn Féin allowing up to fifty "on-the-run" republicans to receive Royal Pardons since the signing of the 1998 Good Friday Agreement.

Shaun Woodward, Britain's then Secretary of State for the North, publicly denounced the revelation as "nonsense". Shortly afterwards, McGeough's lawyers produced one of the Pardons and the Northern Ireland Office has since confirmed that a deal had in fact been done.

Arguing that their client, who is one of the listed "on-the-runs", was being discriminated against for political reasons, Mr. McGeough's legal team secured an adjournment of the trial after three days while they sought the disclosure of documents from the NIO for an "Abuse of Process" application.

Despite ample evidence of the existence of such material, a judge ruled that the NIO need not disclose some forty-one relevant files in the interests of "national security". He also dismissed the "Abuse of Process" application and the trial resumed on September 13, 2010. The trial against Mr. McGeough, which is estimated to have cost £1 million to date, was halted again the following day after McGeough was rushed to the hospital where he underwent a further heart procedure on September 17th. The trial resumed again on November 1, 2010.

In their obsessive need to prosecute and imprison this Irishman, the British obviously were prepared to turn international political asylum refugee laws on their head. The Prosecution used alleged Political Asylum application papers from Sweden as the mainstay of its evidence against Mr McGeough. The move raised considerable alarm among some international Human Rights groups who argue that this violates the whole concept of political asylum and is an attack upon the rights of refugees everywhere.

Ironically, under British law, Political Asylum applicants are guaranteed that their documents will be kept confidential and under no circumstances handed over to the authorities in the country they may be fleeing from. The Crown's prosecution argued that this doesn't apply to material from Sweden!

Mr McGeough, an accomplished Irish speaker and a promoter of Ireland's unique culture and heritage, put himself through university, graduating from Trinity College, Dublin with an Honors Degree in History in 2003. The following year, he attained a Higher Diploma in Education from University College, Dublin and went on to teach history. During this time, he had been elected to the Sinn Féin Ard Comhairle and was the party's National Director during the successful "No to Nice" I campaign in 2001. He left Sinn Féin later that year over concerns about the leadership's weakening of Republican principles, and the party's increasingly pro-abortion stance.

A supporter of the Peace Process, Mr McGeough and his supporters believe **he was singled out simply because he stood in the elections and expressed a viewpoint that upset the powers that be.** People are verbally upset and now starting to question *"why are no British soldiers being put on trial for Bloody Sunday?"* And, what about those behind the Dublin/Monaghan bombings?

On April 1, 2011, after 4 years of a politically motivated arrest and trial, Mr. McGeough's case ended with a "guilty" verdict and a 20 year sentence handed down by a British Diplock court. Mr McGeough is the first Irish republican to be sentenced under the GFA on troubles related charges dating back over 30 years. He will be eligible for release in 2 years on license however this can be arbitrarily revoked at any time with very little legal safeguard.

In contrast, the British government has operated a secret scheme granting royal pardons or immunity from prosecution to hand-picked ex-IRA members and Loyalists wanted for killings, bombings and other paramilitary activities. No members of the British forces are being charged or tried for their part in the Troubles.

Based on what has happened, one can't help but ask: where exactly is the much vaunted new era equality in Mr. McGeough's case? Mr McGeough's

THE BANK OF ENGLAND

the first central bank

By Anthony O'Bhanchuis

The first country to be totally conquered by money power was England. William of Orange was backed by the Dutch Jewish money lenders, Francisco, Lopez, Suasso and others of the same ilk, to the tune of 1.5 million guilders. They decided to take the Throne of England from the rightful king, James II. The 1690 'Battle of the Boyne' in Ireland was the deciding clash in this struggle. William the puppet king took the Throne of England, but in 1694 it was payback time and he was persuaded to grant a charter to establish the first Central Bank in the world; a monopoly usury bank.

As a sweetener he was granted shares in the bank. Henceforth all the kings and queens of England, right up to present day, have held shares in the Bank of England.

The initial loan made to William under the charter was 1.2 million in gold at 8% interest. Wholesale usury on a gigantic scale was now forced on the people nationwide and now they could be taxed to pay the interest on the first national debt in the world. The loans to William increased, allowing further expansion of the loans to the public. Eventually the loans to the public were expanded at a 10-1 ratio of the loans made to the king.

That is, for every £10 pounds issued £9 was fictitious, also introducing 'fractional reserve' banking into the system. This is illegal ballooning of the money supply which causes inflation, accompanied naturally by price rises.

Boom and bust was now the order of the day. If the Catholic king had gained the throne no banking charter would have been granted, as usury would have been [illegible]. He would have issued his own money to the value of goods and services, the true wealth, with no debt attached to it and no tax to pay interest of an illegal debt.

We have to remember that during the Middle Ages the [illegible] St Thomas Aquinas said that; 'Money should only be a token of the exchange of goods'. In other words, it should not have the power over goods. To quote Aquinas again; 'Three per cent tax is an iniquity'.

Officially the Bank of England was founded by Scotsman William Patterson in 1694, but he stepped down after just one year and others took over. Of course, Patterson was just a front man with the real controllers lying in the background, e.g. the Suasso Family etc. The controlling stock of every Central Bank in the world is privately owned, with just a handful of families involved in their control.

The illegal loans made to governments through the Central Banks create National Debt on which the PAYE workers have to be taxed to pay 98% of the interest. Payment of Principal is completely discouraged as it would weaken the loan of the debt upon government, by reducing interest payments and therefore weakening the Central Banks' influence on government policies. Interest payments on the debt also keep the PAYE in subjection, lest a competitor may rise up amongst them.

Central banks, wherever they exist, have total control over the nation; financially, economically and politically. They are also controlled by a socialist mass media, [illegible] socialists never question the system and its draconian nature, which is easily exposed upon investigation and shown to cause austerity and poverty in the midst of [illegible] thinking that the Central Bank is part of the government. [illegible] being licensed by the national Central Bank. The rate of interest is determined by the same. The Central Bank of EU, The European Central bank, sets the present interest rate for all European Union member states.

The Central Bank [illegible] depending on whether the Central Bank is following an inflationary or deflationary policy. In other words, at what part of the boom to bust cycle they are at. Because the issue of money is no longer linked to gold or silver, money can now be issued at a ratio of 20-1; approximately £19 of every £20 being fictitious. All loans to governments are backed by government bonds, guaranteed by deeds of property etc., whether the loans are productive or parasitic.

The inflation caused during the inflationary cycle cannot be allowed to continue unchecked because it would then have the effect of devaluing the national debt and therefore the Central Banks' political lean on government. Remember that 'the borrower is always the server to the lender'. At this part of the cycle it is necessary to introduce a deflationary policy which is then gradually implemented. Interest rates are gradually increased over a period of a couple of years. [illegible] money supply. The squeeze on the economy gradually increases as money supply is in increasing scarcity. Deeper into this cycle government comes under pressure to borrow on the back of industrial closures and unemployment as well as private bankruptcies and repossessions.

The principal of the national debt greatly increases and therefore the interest payments due on it. Ways will be found to increase the burden of taxes on the people of the nation. During the boom cycle any attempt to [illegible] discouraged by the Central Bank and media economists. Some years ago, under Margaret Thatcher, a payment of 14 billion was made to the Bank of England lowering the principal of England's national debt and thus the interest payable. This was one of the [illegible] Minister of England.

Fractional Reserve Banking

Fractional Reserve Banking is practised within each nation, through the private banking system which is licensed on an individual basis by the Central Bank. The system is derived originally from the Babylonian banking and economic system under which the Jewish people lived in captivity for a period of time.

An Example of the Fractional Reserve System

A man deposits £1,000 in bank A. The bank keeps 5% in reserve (£50) and loans out (£950) to another man who wants to buy some wood at the timber merchant. He pays for it with the £950 (borrowed). The merchant in town deposits the money in bank B. Bank B retains 5% and loans out 95%, approximately £902, and so on right the way down to zero, which turns the original deposit of £1000 into approximately £20,000 of debt money, bearing interest. This is called the multiplier effect in economics yet the socialists cannot see anything wrong with it. This system is taught in the leading universities throughout the western developed nations. This shows how well protected the banking system is, through the indoctrination received in the universities of the west on economics, etc. The London [illegible] doctrine was spread, was set up in 1883 by George Bernard Shaw and his wife Charlotte, along with Sidney webb and his wife Beatrice.

This is the reason [illegible] Lloyd George, Clement Attlee, Harold Wilson, etc. etc.

The Lawful Bank:

The Alternative to The Fraudulent International Banking System

By Roger Bayes

The lawful Bank provides a gateway to 'The Alternative Monetary System (TAMS) - a new and independent monetary and banking system owned and controlled by its users/members. The objective of TAMS is to take back control of the money supply for the benefit of the people of the nations that choose to use it, and by so doing, reassert the sovereign right of the people to self-governance for a nation cannot truly govern itself unless it is in full control of the means by which its money is created.

That most nations around the world do not control their own money supply is not well known but it should be - and it is our intention therefore to educate as many people [illegible] the impact it has on our freedoms.

TAMS has been [illegible] to counter the destructive modern day banking system that is the sole cause of the massive debt mountains that are now the burden of virtually every nation on this planet. It is our contention that people should be educated to the fact that the national debt would not exist if the creation of money was directly controlled by our elected government and that also, if this were the case, the taxes we pay could be dramatically reduced.

TAMS provides the means by which national debt mountains can be extinguished for the universal benefit of us all by creating streams of positive financial liquidity in the hands of the people instead of the streams of negative debt that have been placed on the shoulders of national governments [illegible] statement of fact that these debt mountains were entirely [illegible] because the political elite in national governments have been persuaded (some would say bribed) to hand over the control of their nation's money supply to the international banking cartel. In our view this is nothing short of criminal collusion.

"I sincerely believe the banking institutions having the issuing power of money are more dangerous to liberty than standing armies."
Thomas Jefferson

Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation,
and I care not who makes its laws
Mayer Amschel Rothschild
YOU can help change things

Please sign up to the Lawful Bank now at www.lawfulbank.com and read the details at your leisure. Please note, you are not making a commitment at this stage, you are merely expressing an interest, which will provide us with an early indication of the level of support that we might expect. The success of TAMS depends on our ability to co-ordinate our efforts and work to a common agenda to take back control of the means of money production and by so doing

New IMF Strategy Document Charts Launch Of "Bancor" Global Currency

Steve Watson - Prisonplanet.com

A recently published IMF strategy document calls for the implementation of a global currency, called the "bancor" to stabilise the international monetary system, [illegible] will make it possible in the short term.

The IMF blueprint, authored by Reza Moghadam, director of the IMF's strategy, policy and review department, has stayed under the radar for three months.

However, an article on the Financial Times blog Alphaville entitled **IMF blueprint for a global currency** - yes really, highlights the document and the clear strategy of the global financial body.

"[illegible] ensure the stability of the international monetary system (post crisis) is actually by launching a global currency." Izabella Kaminska notes.

"And that the IMF says it largely because [illegible]"

A chart within the document, innocuously titled Reserve Accumulation and International Monetary Stability, presents a stepping stone system toward a fully fledged global currency.

Beginning with a vague recommendation for *voluntary policy adjustments* to be adopted by member states, the chart moves through more and more draconian economic policies toward a long term endgame of a global currency.

The chart also plots **"potential resistance"** to each stepping stone from sovereign states, with a spike in the short term followed by a lull and then a general rise as the move toward a global currency progresses over time.

The IMF's road to a global currency hinges on a wider use of and eventual implementation of an international monetary system based on special drawing rights (SDR), the IMF's synthetic paper currency.

Once an SDR based system is in place, the IMF envisages just one final step to the launch of a new global currency.

The document even gives the global currency a name, the **"bancor"** after John Maynard Keynes' proposed, but never implemented, World Currency Unit of clearing.

The following section of the IMF document highlights this:

46. From SDR to bancor. A limitation of the SDR as discussed previously is that it is not a [illegible] a national currency for most payments or interventions in foreign exchange markets, which adds to cumbersome use in transactions.

And though an SDR-based system would move away from a dominant national currency, [illegible] linked to the conditions and performance of the major component countries. A more ambitious reform option would be to build on the previous ideas and develop over time a global currency. Called for example, bancor in honor of Keynes, such a currency could be used as a medium of exchange, an "outside money" in contrast to the SDR which remains an "inside money".

The document concludes that without a catalyst to create a sudden clamour for globalism, the implementation of a global currency will take time.

It is understood that some of the ideas discussed are unlikely to materialize in the foreseeable future absent a dramatic shift in appetite for international cooperation.

The IMF first floated the possibility of a new global currency in March 2009. The issue was then debated at the G20 Summit in London just days later.

A clause in Point 19 of the communiqué issued by the G20 leaders led to analysts describing the dawn of a *"revolution in the global financial order."*

"We have agreed to support a general SDR allocation which will inject $250bn (£170bn) into the world economy and increase global liquidity," the clause stated.

"In effect, the G20 leaders have activated the IMF's power to create money and begin global 'quantitative easing'. In doing so, they are putting a de facto world currency into play. It is outside the control of any sovereign body." Ambrose Evans-Pritchard of the London Telegraph wrote at the time.

"The world is a step closer to a global currency, backed by a global central bank, running monetary policy for all humanity," he added.

The same conclusion was drawn by the Washington Post's Anthony Faiola, who described how the IMF is on course to be transformed into *"a veritable United Nations for the global economy."*

The move has also been endorsed separately by the World Bank and the UN.

The head of the International Monetary Fund, Dominique Strauss-Kahn has repeated the call for a global currency via SDR multiple times.

The introduction of a new global currency and taxation system, with an overarching regulatory body is a key cornerstone in the move towards global government, centralized control and more power being concentrated into fewer unaccountable hands.

The IMF's push toward this kind of system is part of the ongoing movement to empower a group of [illegible] state sovereignty by overseeing benchmarks for national financial governance and setting regulations for financial institutions all over the globe.

The IMF document in question can be downloaded in PDF format from:

WWW.IMF.ORG/EXTERNAL/NP/PP/ENG/2010/041310.pdf

The Magic Isle of Guernsey

Bill Still is Director of the "Best Documentary of 2010", The Secret of Oz (www.secretofoz.com)
He is also author of the new book, No More National Debt

By Bill Still

There is a great deal of confusion about how money should be created and managed today in a sovereign nation and whether anything can fix our current worldwide economic problems. I believe this confusion is deliberately generated by those who stand to profit from the current system – a "debt-money" system that is killing the economy of every nation on earth.

The simple fact is that a nation's money should be created in the public interest. Unfortunately, that is not the case today in almost every nation on earth. Money creation is given over to private banks through the deception that it is being created in the public interest by national central banks such as the Federal Reserve, Bank of England, Banque de France, Deutsche Bundesbanke etc.

Many believe that the only solution is a return to gold-backed money. I do not agree. I think this is yet another deception and I believe world monetary history proves this incontrovertibly. To me, the main point to remember is that it is not what backs the national money that is important, what is important is **Who Controls the Quantity!**

Despite the nationalistic-sounding names of these central banks, don't be deceived; they do not create money in the public interest. Every dollar, every pound, every Euro is created as an interest bearing debt – a debt primarily owed to – and the quantity controlled by -- the commercial banking community.

The real solution is two-fold:

1. Forbid government borrowing – no more national debt. Nations do not have to borrow. Nations can create their own money.

2. Forbid fractional reserve lending. This is where banks can lend out 10 to 12 times the money they actually have. Banks must go to "full-reserve lending.

In other words, the only way to end this worldwide spiral of depression is for every nation to return to a debt-free money system. Without the money power firmly in its control no nation can really be sovereign. In fact, creating money in the public interest is the very definition of sovereignty.

Fortunately, this is not a new or radical idea. It has been used hundreds of times throughout history, but every time it has been employed, it has been attacked mercilessly by the big banking class who lose profits whenever the idea of money creation in the public interest surfaces. So, this is a timeless struggle and nothing less than the survival of the human species is at stake, because the debt the current system is generating is the primary cause of the world's hunger, poverty and misery, and is quickly destroying sovereign democratic governments and returning humanity to a nouveau-serfdom system from which it will soon be unable to escape.

Debt-free money is not difficult to understand. A simple example of debt-free money creation has been going on in the tiny island of Guernsey for 200 years. Let's take a look.

Despite the fact that the island of Guernsey has only 30 square miles and a population of only 65,000 people and very little in the way of natural resources except cows, their per capita income is $40,000 per year, 9th highest among the 200 or so countries of the world. What gives? Guernsey has used a money system since 1817 that can serve as a model for the rest of the world to use to escape the ongoing great depression of the 21st century.

Despite its proximity to France, Guernsey is actually a British Crown Dependency and, to its credit, has never joined the European Union. After the Napoleonic Wars, Guernsey was in dire economic straits. The island's roads were mere cart tracks, only 54-inches wide. In wet weather they were virtually impassable. There was not a vehicle for hire of any kind on the island. There was no trade, nor much hope of employment among the poor. The sea was washing away large tracts of land due to the sorry state of the dykes.

Guernsey, like most nations at that time (as well as today) had borrowed heavily from banks. The States Debt was £19,137 with an annual interest charge of £2,390, but the gross national revenue of the entire island was only £3,000, leaving only a paltry £610 per annum to run the entire island. In other words, interest paid to banks consumed 80% of the GDP, thus reducing the populace to a state of pitiful serfdom.

In 1815, a Committee of well-respected, public-spirited elders was assembled to finance the building of a Public Market near the main harbor, Saint Peter Port, so the farmers could more easily sell their products for export. The cost of the new facility would be £6,000. In addition, fixing the dykes would cost an additional £10,000.

Further taxation of the impoverished island was impossible. Borrowing the money from the banks would result in even higher interest charges that could never be paid. The Committee made a historic recommendation to remedy this dire situation:

> **The Committee recommends that the expense should be met by the issue of States Notes of £1 Sterling to the value of £6,000 ... and that these notes will be available not only for the payment of the new market but also for Torteval Church, roads to construct, and other expenses of the States. [i]**

The Committee argued that there was little to fear from inflation because the local banks already had £50,000 of their money (Notes) in circulation. As a further protection against inflation, the overly cautious citizens of Guernsey placed redemption dates on the notes of April 1817, October 1817 and April 1818. In other words these notes were good for payment of taxes and good as regular money in circulation until the expiration date was reached. At that time, the notes would no longer be legal tender and the State would destroy them.

> **In this manner, without increasing the States' debt, it will be possible to finish these works, leaving sufficient money in the Exchequer for other needs.[ii]**

Once the good citizens realized that these notes would work without the skies falling on the gentle island, additional issues took place in 1820 and 1821. By 1821, some £10,000 of Guernsey Notes were in circulation, all created without debt.

> **[It was] the most advantageous method of meeting debts, from the point of view both of the public and the States finances. Indeed, the public seemed to realize this fact, and, far from being averse to taking the notes, they sought them out eagerly.[iii]**

The citizenry clearly understood that these Guernsey Notes were clearly government financing in the public interest. They also realized that if there were to be any inflation as a result, at least it was better than no money at all, and at least they would all shoulder the inflation equally.

In 1824, another £5,000 notes were issued for the markets, and in 1826 £20,000 to erect Elizabeth College and certain other schools.

By 1829, £48,000 worth of Guernsey debt-free Notes was in circulation, and by 1837 over £55,000.

> **In the Billet d'Etat it was a frequent subject for congratulation; and it was stated over and over again by eminent men of those times that without the issue of States' notes, important public works, such as roads and buildings could not possibly have been carried out. Yet by means of the States' issue, not only were these works accomplished, but also the island was not a penny the poorer in interest charges. Indeed, the improvements had stimulated the flow of visitors to the island, and with increased trade, the island enjoyed its newfound prosperity.[iv]**

In 1826, however, the first signs of opposition by the banking community began. A complaint was lodged with the British Privy Council that Guernsey had no right to issue debt-free notes. However the Guernsey (also known as the "States") Financial Committee explained the situation to the satisfaction of all, and the matter was closed.

The next year, 1827, surprise, surprise, a new commercial bank opened, called "Old Bank". They began printing up private bank notes in such quantity that the island became flooded with money. Soon, Guernsey feared that inflation would set in – or worse – that their own debt-free money experiment would be blamed for the inflation. So a Committee was appointed to confer with the banks. What went on in these meetings remains a mystery to this day, but the result was that £15,000 of Guernsey Notes would be withdrawn from circulation and the government would be limited to issuing a grand total of only £40,000 of their own notes. This agreement remained in force until World War I.

In the wake of World War I, the banks came under severe restrictions on how much money they could issue. All bank money was being directed towards the war effort. But Guernsey was under no such restriction, probably because its experiment was unique, and perhaps forgotten.

Guernsey made good use of her opportunity. By the end of the war, in 1918, Guernsey had issued £142,000, and 40 years later, that had grown to £542,765. Today, private bank notes no longer exist. British money circulates side by side with State Notes.

> **Naturally, there is a greater demand for the States Notes; no sane citizen of Guernsey wishes to have his taxes increased to pay debt charges! To enlarge on this theme: In 1937 the States Note money, about £175,000, cost the States only £450 for printing and handling. A loan of the same dimensions would have cost about £11,383 annually. So can you blame the Guernsey taxpayers for preferring their own money since, under their sensible and benevolent financial system they pay hardly any income tax.[v]**

> **During the entire experiment in Guernsey, from 1817 to date, there has at no time been a threat of inflation from the creation of States Notes. At all times, the States were very careful in the issue and cancellation of notes according to their ability and requirements.[vi]**

In other words they carefully controlled the quantity of their money in circulation.

> **Any visitor to Guernsey is immediately impressed by the vast difference in prices between the island and the mainland in Britain. Thanks to the exceptionally low taxation and import duties, Guernsey enjoys low prices, plenty of money, and a high standard of living. In fact, Guernsey can afford to leave worries about inflation to the debt-ridden mainland![vii]**

Today, Guernsey remains an island of prosperity. As author Ellen Brown puts it:

> **Guernsey has an income tax, but the tax is relatively low (a "flat" 20 percent), and it is simple and loophole-free. It has no inheritance tax, no capital gains tax, and no federal debt. Commercial banks service private [lending] but the government itself never goes into debt. When it wants to create some public work or service, it just issues the money it needs to pay for the work. The Guernsey government has been issuing its own money for nearly two centuries. During that time, the money supply has mushroomed to about 25 times its original size; yet the economy has not been troubled by price inflation, and it has remained prosperous and stable.[viii]**

Once you understand the Guernsey story, you have to admire the modesty of their website:

> **Guernsey's ability to look after its own fiscal affairs has meant that it has been able to foster a favourable tax climate. This has led to many offshore banks, fund managers and insurance companies establishing here. Whilst the traditional industries of flower growing, fishing and dairy farming still play an important part, contributing both to the varied economy and to the island's character.**
>
> **Guernsey also has its own stamps and currency, and while British pounds can be used on the island, Guernsey pounds cannot be used in the UK."**

But the question may arise, what keeps them from printing too much. They watch inflation closely, and the calculations are all completely transparent, run by a committee of citizens, and open for all to see on their website.

That's all they care about: Is this causing inflation? They can expend as much as they want as long as it causes no inflation. They don't care about theory. Born out of desperate need, they found out the secret of money and have quietly gone about using it and thereby have a high standard of living with very low taxes.

Fortunately, the Guernsey experiment is not an aberration. It has been tried time and time again, and when the quantity is controlled in the public interest, always with success. The bankers, however inevitably attack these in-the-public-interest, debt-free government issues of money. Debt-free money is in everyone's interest except bankers. Typically, they will use their money and influence to create some financial emergency then bribe sufficient politicians to convince them to vote for legislation giving the bankers a monopoly on issuing all the nation's money as a loan, thereby stripping the nation of its ability to issue its own money debt free.

During the depths of the depression of the 1930s, the majority of economists in the U.S. discovered this monetary reform solution. In 1936, Frank D. Graham, Professor of Economics at Princeton University offered his perspective in *The American Economic Review*:

> ***"What we need is not control of banking but a government monopoly of the supply of money, with commercial banks left to lend on short-term out of capital funds, debenture borrowings, and real time deposits. Such a system… is a[n] indispensable prerequisite to the regulation of the money supply on which all attempts to bring greater stability into our economic system, through monetary means, must inevitably be based. We are certainly not likely to get stability so long as the supply of money remains even partially in the hands of those who have no responsibility for the total issue and no motive to do other than increase it as far as law, and a merely selfish prudence, will permit."***

There is a way for citizens and their governments to take back the money-creation power of the banks. Yes, bankers are experts with money, but they are experts in maximizing their profit and rarely have much interest in the public interest. Freeing your government from borrowing money from bankers is the first, and most important, step for national freedom and prosperity. It is also THE most important step to limiting governmental overspending. If a government cannot borrow, it MUST live within its means.

Debt-free, government issued money -- where the quantity is properly controlled in the public interest -- has always worked to promote low taxation and maximize freedom for the majority of a nation.

i Grubiak, Olive and Jan. The Guernsey Experiment (1960, 1999 reprint. Bloomfield Books, Sudbury England), p. 8
ii ibid
iii Ibid
iv Ibid, p. 8-9
v ibid, p. 11
vi Ibid, p. 11-12
vii ibid, p. 12

EUGENICS: An Overview



Eugenicists are clever psychopaths and we live in a world run by them. A psychopath is someone with no empathy, compassion, remorse, love, conscience, guilt or sympathy for fellow human beings or animals. Through cunning, they can mimic these qualities and go undetected. They are often also sadists. It appears there is no remedy for this type of individual. In the perfect eugenics utopia, which they plan to build, almost all normal people are to be exterminated or re-engineered into a New "Man", a slave to serve the utopia for the psychopath. This upgrading is, and has always been, called THE NEW WORLD ORDER

Eugenics is a big, big religion. I do hate to go there but, if this is about eugenics then it is about the super-religion of eugenics. Many eugenicists believe they reincarnate, whilst we, the residual mass do not. They believe they are far more "evolved" than we are. When we die, we just slide back into that slimy primordial soup from whence we came. They, the eugenicists, do not really need to reincarnate onto this planet earth at all, but some of them the benevolent dictators - choose to. Eugenicists see themselves as gods. They are different; a vastly superior species of animal. They are what are now called Darwinists. In their world, the rest of us more stupid animals are preventing the natural evolution of this planet by our very presence. It is the divine duty of the eugenicists to speed up the sluggish planetary-evolutionary development by any and every means. We, their inferiors, are a drag. Eugenics is about the controlled conscious evolution of the planet and everything on it which the eugenicists believe was left in an imperfect state. The elite eugenicists, our saviours/controllers, have come to perfect us and to sort out the imperfection, which is planet earth.

Class and caste:

Eugenics has much in common with Hinduism from which it appears to have drawn many ideas. Like Hinduism, eugenics is a caste system. We find evidence of this in the works of General Albert Pike the High Pope of Freemasonry, and people like Charles Galton Darwin, the grandson of Charles Darwin who was the half-cousin of Francis Galton who coined the term eugenics. Eugenicists selectively interbreed with each other's supposedly superior types, to generate specific types of offspring within their own families, for specific purposes. The class system is a caste system. The so-called class wars of Socialism whether German National Socialism or Communist Socialism (now called Communitarianism) were attacks on the worker bees, ending with the Darwinist beekeepers culling the now inefficient stock to upgrade, refurbish and restock the hive. The tool used was, and is, divide-and-rule or the Hegelian Dialectic. Eugenics has ancient origins, its 20th and 21st century manifestations begin with the Anglo-American Establishment, upon which Nazi Germany, and particularly Dr Paul Joseph Goebbels drew heavily.

Having no normal emotions, the elites do not need to fall in love randomly and dilute the godhood of their hereditary gene pool. In their cerebral "superior" world, love is the stupidity of allowing the 'lower head' to dominate the more enlightened and rational 'upper head'. Emotion is a silly effeminate weakness to them, and boy, do they hate women.

Women:

Common women are dispensable. To the psychopathic eugenicist, the sooner the common woman is eliminated, and the unfit and lesser breeds exterminated, the sooner the Eugenics World Order can be established and the New "Man" created. The New "Man" will be a self-fertilising hermaphroditic slave. This is to be done through rational science in laboratories. However and this is important, the elites will not tamper with their own superior familial gene pool, or alter their own genetic make up, as this would only dilute their highly-evolved godly make-up and lower their intelligence and biology. They see it as their God-like duty to do it to us though.

The eugenicists see common woman as a threat, breeding like rabbits and having inferior babies in the old-fashioned way.

In the New (population-controlled) World Order, babies are all to be decanted from bottles and test tubes in the Brave New Huxlian World Order way. How many woman-leaders have there been? Hitler, Pinochet, Mussolini, Castro, Franco, Idi Amin, Stalin, Mao Zedong, Pol Pot, Saddam Hussein, Mugabe and so on, how many of these were women? How many kings or emperors were women? To the eugenicists women are seen as a temporary but necessary evil, a machine in a hereditary baby-making factory doing the job that those proper male (if you can call them that) scientists should be doing by themselves through rationality and science. One question to ask is this: have the High Priests of eugenics throughout history always been, violent, god-complex, racist, jealous of the baby-making process, psychopathic, egotistical control freaks, heterophobic (a term seldom used) and maybe perhaps somewhat homosexual? You must decide.

Religion.

The question is asked, what about all the New Age Mother Earth Goddess Religions? The eugenicists have set the New Age up in order to make the naturally aggressive males more effeminate, and to turn them into flower children, especially those freethinkers with the ability to educate. Aleister Crowley was one of many Masonic MI6 agents, and some believe that was their job, starting diverse global New Age religions. There is one thing missing in all these though, a thing called God. Eugenicists cannot have false gods before them. They slide society into a diluted cocktail of New Age religions and include atheism. Anything (or nothing) goes, so long as there is no God in it. In the new religion spirit and matter merge forming scientism. The white coat replaces the priestly robes worn.

The old religions, stripped of all their magical allure were actually somewhat scientific in one sense. If you keep repeating the same thing over and over, and you keep getting the same outcome, that outcome becomes a law. The old religions were about morals or natural laws, based on tried and tested experiences resulting in moral guidelines to follow which made life meaningful and rewarding. Break the moral codes, the natural laws and you get the same unpleasant consequences whatever the religion, or lack of it. Phrases such as "you reap what you sow" and "what goes around comes around" spring to mind. Normal people instinctively know right from wrong. This is Natural Law or Common Law. People have a basic moral conscience, it is part of our community survival make-up. Now the eugenicists, having no conscience and being staunch sadists try in every possible way to make us break every moral code (this used to be called sin) and suffer the unpleasant consequences. This ability makes them feel powerful, superior and god-like. Good and evil to them are mere energies or forces to be used in whatever way a god chooses. "you can't make an omelette without breaking eggs". This is how they justify their cruelty. This top-down lack of empathy is marketed down to society as "moral relativity". However, if good and evil are neutralised, then evil has triumphed over good and good people suffer excruciatingly.

For most normal people, if one spends long enough in a natural environment to allow the heavy shackles of an urban Darwinist indoctrination to fall away, something stirs within them. When you feel every blade of grass, every star in the sky, every grain of sand and every individual cell to be unique in itself and with nothing evolving into anything else, something awakens within you. It becomes quite impossible for you not to be swept off your feet with an overwhelming sense of humility, beauty and gratitude for the gift of life, regardless of whether you believe in a God or not.

[U]sing ancient secret archived social sciences this overwhelming love of the natural world has been, and is still being harvested by the elites. It is perverted, debased and used against normal people as a social weapon. It is also used by them to deify themselves through us.

To vote means to worship. It comes from the Latin word votum meaning to worship. Some offshoots of the word are votary, votive, vow, vouch, devout, devotion, devotee.

What false left-right New World Order political puppet will you worship at the next election?

In the Darwinist New World Order there WILL be a god all right, a physical god. Being a god he will be showing us that he has the divine right to do whatever he likes to us, if he has allowed us to be born - or more correctly, 'decanted' in the first place, that is.

Here are a couple of religious leading lights, Green Eugenics Human Depopulation New Age earth-saver gurus:

"When we're finished, you'll wish you had the rights of a tree."

Maurice Strong on the Earth Charter view on human rights -

"No one will enter the New World Order unless he or she will make a pledge to worship Lucifer. No one will enter the New Age unless he will take a Luciferian Initiation."

David Spangler, Director of Planetary Initiative United Nations-

Astrology:

There is something in astrology but it's not what you've been told. Astrology has both an esoteric and an exoteric meaning. The nonsense we read in the papers and magazines is the exoteric part. Astrology comes from the age-old religion now known as eugenics. The esoteric part is a New World Order business plan written into a calendar, dates pencilled into a diary so to speak, only the diary is a huge, vast, reliable, eternally spinning stellar clock ticking away overhead, rolling around the heavenly firmament above us.

The Age of Pisces, the fish, is the age in which those humans that the elites consider to be the 'bright sparks', the fitter types worthy of survival, are "fished out" of the genetic pool of life. Now that we are entering the Age of Aquarius, we, the junk genes, the unfit, the useless eaters, are to be poured out of the pitcher and disposed of, exterminated, so that the gods can build the next stage of their utopia and create the New "Man" using science.

Now the wash-of stars is just that an infinite astronomical canopy of stars rolling about in the night sky. You can join the starry-dots in any way that your imagination chooses, but the elites happened to dream up the signs of the zodiac, and surrounded their business plan for the future of humanity and the world with an elaborate hoax riddled with hocus-pocus magic and mystery. Sorry to disappoint you but the "mystery" is nothing more, and nothing less than a boring old long-term business plan projected way into the future in order to build the New "Man" - "to perfect that which was left imperfect". We are "but clay on the potter's wheel", as the High Freemasons say. To them, we need to be perfected, or finished off. We and our offspring are to be repeatedly upgraded into whatever cyborg, or genetic plant or animal mutant our masters choose, continually being upgraded through time. This open-ended upgrading is called 'The Neverending Story'. Our futures and the future environments we are to live in have all been planned out for us, and written in that starry efficiency balance sheet rolling above the earth. The eugenicists are obsessed with efficiency. The ultimate in efficiency is to be able to create something out of nothing, as does a god. There is a mad rush on right now to get this New World Order upgraded before the clock strikes Aquarius, "as above so below". The ideal plan written in the heavens must be brought to fruition here below on earth. Planned and unbearable chaos must be created here below so that we will plead and beg to our divine masters "please make the changes stop, please bring us peace" and beg of them to create order out of that elite-created chaos. 'Ordo ab Chao' - Order out of Chaos.

Elite Education as opposed to common propaganda:

We may ask who educates the eugenicists. Well first, they learn of their imagined superiority from their parents who earned it from their parents who learned it from their parents. They learn from birth that their divine role in life is to dominate the planet as it should be dominated by them, and that the proof of their godhood lies in the fact that they own and dominate the global financial system; the system to which all of us commoners are slaves, kept in our rightful place so long as we circulate their money. We could not survive a day without them. We are simply too stupid. Eugenicists breed into their own families to keep their blood pure. They call this consanguinity. They are obsessed with this and have double or triple-barrelled names to keep track. They invented the census for fear of common blood infecting their superior bloodlines. Miscegenation applies to mixing races, but it also seems to apply to species mixing, the elites having nothing in common with us inferior animals in the Darwinist pecking order. The hierarchical doctrine of karma is used to keep the untouchables and commoners at bay so they do not infect the superior breeds. This propaganda is being pushed worldwide. Eugenicists are utter snobs.

"Let him who will be deceived be deceived" is a maxim in law in our society, in the elite's Law Society. Eugenics is a religion of deception, but the deception is clever. The more deceptively clever, logical and cunning a eugenicist is, the closer he is to being secretly initiated into godhood. This initiation they call the Apotheosis. Elites are taught this, commoners are not. Love or compassion does not enter into it at all. It is about deception and superior selective breeding.

Normal people do not bother to think about these bizarre things. We have things that are more normal and pressing on our minds. However, it is a grave mistake to overlook the mindset of the cunning and clever psychopath. They are dangerous. They ARE genetically modifying us deliberately. Having detribalised us all they are now sterilising us, turning us into eunuchs, expunging the mothering and fathering instincts, destroying families, children, sovereignty, reducing our IQ, debasing us, demoralising us in every imaginable way through so-called entertainment and the "propaganda known as education" as Bertrand Russell chose to call it. They are eliminating the sanctity of life, making us believe we are dumb animals. They have divided-and-ruled us and turned us all against one another, training us all to spy on eachother. We no longer even trust ourselves. We depend on "experts" to tell us how much we should breathe, how little we should breed and what we should think.

Genetic modification is just that, changing something. It is not creating something out of nothing. Only a god can do that. Being gods, they created money and government out of nothing.

Money, Piggybanks and Governments

Money does not exist. It is a fallacy, an abstraction, a deception. Yet, everyone is a slave to it, to something that does not exist except in the headspace of those that dreamed it up. Government is another abstract creation-out-of-nothing that we seem to believe in. That is playing God is it not? Money buys the history authors. Money raises empires and money debases them and razes them to the ground. Money runs academia, science, culture, fashion, music.

Continued page 21..

"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."

Eugenics: An Overview

...Continued from page 20

entertainment and the legal system.
We live in a corporate casino. The eugenicists built it. They run it. We pay them to do it, to do this to us and we are the currency. The elites are a tribe unto themselves and they control the debt-based money system. When they want to level a civilisation, they will simply move the money offshore and let that civilisation collapse. Of course, they will have moved all their opulence, butlers, chauffeurs, coiffures, palaces, mansions, jewels and money into the new civilisation to raise that civilisation up first.

The same inbred families shift their empire from Greece to Rome and eventually to Britain and America, leaving only the debased and devastated ruins of an empire to collapse in on itself behind them. It would appear that we are witnessing history repeat itself. It would appear that the bankers and royal families are planning to do this all over again. They are certainly raising up China, Dubai and Kazakhstan. Look at the supercity plans for these countries. See especially the "low-carbon" Ziggurat city in Dubai, the city of Astana in Kazakhstan and the supercities of China. Anyone who thought capitalism, fascism and communism were enemies has been truly duped and deceived. Money buys politics and edits history. Good books to read though are the works of professors Carroll Quigley and Anthony C. Sutton. These authors show where the money went and from whom it came.
Money is like any other addiction. The most addicted addict is always the drug pusher. He is infinitely dependent on his slaves to feed his control-freak ego. The eugenicist god is pure ego. If money were to be subordinated to its proper place, as a means to assist barter, the money masters would shrivel up for want of adoration from their slaves.

[illegible]ntrol:

Another thing eugenicists are obsessed with is physical longevity. They want to live forever here in a physical body and having no empathy they want to farm us and use us for spare body parts rather than die themselves. This goes all the way back to the pharaohs of Egypt and beyond, mummification etc. Obviously we are not to be included in this utopian physical immortality plan. If we were, then there would be a REAL overpopulation problem. That is why depopulation through mass-murder, infanticide and forced sterilisation, terrorism, genocide, euthanasia and forced abortion are to be imposed upon us, the enemy. They call it sustainability and Planned Parenthood. Population control and surveillance also springs from the elite's dreaded fear of the masses of normal people waking up and waking up to the elite's heavenly astrological plan, "The Great Leap Forward", also called The Great Work.

"To be always rich, always young, and never to die: such has been in all times the dream of the Alchemists."
Albert Pike. Morals and Dogma
Page 656

Surveillance:

EUGENICS

GATES

Aware of the danger that the masses might rise up against them, or worse ignore them, retribalise, barter and set up on their own, the elites are determined to spy on every aspect of everyone's life at all times to prevent this from happening. The commoners might even see them for what they really are, silly freaks who think they are Gods who will not rest until they prove it to everyone else. The elites will never be satisfied. They are perpetual war advocates. Their idea of peace is constant divide-and-rule. They view what we call peace as stagnancy. They have to keep every single one of us entertained, agitated, debased and under surveillance all of the time lest we wake up. If even one were to wake up to the eugenics programme and spread the word the genie would be out of the bottle and would never go back in. Answer? Enforce total round-the-clock surveillance and information control on every individual on the planet.

Francis Galton first coined the term eugenics, but what we now call eugenics has been around for millennia. Since the Nazi Germany, Soviet Russia and China periods and the atrocities attached to that historical period, eugenics has earned itself a bad name. Eugenics has since had a makeover. Just as Edward Bernays changed the term "propaganda" to "public relations" (now called perception management) the term eugenics is now called by many names to distract us from the grotesque historical horrors of it all, names such as Bio-ethics, Transhumanism, Social Darwinism, Genetics and Posthumanism among others. However, let us not get distracted or misled. Let us call it for what it really really is. EUGENICS.
The 10 Commandments of Eugenics are written blatantly in tablets of stone on the Georgia Guidestones for all to obey. View them online and understand them for yourself.



Pathocracy:

A pathocracy is a hierarchical psychopathic government of the psychopath by the psychopath and for the psychopath. It is a pyramidal system where the more psychopathic types are promoted to the higher levels. The lesser psychopaths stay at the lower levels vainly hoping for improvement and promotion. Sentient normal people are used as worker bees managed by the drones, all feeding the parasitic structure. The elites are the Lazy Boys dictating to the beekeepers. They do whatever they please, have mansions across the globe, luxurious private jets, limousines. They live in the lap of opulence. It is their utopia. Those fit to survive in a pathocratic world must be ruthless, secretive and have the ability to keep their mouth shut and look a person or camera in the eye while telling a global barefaced lie. Those normal non-psychopathic people, those with compassion, empathy, etc. are used as machines to dig the roads, clean the sewers, fish the seas, mine the minerals, fill the factories and farm the fields. Normal people are also units of currency. A good United Nations citizen is defined as a good producer-plus-consumer. Now that the pathocracy is being upgraded with automation, efficiency, modification and cheap or free labour from abroad, the pathocrats have decided that we are obsolete, this they call "overpopulation". Through science, they plan to grab all industry, agriculture, forestry, mining, oil and fishery for themselves and cull, or upgrade the herd, the useless eaters. THAT'S US.

Beehive quotes
"Our ideal society is like a Beehive. Above the workers, we have the drones."
-Plato 'The Republic'

"A Masonic Lodge should resemble a Beehive, inwhich all the members work together with ardour for the common good."
-Albert Pike - 'Morals and Dogma'

"In 1779 Adam Weishaupt, 'the first wise headman' wished to change the name Illuminati to the Order of the Bees."
Adam Weishaupt 1779

"There might be a drug, which, without other harmful effects, removed the urgency for sexual desires, and so reproduced in humanity the status of workers in a Beehive."
-Charles Galton Darwin - 'The Next Million Years'

"If it were possible to breed a race of strong healthy creatures intelligent to perform intricate drudgery yet lacking all ambition, what ruling class would resist the temptation? Many of the arguments brought against slavery would be powerless in such a case, for the ectogenic slave of the future would not feel his bonds. Every impulse, which makes slavery degrading and irksome to ordinary humanity would be removed from his mental equipment. He wouldn't care as long as happiness would be his task. He would be the exact human counterpart of the worker bee."
Lord Birkenhead, Cosmopolitan Magazine 1929

"One famous insider of modern schooling back in the post-WWI days (when the model was hardening) called government schooling "the perfect organization of the hive". That was H.H. Goddard, chairman at Princeton. Goddard believed standardized test scores used as a signal for privileged treatment would cause the lower classes to come face-to-face with their own biological inferiority. It would be like wearing a public dunce cap."
-John Taylor Gatto - 'Weapons of Mass Instruction: A Schoolteacher's Journey through the Dark World of Compulsory Schooling'

[illegible]

The eugenicist has launched an all-out war on us. Our food, water, air and soil have been poisoned. This has not happened by chance, it is a deliberate depopulation programme.

THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS ORGANIC FOOD
There is edible food and there is poisoned food.

Plastic packaging and bottling is poisonous, and the phasing out of perfectly reusable glass containers has to stop right now. All plastic packaging containing Bisphenol A, phthalates, obesogens, melamines, and all foods containing unnatural substances should bear the word POISON. Everything has been drenched in chemtrails including the soil and groundwater. We move in a pool of electro-pollution by design, not by accident. Vaccines contain poisons including genetically modified toxins. Supermarkets are poisonous. The entire system is utterly corrupt and paid for by our slavery. The entire system has to go!

A Post-Eugenics Movement is needed, with compassionate, sentient, moral people doing compassionate, sentient, moral things in a compassionate, sentient, moral way. The economy needs to be subordinated to its proper place as a servant to the people. People-powered shops and businesses need to be opened. We need REAL choices if we are to be truly free or do we really want a Russellian scientific dictatorship?

"Scientific societies are as yet in their infancy ... It is to be expected that advances in physiology and psychology will give governments much more control over individual mentality than they now have even in totalitarian countries. Fichte laid it down that education should aim at destroying free will, so that, after pupils have left school, they shall be incapable, throughout the rest of their lives, of thinking or acting otherwise than as their schoolmasters would have wished. Diet, injections and injunctions will combine, from a very early age, to produce the sort of character and the sort of beliefs that the authorities consider desirable, and any serious criticisms of the powers that be will become psychologically impossible.
"The Nazis were more scientific than the present rulers of Russia ... If they had survived, they would probably have soon taken to scientific breeding. Any nation which adopts this practice will, within a generation, secure great military advantages. The system, one may surmise, will be something like this: except possibly in the governing aristocracy, all but 5 per cent of males and 30 per cent of females will be sterilised. The 30 per cent of females will be expected to spend the years from eighteen to forty in reproduction to secure adequate cannon fodder. As a rule artificial insemination will be preferred to the [illegible]
Gradually, by selective breeding, the congenital differences between rulers and ruled will increase until they become almost a different species. A revolt of the plebs would become as unthinkable as an organised insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton."
Bertrand Russell, eugenicist - 'The Impact of Science on Society' (1952)

For useful New World Order eugenics quotes see here.
The Complete Dummy's Guide to EUGENICS
Part 2 - The Evidence -
http://www.sovereignindependent.com/?p=10971

Acknowledgement:

A great debt of gratitude is owed to Alan Watt without whose tireless efforts to disseminate this information through his books, DVD's, CD's, multilingual websites and hundreds of hours of talks, this article would never have been written.
www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com

His work primes a latent instinct in the individual, an instinct that springs from an innate curiosity and a personal yearning for truth.

Don't Shoot The Messenger

A New World Order from the chaos of an Old
A place in society of which we'll be told
No room for freedom, family or hope
Just trying each day to live and to cope

The powers that be will be living like kings
As their masters pull strings and use us as things
To service their needs, their wants and their thrills
Whilst they fill us off with intoxicating pills

[illegible]
And preparing us all for the iron fist
That'll smash and grab and steal our lives
Destroying the family, husbands and wives

[illegible] whilst I lick your boots
And those with whom you're in cahoots
I voted for you a long time ago
Before I saw through your electoral show

You promised me things you never produced
But it took me a lifetime before I deduced
You're a liar, a cheat, a whore and a thief
I'm ashamed of the fact that I had such belief

In a system corrupt from the top tier down
Where a 'star' sits on high in a frivolous gown
Celebrity worship is the drug of the sheeple
Who grovel in awe at prostitute people

They pay their way to see their 'stars'
Or admire their egos, their wishes and cars
Spending their cash on frivolous trash
They emulate idols as dumb and as brash

The day will come, not too far off
When the animal's farm holds an empty trough
For the masses to starve whilst their master [illegible]
At the [illegible] still fit for their work

You'll shine their shoes and polish their gold
You can't go on saying you haven't been told
Be warned that it's over if the messenger's shot
Because life under tyranny is not worth a lot

Will you grovel to elites as you starve in the streets?
Or go down fighting as armies and fleets?
Will you conquer your fear for those you hold dear?
Or submit to a coward in snivelling fear?

The choice is yours to do as you will
Whilst time is short, we have some still
To battle against [illegible] in the making
While media whores [illegible] news they are faking

Life is for living and too short to waste
In servitude to a cabal of debased
Psycho[illegible] each all in it for power
As [illegible] slaves in humble cower

Hold your heads high and see through the lies
Turn off your TV, view the world with new eyes
Slaves we may be but a majority crowd
Fight for your freedom and fight for it loud!

Libya in Washington's Greater Middle East Project

By F. William Engdahl,

For those who do not believe in coincidence, it's notable that on March 19, 2011 the Obama Administration ordered the military bombing attack on Libya, ostensibly to create a 'no fly zone' to protect innocent civilians and on March 19, 2003.

The No Fly strikes were begun under US command with suspicious haste following the UN Resolution. To date the attacks have been led by US, British and French air forces and warships. A storm of Tomahawk cruise missiles and GPS-guided bombs has rained down on undisclosed Libyan targets with reports of many civilian deaths. No end is in sight at present.

Eight years earlier to the day, the Bush Administration began its Operation Shock and Awe, the military destruction and occupation of Iraq, allegedly to prevent a threat of weapons of mass destruction which never existed as was later confirmed. The Iraqi invasion followed more than a decade of illegal No Fly Zone operations over Iraqi airspace by the same trio: USA, Britain and France.

Far more important than any possible numerology games a superstitious Pentagon might or might not be playing is the ultimate agenda behind the domino series of regime destabilizations that Washington has ignited under the banner of democracy and human rights across the Islamic world since December 2010.

With Washington's exerting of enormous pressure on other NATO member states to take formal command of the US-led bombing of Libya, no matter under what name, in order to give Washington a fig leaf that would shift attention away from the Pentagon's central role via AFRICOM in coordinating the military operation, the entire upheaval sweeping across North African and Middle East Islamic countries is looking at this writing more like the early onset of a World War III, one that some NATO members hint is expected to last decades.

As with World War II and World War I this one as well would be launched to expand what David Rockefeller and George H.W. Bush in the past have called a **"new world order."**

Gaddafi's real 'crime'

Unlike Tunisia or Egypt where a halfway credible argument could be made that the population was suffering from exploding food prices and a vast wealth gap, Gaddafi's Libya, officially called Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, is very different.

There, according to Africans I have spoken to with direct knowledge, Libyans enjoyed the highest living standard on the Continent. Gaddafi did not stay on top for 42 years without ensuring that his population had little room to complain. Most health services, education and fuel was state-subsidized. Gaddafi's Libya had the lowest infant mortality rate and highest life expectancy of all Africa. When he seized power from ailing King Idris four decades ago literacy was below 10% of the population. Today it is above 90%, hardly the footprint of your typical tyrant. Less than 5% of the population is undernourished, a figure lower than in the United States. In response to the rising food prices of recent months Gaddafi took care to abolish all taxes on food. And a lower percentage of people lived below the poverty line than in the Netherlands. Gaddafi calls his model a form of Islamic socialism. It is secular and not theocratic despite its overwhelmingly Sunni base in the population.

Why is the United States so opposed to Gaddafi? Clearly because he is simply "not with the program." Gaddafi has shown repeatedly and not without grounds that he deeply distrusts Washington. He has constantly tried to forge an independent voice for an Africa that is increasingly being usurped by the Pentagon's AFRICOM. In 1999 he initiated creation of the African Union, based in Addis Abbaba, to strengthen the international voice of Africa's former colonial states. At a pan-African summit in 2009 he appealed for creation of a United States of Africa to combine the economic strengths of what is perhaps the world's richest continent in terms of unexploited mineral and agricultural potentials.

Granted Gaddafi doesn't have the best Western PR agencies like Saatchi & Saatchi or Hill & Knowlton to give his message the pretty touches that politicians like Barack Obama or David Cameron or Nikolas Sarkozy have. Nor is he photogenic like his Washington counterpart, making his grisly face easy to demonize in the media as a kind of new Hitler.

Gaddafi is a thorn in Washington's side for other reasons though. He says that the 9/11 hijackers were trained in the US, yet he also urged Libyans to donate blood to Americans after 9/11. Gaddafi has been working for decades to build an independent voice for African states not controlled by either the US or former European colonial powers, his United States of Africa.

When all the Western media demonizing is stripped away, Gaddafi is the last of a generation of moderate socialist pan-Arabists still in power after Egypt's Nasser and Iraq's Saddam Hussein have been eliminated, and Syria has aligned with Iran.

So long as he remains, Libya poses an embarrassing economic alternative to Washington's 'free market' globalization template which it is now desperate to impose on the one billion peoples of the Islamic world from Morocco across Africa and the Middle East to Afghanistan. For the powers driving this spreading war, it is a question of survival of the American Century, or what the quaint neo-conservatives called **the New American Century**, of the future survival of a sole American Superpower through spreading war and chaos as its own economy disintegrates more by the day.

Amr Moussa and [illegible]

The launch of Operation Odyssey Dawn, the coordinated US-British-French military attack on Libya following the UN Security Council resolution, was begun with shocking speed once Egyptian diplomat Amr Moussa, spokesman for the Arab League, conveniently arm-twisted his nervous brothers in Saudi Arabia, Kuwait and other Arab states, clearly convincing them that by voting for the no-fly they might remain in the good graces of Washington and thereby avoid the fate of Egypt's Hosni Mubarak or Tunisia's Ben Ali. Washington had clearly planned its military actions long before March 19.

Following weeks of diplomatic deception and what were clearly deliberately misleading signals from US Defense Secretary Robert Gates claiming to oppose a no-fly zone for Libya, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton claiming to support one, and a US President appearing to be weak and vacillating, the Nobel Peace President Obama, the President who ordered escalation of the war in Afghanistan and defended the CIA torture prison at Guantanamo, ordered a de facto declaration of war against a sovereign nation, Libya, despite the fact that no US lives were endangered nor US territory threatened by what was essentially an internal Libyan armed tribal uprising against an established head of state and government. Moreover, Gaddafi's Libya has never threatened an invasion of a neighboring state, an essential if forgotten precondition for any UN intervention.

As experience in Bosnia and in Iraq in the 1990s clearly showed, a No Fly Zone is not a neutral minor event but a full scale act of war, a violent taking control of the airspace of a sovereign territory, including destroying the anti-aircraft and air strike capacity of the target country.

Richard Falk, a distinguished professor of international law and UN Special Rapporteur on Palestinian Human Rights, noted the utter lack of any basic criteria for a UN intervention in Libya.

What is immediately striking about the bipartisan call in Washington for a no-fly zone and air strikes designed to help rebel forces in Libya is the absence of any concern with the relevance of international law or the authority of the United Nations. None in authority take the trouble to construct some kind of legal rationalization. The 'realists' in command, and echoed by the mainstream media do not feel any need to provide even a legal fig leaf before embarking on aggressive warfare.

It should be obvious that a no-fly zone in Libyan airspace is an act of war as would be, of course, contemplated air strikes on fortifications of the Gaddafi forces. The core legal obligation of the UN Charter requires member states to refrain from any use of force unless it can be justified as self-defence after a cross-border armed attack or mandated by a decision of the UN Security Council.

Neither of these conditions authorising a legal use of force is remotely present, and yet the discussion proceeds in the media and Washington circles as if the only questions worth discussing pertain to feasibility, costs, risks, and a possible backlash in the Arab world.

Falk, who has spent most of the past five decades defending the now-forgotten notion that a rule of law is preferable to a rule of barbarian might makes right, adds: *"Cannot it not be argued that in situations of humanitarian emergency 'a state of exception' exists allowing an intervention to be carried out by a coalition of the willing provided it doesn't make the situation worse?"* He answers his rhetorical question:

With respect to Libya, we need to take account of the fact that the Gaddafi government, however distasteful on humanitarian grounds, remains the lawful diplomatic representative of a sovereign state and any international use of force even by the UN, much less a state or group of states, would constitute an unlawful intervention in the internal affairs of a sovereign state prohibited by Article 2(7) of the UN Charter unless expressly authorised by the Security Council as essential for the sake of international peace and security.

Beyond this, there is no assurance that an intervention if undertaken would lessen the suffering of the Libyan people or bring to power a regime more respectful of human rights and dedicated to democratic participation.

What I am mainly decrying here in the Libyan debate are three kinds of policy failure: The exclusion of international law and the United Nations from relevance to national debates about international uses of force. The absence of respect for the dynamics of self-determination in societies of the South. The refusal to heed the ethics and politics appropriate for a post-colonial world order that is being de-Westernised and is becoming increasingly multi-polar.

Notable in the latest Washington rush to war was the lack of any independent verification of what had become the universal image of a Gaddafi ordering his air force to shoot on what western media claimed were innocent unarmed civilians. CNN staged camera shots don't qualify as neutral in this instance, nor BBC Ibrahim Sahad, Libyan opposition figure and National Front for the Salvation of Libya spokesman, made the charge against Gaddafi literally while standing in front of the US White House. No one bothered to independently confirm if it was accurate.

More notable, once the Arab League agreed to back a Libyan No Fly option, opposition within the UN Security Council collapsed, giving Washington its desired cover of plausible international support for its desired military action.

The Security Council vote was 10-0 with five major countries abstaining including Russia and China, which have veto power, along with India, Germany and Brazil. The United States, France and Britain pushed for speedy approval. Conveniently ignored in the ever so select mainstream western media was the relevant fact that the direct neighbors of Libya, Algeria and Tunisia and the entire African Union voted against the No Fly Zone: "If you ain't singing from our sheet of music, you don't exist, Bubba..."

Nominally, the resolution for a no-fly zone was requested by the Libyan rebels Transitional National Council and the Arab League. In reality, as former Indian diplomat M. K. Bhadrakumar noted, *"The plain truth is that the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and the European Union commanded Arab League to speak since they need a fig leaf to approach the United Nations Security Council. The Western powers had earlier mentioned the Arab League and African Union in the same breath as representing 'regional opinion.' Now it seems the African Union isn't so important – it has become an embarrassment. African leaders are proving to be tough nuts to crack compared to Arab playboy rulers."*

Bhadrakumar, a former ambassador to Kuwait and Turkey, added, *"The Arab League resolution was rammed through by Amr Moussa, Secretary-General of the Arab League, who hopes to succeed Hosni Mubarak as Egypt's next president. Arab leaders who depend upon the US for their continued existence were not hard to persuade."* Moussa, a savvy survivor, knows he stands no chance to be President if he doesn't have Washington's backing, covert or overt.

'Coalition of the unwilling'

The entire Washington manipulation left its backers a de facto coalition of the unwilling, realizing they had been double crossed by Washington. As soon as the relentless bombing of civilian as well as military targets in Tripoli and across Libya became clear, Amr Moussa conveniently claimed that killing civilians had not been part of the UN deal, as if he hadn't thought of that possibility before.

Russia's Putin called the US action a new "crusade" against Libya and the Islamic world, not without reason. China denounced the US intervention. Unfortunately, both countries had been silent when it could have counted during the UN Security Council voting when they abstained, perhaps out of fear of alienating the powerful oil producer countries of the Arab League.

Realizing that they had been tricked big-time by Washington, London and Paris, all of whom had apparently planned the military action against Libya long-before any UN or Arab League vote, European NATO members and others including NATO-member Turkey immediately began vehement protest.

Germany withdrew its military support equipment from the region over disagreement over the campaign's lack of goals or direction as unity within NATO crumbled. Italy accused France of backing the No Fly in order to grab Libya's oil riches out from under Italy's state-controlled ENI-AGIP. Italy also threatened to revoke US, UK and French rights to use its bases unless NATO were formally put in charge. As of this writing Washington had even less true international backing for its military adventure than even in the 2003 Iraq invasion.

For its part British government ministers were calling for assassination of Gaddafi, stating that the Middle East and North African war could go on some "30 years."

Others made the comparison to the Twentieth Century upheavals and dismantling of European empires that made way ultimately for an American Century. Those upheavals, which lasted from 1914 through 1945 were remembered in history books as World War I and World War II – in reality one long thirty years' war for global hegemony.

As the eventual "winner" of that mammoth contest, United States elites grouped then around the immensely powerful Rockefeller family and proclaimed what Time-Life publisher Henry Luce in a 1941 editorial named an "American Century." That American Century is now in dangerous decline, a protracted death agony of decay and self-destruction that began manifestly in 1971 symbolized by President Richard Nixon's unilateral decision to tear up the Bretton Woods monetary treaty and break the tie between the US dollar and gold, a fateful turn.

Another war for oil?

Yes, Libya's oil is indeed a factor behind the British, French and US war fervor.

Continued on page 23....

Continued from page 22....

According to what one highly-informed Middle East oil services expert familiar with the oil resources of the entire region told me privately in a recent discussion, Libya has vast untapped oil wealth, by far Africa's largest, and *"it is almost sulfur-free the highest quality crude you find anywhere."* Until now, despite repeated CIA coup and assassination attempts to topple Gaddafi in the past, the Libyan leader was careful to not surrender total control over his oil resources to the Anglo-American oil cartel interests but to retain control to build the country, something definitely not to Washington's liking.

Notably, the center of Libyan oil infrastructure is in the Benghazi region in the east where the Western-backed rebellion started. Benghazi is north of Libya's richest oil fields, close to most of its oil and gas pipelines, refineries and Libya's LNG port. The National Transitional Council of the Libyan Republic led by Mustafa Abdul Jalil is based there.

But it would be a mistake to reduce what is in fact Washington's Greater Middle East Project, as George W. Bush called it at the time of the 2003 Iraq invasion, to merely a grab for the oil.

Rather, regime change from Gaddafi to a US-dependent puppet regime amounts to a critical piece in a well-planned long-term US strategy to dismantle national institutions and a culture going back well over one thousand years, in an attempt to force the entire Islamic world into what George H.W. Bush in 1991 and David Rockefeller in his autobiography more recently triumphantly called a "New World Order." Others call it an American-centered global imperium: ***"Big Mac's, KFC chicken wings and Coke Zero for everyone! Poverty, chaos, killings and Orwellian uniformity—Welcome to our new world where We give the orders and you snap your heels..."***

'Responsibility to protect...'

As in the cases of the US-instigated "spontaneous" and "democratic" revolts in Egypt and in Tunisia earlier, Washington is carefully orchestrating the Gaddafi succession from behind the scenes. As numerous critics of the Washington policy pointed out, the US intervention in Libya is not a neutral act to protect innocent civilians but rather a calculated attempt to force regime change by militarily shifting the balance to the well-armed opposition forces in Benghazi in the east of Libya.

By stopping Gaddafi government forces from restoring control over their territory from an armed uprising that has fostered a civil war, principles of international sovereignty have gingerly been thrown out the window and replaced by a vague and unsubstantiated notion of "responsibility to protect," a precedent for use of force that many governments from Berlin to Rome to Beijing and Moscow now realize could have horrendous future consequences for them as well.

Once world opinion accepts the fuzzy notion that something being called "responsibility to protect," however vaguely defined, trumps national sovereignty, what is to stop Washington from imposing a No Fly zone over China or Russia or anywhere for that matter to prevent "human rights abuses"?

Who defines that nebulous "responsibility to protect"? Washington, of course. Were there truth in labeling in international politics today, it would be named "responsibility to protect Washington's self-defined interests."

Barack Obama openly declared Washington backing for the Libyan opposition within hours of the UN Resolution, leaving no doubt that the US role was never intended to be one of a neutral peace mediator. In a CNN Spanish language interview in San Salvador on March 22, Obama declared his "hope" that Libya's opposition movement, given new protection by the US-led military assaults, can organize itself to oust Gaddafi from power. Regime change is the name of Washington's game.

Not surprisingly, it's also the name of France's game. On March 25 French President Sarkozy urged Gaddafi's followers to abandon his 'murderous ways' and join the opposition. *"We must hasten the decomposition of the system and the entourage of Qaddafi by telling them there's a way to get out,"* Sarkozy said. *"Those who abandon Qaddafi in his crazy and murderous ways can join in the reconstruction of a new democratic Libya."*

The UN No Fly Resolution is far more sweeping than most media report. It is a de facto declaration of military, economic and financial warfare against a sovereign state and an established, functioning government. In addition to authorizing the No Fly Zone, the UN Resolution establishes a *"ban on all flights in the airspace of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya in order to help protect civilians,"* other than "humanitarian" flights and flights sanctioned by the UN and the Arab League.

It orders member states of the UN to stop any Libyan owned, operated or registered aircraft from taking off, landing or overflying their territory without prior approval from a UN committee monitoring sanctions. It allows member states *to inspect in their territory, including airports and seaports, and on the high seas, vessels and aircraft bound to or from Libya,"* if a country has "reasonable grounds" to believe they contain military items or armed mercenaries.

To put the nail in the Libyan coffin, it freezes assets of five financial institutions: Libya's central bank, the Libyan Investment Authority, the Libyan Foreign Bank, Libyan Africa Investment Portfolio and the Libyan National Oil Corporation.

The curious Libya 'opposition'

The so-called Libyan opposition itself is a hodge-podge mix of political opportunists, ex-CIA-trained Mujahideen guerillas such as Abdel Hakim al-Hasidi of the so-called Libyan Islamic Fighting Group, who openly admits to close ties to al-Qaeda going back to Afghanistan. That certainly raises the level of incredibility of Washington's most bizarre military crusade of recent times.

As well, the opposition includes former senior Gaddafi regime members who saw greener grass on the US, British and French-backed opposition side, and outright cutthroats who, encouraged by Washington, London or Paris, smelled the chance to grab control of one of the richest lands on Earth.

Their "opposition," unlike in Tunisia or elsewhere, was never "non-violent." It was an armed revolt from the get-go, a war of tribe against tribe, not of surging aspirations for democracy. NATO member countries are being told by Washington to back one band of tyrants to oust another whose agenda does not comply with what the Pentagon calls **Full Spectrum Dominance.**

The Libyan "opposition" for most of the world is still a vague CNN or BBC image of stone-throwing youth crying out to the well-positioned cameras for "freedom, democracy." In reality it is far different. As George Friedman of Stratfor pointed out, the *"Libyan uprising consisted of a cluster of tribes and personalities, some within the Libyan government, some within the army and many others longtime opponents of the regime."* He adds, *"It would be an enormous mistake to see what has happened in Libya as a mass, liberal democratic uprising. The narrative has to be strained to work in most countries, but in Libya, it breaks down completely."*

It emerges that the main opposition to Gaddafi comes from two very curious organizations: the National Front for the Salvation of Libya and a bizarre group calling itself the Islamic Emirate of Barqa, the former name of the North-Western part of Libya. Its leadership claims the group is made up of former al-Qaeda fighters previously released from jail. Their record of bloodshed is impressive to date.

The main opposition group in Libya now is the National Front for the Salvation of Libya which is reported to be funded by Saudi Arabia, the CIA and French Intelligence. They joined with other opposition groups to become the National Conference for the Libyan Opposition. It was that organization that called for the "Day of Rage" that plunged Libya into chaos on February 17.

The key figure in the National Front for the Salvation of Libya is one Ibrahim Sahad who conveniently enough lives in Washington. According to the Library of Congress archives, Sahad is the same man the CIA used in their failed attempt at a Libyan coup of 1984. The Library of Congress confirms that the CIA trained and supported the NFSL both before and after the failed coup.

On March 11 the French government became the first nation to recognize the National Front for the Salvation (sic) of Libya, which is now operating under the amorphous cover of an umbrella group calling itself the Libyan National Transitional Council, which is little more than the old NFSL, a group financed for years by the Saudis, the French and the CIA.

The new Transitional Council umbrella group is little more reportedly than the old NFSL — an unelected group of aged monarchist business exiles and new defectors from Gaddafi who smell opportunity to grab a giant piece of the oil pie, and have Saudi, French and CIA backing to drive their dreams of glory. These are the ones on whose behalf now NATO is fighting.

The National Transitional Council of the Libyan Republic, led by Mustafa Abdul Jalil, is based in Benghazi and controls most of the eastern half of the country. France and Portugal have so far officially recognized the Council as the sole "legitimate representative" of Libya.

The National Transitional Council also includes such former Gaddafi regime insiders as ex-Libyan Justice Minister Mustafa Abdel-Jalil and former Interior Minister General Abdel Fattah Younis, who defected earlier from the Gaddafi regime. They lobbied Washington and other Western governments for support soon after their formation. They want to mount an armed offensive against the government-controlled areas in the west to overthrow Gaddafi. That is hardly an innocent spontaneous Twitter democracy revolt, though the revolts in Tunisia and Egypt and elsewhere have been far from spontaneous either.

In early March the Transitional Council sent their de facto foreign minister Ali al-Essawi and Abdel-Jalil crony Mahmoud Jebril to Paris where the French government, clearly smelling an opportunity to take the inside track of a future regime in Tripoli, gave the first recognition of the transitional council as the "legitimate representative" of the Libyan people. Immediately after France became the leading advocate for a French-led (of course) military intervention on behalf of their new-found rebel friends in Benghazi.

While the French seem to have an inside track with the diplomatic wing of the ragtag Benghazi rebels, the British seem to have focused their attention on the military wing where former Gaddafi Interior Minister General Abdel Fattah Younis seems to be their man. Younis is now in command of a National Transitional Council "army."

Hillary Clinton also moved to firm US ties to the insurgents. On March 13 she reportedly met in Cairo, now a place firmly in command of a Pentagon-dependent Egyptian military council after the Twitter youth had served their purpose of deposing Mubarak, with leaders of the opposition rebels. Announcing her meeting she stated, *"We are reaching out to the opposition inside and outside of Libya. I will be meeting with some of those figures both here in the United States and when I travel next week to discuss what more the United States and others can do,"* she said.

In the western part of Libya, the contending opposition is led by the second group France has recognized, something calling itself ambitiously the Islamic Emirate of Barqa, a former name for the northwestern part of the country. That group has been described as a group of *"aged exiles and defectors from the former Gaddafi regime waving the old King Idris monarchist flag."* Not exactly a revolutionary youth Twitter movement of singing, demographically-driven aspirations.

Conclusion

As of this writing, what is clear is that far more is at stake for Washington and its **"coalition of the unwilling"** in the launching of a new war over Libya than anyone is admitting. If this marks the first shots in a new world war, or if various governments within and outside NATO have the strength to resist the persuasive power of the Pentagon war apparatus is unclear. What is clear is that the recent events that started in Tunisia at the end of 2010 are but part of a colossally large and increasingly desperate strategy of US-orchestrated **"creative destruction."** To date it has been anything but creative for those living in the affected region.

F. William Engdahl is author of

Full Spectrum Dominance: Totalitarian Democracy in the New World Order

www.engdahl.oilgeopolitics.net

The Scottish National Party: Independently Orwellian Oxy'morons'!

By The Ghost of William Wallace

When I was young and naïve I foolishly thought that the Scottish National Party were the best hope for my country in light of repeated and hugely unanimous votes for a Labour party across Scotland only to be served up with more Conservative thieves in London. However, it didn't take me too long to realise that they were all thieves and that none of them had my best interests at heart.

That holds true for the SNP to this day where their open betrayal is manifest for all to see in their last propaganda leaflet for the recent elections held in Scotland in which they expose themselves for the traitors to the Scottish people which I assume they've always been. Alex Salmond was a major player back in the days I campaigned for this bunch of [illegible] Orwellian Marxists.

When I was involved the big thing was 'oil and independence', "It's Scotland's oil" blah, blah, blah. They're still saying the same today except they contradict this with their claims to create *'130,000 jobs in a low carbon economy'*, zero carbon and all that eugenic garbage pushed by the likes of the Green Party.

But the real insult to the intelligence is the claim that Scotland can be an independent nation in the European Union. Haven't they read the Lisbon Treaty? Apparently not, but they claim that after independence is gained through the ballot box we'll still be a member of the EU. Does anyone see the contradiction here?

To quote: ***'Scotland is already part of the EU and would remain so after independence'***

It would appear that the SNP don't quite understand the ramifications of the gulag super state that is the EU because on the fraudulent ratification of the Lisbon Treaty after the Irish were told to vote again, and by god they'd better get it right this time or their economy would collapse.

Hmm... They must have voted 'No' because the economy has collapsed. But of course they voted 'No' only for that vote to be corrupted and turned into a 'Yes' by unscrupulous and devious methods which every dictator on earth would be proud of. Maybe eat your heart out I know, I was there and witnessed it first-hand!

Another quote which caught my eye was this little gem:

"As a full member state, Scotland will finally have a voice at the top table in Europe."

Unfortunately I think that might just be Willie MacDuff, the waiter, asking Tony Barroso how many bottles of the finest brandy he wants after his sumptuous, tax payer funded, 8 course dinner.

However, as a separate nation I reckon the Scottish people should then have the right of a referendum to withdraw from the European Union because it wasn't our own government who ever signed upto it so I can't quite see how the SNP can justify the claim that we've always been part of the European Union when that is clearly untrue.

It would further [illegible] that the English, Welsh and Northern Irish should be allowed their referendum on the same grounds. The government of the UK will no longer exist and it is that government which signed up to the European Union, not the individual nations. Therefore, none of those nations will be a part of the EU if Scottish Independence should materialise.

This is almost worth voting for, but as readers of this paper know by now, if voting changed anything it would be made illegal!

YOU COULDN'T MAKE IT UP! CHEMTRAIL PHOTO COMPETITION

This was a photographic competition held in Scotland in 2010 sponsored by East Lothian District Council and organised by the Scottish Flag Trust. This is the display in Musselburgh Library, just outside Edinburgh showing the winners. There were over 200 entries!

The Electric Universe

by Wallace Thornhill



Imagine that electric power has not been invented. Picture the impact on our society, its science and technology. It would be as if we had not left the mid-1800s with its reliance on steam power, gaslight and horse and buggy transport. That may be unimaginable from our modern perspective, but it is the incredible state of affairs in modern astronomy and cosmology. Nowhere will you find in any encyclopaedia or science textbook any reference to electrical power in astronomy!

But Nature is not known for doing things the hard way. If we find it most effective to use electrical power to drive motors and to heat and to light us, why would Nature ignore electrical power and turn to the feeblest force in the universe—gravity—to build and power galaxies and stars? And when I state that gravity is feeble how about one trillion, trillion, trillion, trillion times weaker than the electric force? That's as close to zero as anything you are likely to meet in the real world. That's why it is possible to jump into the air against the gravitational pull of the entire massive Earth.

Invoking such a pathetic driving force to explain the most concentrated sources of energy in the universe led scientists to invent near-infinite concentrations of mass — 'black holes and neutron stars.' They did this by repeating an old mathematics howler, dividing by zero (gravity) to arrive at infinity!

This is the kind of error that has pervaded theoretical physics since mathematicians usurped authority from natural philosophers early in the 20th century. Mathematical logic can be faultless, but the translation of the symbols to the complex real world is definitely not. Confusion of language and metaphor abounds. We are told we exist in a four-dimensional "fabric of space-time" —a meaningless concatenation of words. A real dimension is something measured with a ruler in a specific direction. So show me the ruler and point me in the direction of time! And what kind of material is this "fabric" of empty space? And how, precisely, does the presence of matter warp this imaginary fabric to give the illusion of gravity? Textbook pictures of rubber sheets dented by heavy balls rely on gravity for an explanation of gravity! Our modern physics has become a mere illusion of understanding. The mysteries of this amazing universe will not be solved by the fanciful musings of mathematicians.

History demonstrates our capacity for self-delusion. So it should be no surprise that despite all of the hoopla in the media, modern science exists on a foundation of surprising ignorance and dogmatic beliefs. For example, cosmology, the 'Queen of the sciences,' is supposed to provide the big picture of our existence in the universe. Logically, all sciences, arts and cultures should benefit from it. But what do we find? 'Big bang' gravitational cosmology is merely another miraculous creation story, culturally rooted in the book of Genesis and adorned with arcane mathematical runes. Terry Pratchett summed it up in a sentence, "*In the beginning there was nothing, which exploded.*" Meanwhile, the evidence that nothing banged and the universe is not expanding has been available for half a century. Big bang cosmology is not science—it is a pseudo-religion! And like all traditional religions deviation from holy writ is punished and contrary evidence denied. The result is bookshelves that would stretch to the Moon filled with textbooks, science journals and PhD theses, mostly unread, fostering the false impression that we understand most things. Meanwhile, the public is assailed with TV documentaries that breathlessly deliver computerised virtual reality and present fashionable science fiction as fact.

How did science allow this ridiculous state of affairs to develop and persist throughout the 20th century? A little history may help. The madness and destruction of the First World War seemed to cause a retreat from reality and detail both in the arts and the sciences. The surrealists reacted against realism or positivism. André Breton seeing realism as "*hostile to any intellectual or moral advancement.*" Simultaneously, science left its classical and philosophical roots. A fondness arose for expressing theoretical models in artists' impressions, computer animations and aesthetic terms. The artist/philosopher Miles Mathis is of the opinion that "*Science has become just like Modern Art. The contemporary artist and the contemporary physicist look at the world in much the same way. The past means nothing. They gravitate to novelty as the ultimate distinction, in and of itself. They do this because novelty is the surest guarantee of recognition.*" And recognition is vital in this era of over-specialised, government funded science.

So why does the media not have science critics alongside art critics? Has science become sacrosanct? Bluntly, the answer is yes. No science reporter wants to have the portcullises lowered at the academic bastions. And the blasphemy of internal dissent is stifled by the concealed censorship of peer review.

The "intellectual snob"(*) Sir Arthur Eddington hailed the surreal theories of Einstein as the work of unique genius and was largely responsible for their 'showbiz' success. The surrealist artist Salvador Dali was moved by Einstein's mathematical representations of time and space to paint his notorious warped clocks. The notion that a concept (time) can be treated as a deformable object is equivalent to believing that a person retreating into the distance actually has his/her space physically shrinking and watch running slower than the observer's. The perception is reciprocal but no one imagines that the effect is real. Commonsense baulks at such notions yet submits to the authority of mathematicians. That may be why so many books attempt to explain Einstein's theories while so few claim to understand them. The theories of relativity make no real sense.

Einstein's E = mc2 is the best known and least understood equation in science. It means simply that energy, mass and the speed of light are *all characteristics of matter*. Yet the words 'mass' and 'matter' are used interchangeably, presumably because they both begin with the letter 'm.' That is the kind of trap that mathematicians fall into but philosophers should see. So the search for the imaginary Higgs boson as some external cause of mass is a colossal waste. The equation also tells us that Einstein was wrong when he 'postulated away' Maxwell's 'aether.' Light cannot travel through nothing; it requires a medium to 'wave.' Einstein's 'photon' is imaginary.

And in the realm of cosmology no one seems concerned that restricting the force of gravity to Einstein's speed limit 'c' renders spiral galaxies and a stable solar system impossible. Newton's law of gravity does not include time! The Earth orbits where the Sun is at this instant, not where it was 8 minutes ago. This suggests that gravity is related to the electric force, which must act in real-time on our puny scale. If so, our science has been derailed for a century by relativity. Do we have the courage to face our folly and return to where science left off about a century ago?

Electricity in the solar system was not taboo in the 19th century. Writing to Faraday in 1852 Sir John Herschel wondered if the sun could not owe its brightness to "*cosmical electric currents traversing space.*" In his opinion, "*We stand on the verge of a vast cosmical discovery such as nothing hitherto imagined can compare with.*" In 1868 the American, Elias Loomis, proposed, "*that there are circulating round the sun powerful electric currents which may possibly be the source of the sun's light.*" And in 1885 the English astronomer Sir William Huggins presented the Royal Society of London with his electrical model of the sun. "*The grandest displays of terrestrial electrical disturbance must be altogether insignificant in comparison with the electrical changes which must accompany the ceaseless and fearful activity of the photosphere. Surely it is not too much to say that our terrestrial experience of lightning and of aurorae fails to supply us with any adequate basis for a true conception of the electric forces in action on the sun.*" Huggins' words are the most sensible and prescient to be found on the electrical nature of the Sun and stars.

But in 1926, Sir Arthur Eddington wrote in his enormously influential work, The Internal Constitution of the Stars, "*No source of energy is of any avail unless it liberates energy in the deep interior of the star. It is not enough to provide for the external radiation of the star. We must provide for the maintenance of the high internal temperature, without which the star would collapse.*" A simple gravitating gas model appeals to mathematicians because it makes the problem, in Eddington's words, "*a simple thing.*" He dismissed all of the complex phenomena on and above the photosphere as mere details and simply *assumed* that the Sun's photosphere is the surface of the Sun. But if the photosphere is an electrical discharge, it will sit at considerable height above the electrode, or surface of the Sun. But Eddington's greater mistake was the confusion of mass with quantity of matter. Mass is the measure of electromagnetic energy stored in matter. Measuring the mass of the Sun cannot tell us that it is mostly hydrogen!

Meanwhile, in an unfortunate accident of history, the science of electric discharge in an ionized gas, called plasma, was just beginning. Astrophysicists had already travelled a long way down the detour of 'deductive mathematical physics' and refused to start over with empirical plasma physics. They insisted on treating plasma as a magnetized gas, which keeps their mathematics tractable while ignoring the fact that magnetism cannot exist in plasma without electric currents and discharge effects. This error alone renders modern astrophysics and cosmology a gigantic waste of time, talent and taxpayers' money. Big bang cosmology has been entirely non-predictive while receiving all of the funding.

Today there is a small discipline of plasma cosmology, which is recognized by the largest professional organization in the world, the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE). Plasma cosmologists have electric circuit models of galaxies and star formation that are tested by supercomputer simulations and verified by experiment—something that big bang cosmologists are unable to do. They have many successful predictions, which is the hallmark of a good theory. But since it is not an astronomers' 'church,' astronomers generally do not attend the meetings.



Plasma cosmology is a leap forward in understanding the universe. However, it too is impeded by unexamined assumptions from the past. Electrical star birth has been recently observed. But once they are born, plasma cosmology sees the stars as Eddington envisaged them, as isolated self-immolating bodies. And the questions of the real nature of matter interactions and gravity is untouched.

What of the earlier electric Sun inspiration? In 1944 the Scottish astrophysicist and lightning researcher, Charles Bruce, using his combined expertise, explained the bright granulated photosphere of the Sun as a form of global lightning and the red chromosphere as a luminous low-pressure electric discharge phenomenon. But since the origin of lightning on Earth is unknown, he did not challenge the internal nuclear energy model of stars. However, he influenced a US engineer, Ralph Juergens, who confronted our ignorance head-on when he wrote in 1972, "*The modern astrophysical concept that ascribes the sun's energy to thermonuclear reactions deep in the solar interior is contradicted by nearly every observable aspect of the sun.*" For example, where a sunspot parts the bright photosphere and we can see deeper into the star, it is darker and cooler! Sunspots should be brighter and hotter than the photosphere if energy were trying to escape from within the Sun. Then there is the unexplained millions of degrees hot corona outside the Sun and a hypothetical tens of millions of degrees thermonuclear core inside the Sun. Sitting between them is an effectively 'stone cold' photosphere at 5 600 degrees! Such a model is physically impossible! But the relentless pursuit of the mirage of fusion power "like the Sun" remains.

The 'Electric Universe' amalgamates plasma cosmology with electric stars and a simple model of resonant matter structure in which interactions involve the near-instantaneous electric force. Cause and effect are thereby reunited in quantum mechanics. Gravity and magnetism are simply dipolar electric forces caused by the distortion of matter in an electric field. The result is an interdisciplinary cosmology that reunites the sciences and has a profound message of a connected universe. The Electric Universe paints a broad canvas of the science of the future.

* Freeman J. Dyson, Australian Academy of Science Silver Jubilee Symposium, Vol 11, 1980, Is Real Mathematics of any use to physics? p. 2

THE TECHNOLIGICAL SYSTEM

by Vince White

There are three main reasons why a person cannot comprehend reality. One is that he simply lacks conceptual ability and can't abstract the necessary factual model in his head. This cat is plain stupid. Another guy, for one reason or another is in a state wilful denial and refuses to see what's right in front of his nose. This cat is ignore-ant. For the third guy it may be that a certain idea just never occurred to him or he has never been presented with it so he's simply in a state of unknowing. This cat is learning. Which brings me to why I wrote this article.

The patriot movement, the truth movement or whatever you want to call it that presents a model of our current world situation thus: that there's a shadowy elite of evil bankers who through various secret organisations have co-opted all the democratic channels of representational government and have been working tirelessly to enslave mankind over several hundreds of years. Possibly thousands through their various bloodlines. However, for me, this only presents a tiny part of the real picture and misses out a massive piece of the puzzle which is a correct understanding of technology and how it works.

In the conspiracy model it is the elite who use technology to further their evil aims. I contend that it is the technological system that is using an 'elite'. That the elite are a product of the technological system and not the other way around. The technological system uses everyone and everybody and everything in it. It is the technological system which has an 'agenda'. It's difficult to ascribe living qualities to technology because people generally believe technology to be neutral and cold and abstract and objective like science. But technology isn't science although they're often grouped together. Technology is the use of science. Technology isn't the machine either. It is the use of the machine. Technology is directional. That direction is governed by technical necessity. It has its own internal logic which is expedient. It is Henry Ford's automobile plant or a modern chicken factory. It magnifies power, creates interdependence of its various components through specialisation and always seeks to maintain its stability and augment itself. It assimilates and it eliminates. It hates life. Technology is no longer the water wheel which human beings use. It is a system which uses human beings. The technological system is the New World Order.

It's crucial to understand this if you are to save yourself. There are two reasons why I believe the elite are an effect rather than a cause of the system we're born and live in. The first is that technology precedes the elite in the timeline of cause and effect. Starting with the development of agriculture, the creation of government, cities and that mother bitch technique of them all; MONEY we can clearly see that it is the technique that comes first. Money serves as a prime example of what I'm talking about. Money came into existence purporting to facilitate the exchange of goods and services in a developing society but as we know it is far more than that. Because MON-ey is MON-istic it enslaves everyone using it and into using it. As such, and like every technology implemented in society it is always a Faustian bargain to which I assert there is no answer.

The second reason the elite are being used by technology is that should the required number of people 'wake up' and revolt (5% is the rough figure usually quoted), that even if it were possible and a couple of million British people marched on one of Rothschild's homes with their pitchforks and a lot of patriotic fervour and hung the lot of them or one by one or the members of the Council on Foreign Relations were sniped in the States with the Second amendment? I firmly assert that the system wouldn't change. Why? With its head gone the system would simply and quickly grow a new one. Why? Because the 'people', the other 95% would demand it! With the head gone the system would begin to crumble. It's sad but when the football game doesn't come on, her Indoors' hairdryer stops working and with the off-license closed the collective thumb of Britain and America would immediately stick it in the nearest rag and begin sucking and crying.

People are addicted to technology and care about it more than freedom. Technology is to society what a fix is for a junkie or alcohol to the alcoholic. A little is never enough. Which leads us to the biggest collective delusion held by humanity: that the good parts of technology can be separated from the bad. That a little is good and only too much is bad. That a glass of wine is good for you because the doctors say so. It's only lack of willpower or bad organisation that puts you into rehab. No, technology is a package deal and it will kill you.

As a result, you see, technology is mendacious. It tells you that you can have the good without the bad. But you can't and that's why the system itself is mendacious. It has to be. And that's why the people at the top are such phony liars. They have to be. And that's why they're there. The system put them there because only liars can support the big lie of the big technological system so it can continue to support itself. The technological system doesn't want or need the truth. It doesn't want reality and neither do the people at the bottom of the pyramid. The system wants surrogates. Facsimiles to keep you distracted. So instead of a real street rebellion against it you get 'The Wild One' or 'The Clash' or 'Rock against Racism'. Palliatives to ease the pain that exists deep down because the real truth is that you are a fake. You are a simulation of a real human being. Systematically created by the system you were born into. And let me just say that 'truthers' are no different. They only think they are. They no longer watch TV and pat themselves on the back. Big Brother isn't getting inside my head! But the truth is they just switched drugs. They replaced the distraction of TV with the distraction of the internet. Now THEY are inside Big Brother's head! Hah! How beautiful! It never ends with technology. It's just too cunning and baffling for the human. Especially when you think you're being done in by 'them' when really you're only doing it to yourself.

The big cry of the patriot movement is that somehow we must turn this thing around and get our respective countries back and stop this mess. People who say that don't understand the technical nature of the problem. They think that people have power over the technological system. They don't. What they really mean is they want America, for example, to return to some golden age that existed before the Industrial revolution. A pre-technological age. But the fact is, the technological genie can never be put back into the bottle. The people of that nostalgic era shaped their environment and were shaped by their environment. A wild, unfettered land full of risk and reward. But America is no longer a wild, unfettered land of risk and reward and nasty Red Indians it is now a giant shopping mall. Modern Americans are shaped by the modern technological environment. That environment doesn't tell them to be rugged and independent. To be vigilant and watch out for wild prey. To be self reliant and courageous and strong and choose a good natured mating partner. It says; SHOP AT WALMART! Buy one and get one free. Conform. Obey. And to fit in you must fit in.

So you see, resistance is useless. It's useless because ENVIRONMENT is always stronger than WILLPOWER. It's a fact. And the modern environment is the technological system not the environment of nature. And you WILL obey it. Why? Because you have already.

At this point something may have twigged a person's brain and they now want to know what to do? i.e. don't give me this shit with no answer asshole! You've upset my genetically modified tea party!
Well, the good news is that you read this article. I'm not an activist with an internet website that looks like a shopping centre and I'm not asking for paypal donations. I'm just a guy offering what I believe to be the truth.
The good thing is that the technological system is not vindictive. It is evil in the cold, ruthless sense and won't tolerate any real resistance or you'll just get incarcerated or eliminated. But if you want to go to Borneo and hunt tree squirrels and live naked it's unlikely to come chase you for unpaid tax. What? I hear you cry! I can't do that! But that's exactly the kind of desperate decision that those who came to and created America had to make.

I believe the good news is that those who want freedom will always find a way. Most will moan and gripe and complain and send their money to Alex Jones who will buy himself a bigger house. Others will buy a Harley Davidson motorcycle and ride around on the weekend off work and pretend they are Peter Fonda.

See, you are not fighting an 'elite'. You are in a system of masters and slaves. The masters need their slaves and the slaves need their masters and the behaviour of all are functions of technology and that is the New World Order pyramid. The actions of the elite at the capstone are not autonomous actions. They are no more at whim to change things than the manager of McVitie's no longer wants to bake cakes and wants to shift production to 'Do-it-Yourself Macramé Kits'. Everything in the system is contained and structured. The technological system is the pyramid with its cap and levels and base.

And I believe that this system is out of control. I suspect the real reason conspiracy theorists like to believe there's a shadowy elite really pulling the strings is because the alternative is truly terrifying. That no one is in control. The captain is out to lunch and some mad HAL computer is running the system. A set of unfathomable, unknown forces leading humanity uncontrollably to the edge of the abyss. The thought that something human, even psychopathic and ruthless is better than nothing.

Current events form future trends as Gerald Celente says. Well, as technique continues to evolve it will undoubtedly become more extreme and human beings will suffer more and more. It's not the Frankfurt school of decades ago that are responsible for the breakdown of society. For the breakdown of the family. Of religion. Of community. Of men and women. It's not dictators. It's technology. The man today is emasculated and the woman 'empowered' because that is what the technological system demands of them. There are plenty of foreign countries which still which have horrible dictatorial regimes but whose people are still God fearing and family orientated. What they don't have is a technological infrastructure. I went to Iraq in 1989 and travelled by train from Baghdad to Basra by train unmolested. But it's harder to travel today by underground in London from Ladbroke Grove and change at Baker Street in my 'free' country. Your every move is being surveilled. You have to be conscious and pretend not to be a terrorist. But you, yourself, can go down to Maplins and for a few hundred quid buy your own surveillance system complete with six cameras and start watching your neighbour. It's not against the law.

There are no easy answers to the technological system which is enslaving you. But, as always, freedom is still the highest human value. It always has been and it always will. The question is are you willing to pay the price?

The Real George Bernard Shaw & H.G. Wells – Fabian Socialists and Hitlerian Advocates of Mass Murder

By Neil Foster

Scanning through the Irish papers one morning last year I came across this piece on George Bernard Shaw in both the Irish Times and the Irish Examiner. These are supposedly competing publications but both carry, word for word, this sickening tribute to an evil monster that has been mythologised and portrayed as one of Ireland's finest.

http://www.independent.ie/national-news/shaws-pictures-tell-a-whole-new-story-2329090.html

This disgusting creature was in his day an ardent supporter of Mussolini and Hitler and a founding member of the Fabian Society.

http://www.fabians.org.uk/

The Fabian Society would claim that they are a purely socialist organisation. They were co-founded by Shaw's friend Lady Astor. David Cameron, the 'con'servative leader and Prime Minister of Britain is also a member as well as being related by marriage into the Astor family. Coincidence?

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstopics/politics/david-cameron/5245604/David-Camerons-mother-in-law-Lady-Astor-on-the-pain-of-losing-Ivan.html

I'm not going to waste my time going through the article suffice to say that is an insult to the average person who, if they spent 5 minutes researching Shaw would find a far different personality than this sickening portrayal of a supposed genius of his day.

What I want to show you here is the depraved mind of a 'man' who thought and stated quite clearly what he thought of the ordinary person on the street far removed from his elitist circle of psychopaths.

The word 'psychopath' has been used in many articles on The Sovereign Independent website and others to describe an attribute of politicians, royalty and the wider aristocracy which they all seem to share to one degree or another. George Bernard Shaw is no different. The only difference between Shaw and others of his sick fraternity is that he was a man of many words who had trouble containing himself when it came to his views on humanity. Here are just a few examples:

EXTERMINATION OF THE "SOCIALLY INCOMPATIBLE"

"The notion that persons should be safe from extermination as long as they do not commit willful murder, or levy war against the Crown, or kidnap, or throw vitriol, is not only to limit social responsibility unnecessarily, and to privilege the large range of intolerable misconduct that lies outside them, but to divert attention from ***the essential justification for extermination, which is always incorrigible social incompatibility and nothing else."***

Source: George Bernard Shaw, "On the Rocks" (1933), Preface.

USE OF GAS CHAMBERS

"We should find ourselves committed to killing a great many people whom we now leave living, and to leave living a great many people whom we at present kill. We should have to get rid of all ideas about capital punishment ...

A part of eugenic politics would finally land us in an extensive use of the lethal chamber. A great many people would have to be put out of existence simply because it wastes other people's time to look after them."

Source: George Bernard Shaw, Lecture to the Eugenics Education Society, Reported in *The Daily Express*, March 4, 1910.

KILLING THOSE "UNFIT TO LIVE"

"The moment we face it frankly we are driven to the conclusion that the community has a right to put a price on the right to live in it ... If people are fit to live, let them live under decent human conditions. ***If they are not fit to live, kill them in a decent human way.*** *Is it any wonder that some of us are driven to prescribe the lethal chamber as the solution for the hard cases which are at present made the excuse for dragging all the other cases down to their level, and the only solution that will create a sense of full social responsibility in modern populations?"*

Source: George Bernard Shaw, *Prefaces* (London: Constable and Co., 1934), p. 296.

These are not isolated statements made at some point in his life. These statements and many others were made over decades consistently and repetitively. Here's another:

"Under Socialism, you would not be allowed to be poor. You would be forcibly fed, clothed, lodged, taught, and employed whether you liked it or not. If it were discovered that you had not character and industry enough to be worth all this trouble, you might possibly be executed in a kindly manner; but whilst you were permitted to live, you would have to live well."

George Bernard Shaw: The Intelligent Woman's Guide to Socialism and Capitalism, 1928, pg. 470)

In the video below, in a clip from a highly recommended documentary called 'The Soviet Story' you see and hear Shaw clearly state his murderous ideology:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=hQvsf2MUKRQ

Another myth surrounds H.G. Wells who along with Shaw advocated mass extermination of the 'unfit' as he and his sick friends thought of people of a lower social group than themselves. Here are a few quotes from this maniac:

AGREEMENT WITH GALTON

"I believe that if a canvass of the entire civilized world were put to the vote in this matter, the proposition that it is desirable that the better sort of people should intermarry and have plentiful children, and that the inferior sort of people should abstain from multiplication, would be carried by an overwhelming majority. *They might disagree with Plato's methods, but they would certainly agree to his principle. And that this is not a popular error Mr. Francis Galton has shown. He has devoted a very large amount of energy and capacity to the vivid and convincing presentation of this idea, and to its courageous propagation. ... Indeed, Mr. Galton has drawn up certain definite proposals. He has suggested that "noble families" should collect "fine specimens of humanity" around them, employing these fine specimens in menial occupations of a light and comfortable sort, that will leave a sufficient portion of their energies free for the multiplication of their superior type."*

Source: H.G. Wells, Mankind in the Making, Chapter II, (1903)

CALL FOR STERILIZATION

"I believe that now and always the conscious selection of the best for reproduction will be impossible; that to propose it is to display a fundamental misunderstanding of what individuality implies. The way of nature has always been to slay the hindmost, and there is still no other way, unless we can prevent those who would become the hindmost being born. ***It is in the sterilization of failure, and not in the selection of successes for breeding, that the possibility of an improvement of the human stock lies."***

H.G.Wells

Source: H.G. Wells in American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 10 (1904), p. 11.

SPECULATION ABOUT A FUTURE EUGENIC STATE

"The dominant men of the new time ... will find in themselves – it must be remembered I am speaking of a class that has naturally segregated, and not of men as a whole – a desire, a passion almost, to create and organize, to put in order, to get the maximum result from certain possibilities. They will be artists in reality, with a passion for simplicity and directness and an impatience of confusion and inefficiency. The determining frame of their ethics ... will be the elaboration of that future world state to which all things are pointing. ... It is manifest that a reconstructed ethical system ... will give very different values from those given by the existing system ... ***the ethical system of these men of the New Republic, the ethical system which will dominate the world state, will be shaped primarily to favour the procreation of what is fine and efficient and beautiful in humanity – beautiful and strong bodies, clear and powerful minds, and a growing body of knowledge – and to check the procreation of base and servile types, of fear-driven and cowardly souls, of all that is mean and ugly and bestial in the souls, bodies, or habits of men. To do the latter is to do the former; the two things are inseparable.***

In the new vision death is no inexplicable horror, no pointless terminal terror to the miseries of life, it is the end of all pain of life, the end of the bitterness of failure, the merciful obliteration of the weak and silly and pointless things. The new ethics will hold life to be a privilege and a responsibility ... and the alternative in right conduct between living fully, beautifully, and efficiently will be to die. ***For a multitude of contemptible and silly creatures, fear-driven and helpless and useless, unhappy or hatefully happy in the midst of squalid dishonour, feeble, ugly, inefficient, born of unrestrained lusts, and increasing and multiplying through sheer incontinence and stupidity, the men of the New Republic will have little pity and less benevolence.***

The men of the New Republic will not be squeamish, either, in facing or inflicting death, because they will have a fuller sense of the possibilities of life than we possess. ***They will have an ideal that will make killing worthwhile.***

The pre-eminent value of sexual questions in morality lies in the fact that the lives which will constitute the future are involved. If they are not involved, if we can dissociate this relationship from this issue, then sexual questions become of no more importance than the morality of one's deportment at chess, or the general morality of outdoor games. ... ***The men of the New Republic ... will root out and illuminate urban rookeries and all places where the base can drift to multiply; they will contrive a land legislation that will keep the black, or yellow, or mean-white squatter on the move; ... so that childbearing shall cease to be a hopeful speculation for the unemployed poor; ... This thing, this euthanasia of the weak and sensual, is possible. On the principles that will probably animate the predominant classes of the new time, it will be permissible, and I have little or no doubt that in the future it will be planned and achieved."***

Source: H.G. Wells, *Anticipations of the Reaction of Mechanical and Scientific Progress Upon Human Life and Thought*, Final Chapter "The Faith of the New Republic", (1902)

The last quote should strike terror into the hearts and minds of ordinary men and women. The 'New Republic' as Wells names it is now branded 'The New World Order'.

Do we as rational thinking human beings really believe that to kill off the weak and poor is justifiable under any circumstances or do we start to question what is and always has been the dream of tyrants for millennia?

At what point do we start to question phrases such as 'too many people', 'man is a cancer on the earth' or the whole 'greening' agenda which is THE key ideology to bring in EUGENICS?

Even the psychopathic Charles Manson is being put forward as a 'climate guru' using the same slogans and phrases as used by one of the world's premier liars on the subject, namely Al Gore!

http://www.prisonplanet.com/charles-manson-global-warming-prophet.html

Until we as a species on this planet understand that there is a world agenda to drastically reduce world population, by a power cabal outside any government, by any means, whether through poisoned food and water, vaccinations, chemical spraying of the atmosphere and other environmental toxins which are absorbed by our bodies every day and finally mass murder of the 'unfit, this agenda will steamroller ahead unabated.

BBC 4 recently promoted sterilisation of the 'unfit' on the national airwaves. That should make the hairs on the back of every neck stand on end or obviously the heads on those necks are for the chopping block.

Join the dots folks because failure to do so will ultimately fail the human race and life on this planet will be hellish for our children and future

The British Green Party: *What is it acheiving for our environment?*

Green Party Real Progress?

By Joe Scanlan
Environment correspondent.

Embarrassingly, I was once a member of the local Green Party.
Acting locally and thinking globally, I thought I'd take a look at their local election special blurb.

Let's act locally.

There are plenty of pre-election promises in there; hope for independently minded voices, glue for broken communities, spanners for remote services and throwing about more fraudulent money from a fraudulent monetary system that 'magics-up' money out of thin air backed up by our, and our grandchildren's slavery.

The Election Special Green News has been paid for by private donations, (doesn't say who) fundraising and small business sponsorship. As an ex-Green Party member, having met most of the veteran election candidates I am very fond of them. But I wonder how they will react if, or when the penny drops for them and they come to realise that they are mere foot-soldiers, dupes for the very New World Order dictatorial globalists they think they are resisting, foot-soldiers building concentration camps for their own children.
The nervous breakdown comes with the package, sorry.

Green Party's priorities are:

- Putting the environment at the heart of everything.

I would prefer PEOPLE to be at the heart of everything.

- Standing up against overdevelopment and traffic congestion.

We've been brainwashed, bulldozed off our land and squeezed into the overcrowded cities. The Transition Towns scam promises more of the same, we are battery farmed chickens.

- Defending public services – libraries – youth – disabled.

Fat chance; research 'Charlotte Iserbyt - The Deliberate Dumbing-Down of the World'.

- Better public transport, walking, and cycling.

Nope, no cars for peasants! They are to be totally phased out by 2050, notwithstanding the fact that cars can run on water.

The PR leaflet goes about country parks to keep us sane as producer / consumers on our battery farms. Country Parks used to be self-sufficient farms before the brainwashing; more Park and Ride services, future plug in centres for your electric car, which won't let you go very far, if you're allowed one, that is.

Let's Think Globally.

Who benefits?

Let's move on soldiers, to the guru-generals of the Earth Army at the Copenhagen Conference on Climate Change December 7th 2009. The Independent newspaper was there reporting.

> *"...the talks begin in earnest today, with a carbon footprint big enough to squash a small Pacific island. By boat, bus, plane and train (but mainly by plane), 20,000 delegates, campaigners and journalists are arriving in Copenhagen in advance of "the most difficult talks ever embarked on by humanity". More than 1,200 limousines will grace the city's streets as 98 world leaders talk about how to save the planet. Most of the stretched vehicles have been driven hundreds of miles from Germany and Sweden. Last week, France ordered an extra 42 of them. Only five of the limos are hybrid – the rest are petrol and diesel".*
>
> *"Copenhagen airport expects 140 extra private jets when the talks peak at the end of next week, a number so far beyond its capacity that they will be forced to drop off their VIPs before relocating to other regional airports to park, and then return to pick the passengers up".*
>
> *'Among the personal jets will be Air Force One. President Obama's £180,000 limousine, known as "The Beast", will arrive on a separate flight with a fleet of decoy helicopters, up to 500 security staff, six doctors, two chefs and his personal BlackBerry handler'.*

No bicycles or pervert airport groping there then!

What's this 'Green'eco'ology' all about?

Simple, the elites are killing us off and sterilising us by any and every means possible, blaming us for the crime of exhaling. It's called population reduction, and you are the one being murdered. It's called Local Agenda 21 formerly known as The Communist Manifesto – no private property, no private transport, no sovereignty, no family, no religion and especially no naturally indignant aggressive males.

What's the British Green Party doing about the weaponisation of our environment, paedophilia at the highest levels of government, the judiciary, social services and the police, groping transport systems that look more like naked British Nazi cattle trucks, Pavlovian gawking machines, CCTVs, and 'eavesdropping lamp-posts' to modify human behaviour, and normalise it? What's the British Green Party doing about the harvesting of our children for paedophile rings, drug tests and body part sales, corporate courts? What's the British Green Party doing about treason, Neo-Feudalism, Neo-Malthusianism, the handing over of our farming, fisheries, forests, industry and raw materials by traitors to the EU, which has its origins in Nazi Germany? Then there is the government-media-complex stirring up race riots, divide-and-rule, NLP'd police, the charity industry, Common Purpose infiltration, the sterility-fertility industry, gender modification, banksterism, austerity (poverty) creation, , the peak oil blatant lie. What's the British Green Party doing about supermarket food poisoning, tap water poisoning, chemtrail air raids, electro-poisoning, Laura Huxley's Academy Schools of paedophilia and of course the Global Church of Climatology?

The elites have written their own 10 murderous commandments in tablets of stone on the Georgia Guidestones.

"Maintain (sustainability) humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature".

The population is now at just under 7 billion. Work out what chance you have of surviving these green psychopaths.

As the late George Carlin said, *"It's a big club and you ain't in it"*

A Zero Carbon Economy = A Zero Economy = Zero People!

It's called Eugenics.

ABOUT US

The objective of the Sovereign Independent is to provide critical news, information and education that the mainstream media, for the most part, avoid or refuse to print regarding the direction the world, is heading with the increasing loss of sovereignty and independence of its individual nations.

As the world is moving toward an Orwellian New World Order at an ever accelerating pace there is an ever increasing need to conteract the deception that most of the mainstream media are feeding to the masses. We have spearheaded a campaign to distribute printed media to the world - where we only offer whole truth. We hope we can inspire many others to do the same.

We are a non-partisan newspaper. All of the information printed is well researched and verified. The Sovereign Independent is printed in Ireland, produced by volunteers and funded by donations. We are not sponsored by any organisation or political party. We are neither left nor right in our political views as the entire left/right paradigm has failed.

Please visit and bookmark www.sovereignindependent.com for daily updated Truth News.
Also please visit our e-shop. You will find a link to the e-shop on our website.

The Sovereign Independent regularly host speaking events where we invite speakers from all over the world to educate those who want answers to the seemingly senseless events and issues that are currentley occuring in the world. Please visit our events section on www.sovereignindependent.com for these conferences and also events and conferences hosted by other groups and organisations.
The Sovereign Independent also host a regular radio show every monday evening 7-9pm GMT. The link to this internet radio show is on our website.
Please bookmark the Sovereign Independent website so you can benefit from its daily updated truth news.

For more information please email editor@sovereignindependent.com



PRESENTS

THE MASTERPLAN

THE HIDDEN AGENDA FOR A GLOBAL SCIENTIFIC DICTATORSHIP

Richard Gage

Dublin - Dundalk - Cork - Edinburgh

A San Francisco Bay architect of over 23 years and a member of AIA. Founder of 'Architects and Engineers for 911 Truth'

Luke Rudkowski

Dublin - Dundalk - Cork - Edinburgh

911 Truth activist since the World Trade Centre was demolished and founder of the 'We Are Change' movement

Dr. Andrew Wakefield

Dublin - Dundalk - Cork

Has been at the forefront on revealing the truth behind vaccines, most notably MMR.

Jim Corr

Dublin - Dundalk - Edinburgh

Irelands best known truth activist and researcher. Jim will be discussing Irelands engineered economic collapse

Roger Hayes

Edinburgh

Chairman of the British Constitution Group. Roger explains the concept of setting up a lawful,debt free banking System



Brian Gerrish

Edinburgh

Founder of the UK Column newspaper and researcher of 'Common Purpose' and state corruption.

Walter Graham

Dublin - Dundalk

Explains why fluoridation of Irelands water supply makes no sense, economically, environmentally or health-wise

Dave Derby

Dublin - Dundalk

Editor of The Sovereign Independent Irelands only truth newspaper. Explains the Elites Masterplan for the world.

Kathy Sinnott

Cork

Disability rights campaigner,former politician representing the South Constituency in Ireland in the European Parliament from 2004 to 2009

Alan Watt

Dublin - Dundalk - Edinburgh

An EXCLUSIVE video interview about issues affecting the future of the world including Agenda 21

Saturday 11th June - THE STILLORGAN PARK HOTEL, DUBLIN - 10.00 am to 9.30 pm - €25.00

Sunday 12th June - FAIRWAYS HOTEL, DUNDALK - 10.00 am to 9.30 pm - €25.00

Wednesday 15th June - THE MONTENOTTE HOTEL, CORK - 6.30 pm to 10.30 pm - €12.50

Friday 17th June - THE CAPITIAL HOTEL, EDINBURGH - 9.30 am to 5.00 pm - £15.00/€17.00

To Purchase Tickets Please Visit
WWW.SOVEREIGNINDEPENDENT.COM
Tickets Can Also Be Purchased at the Door on the Day - Subject to Availabilty.
Enquiries - events@sovereignindependent.com or Ian on 00353 86 371 3901